# OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI

VOLUME LVIII

EDITED WITH TRANSLATIONS AND NOTES BY

J. R. REA

Graeco-Roman Memoirs, No. 78

PUBLISHED FOR
THE BRITISH ACADEMY

BY THE

EGYPT EXPLORATION SOCIETY 3 DOUGHTY MEWS, LONDON WCIN 2PG

# PRINTED IN GREAT BRITAIN BY H. CHARLESWORTH & CO LTD, HUDDERSFIELD AND PUBLISHED FOR THE BRITISH ACADEMY BY THE EGYPT EXPLORATION SOCIETY (REGISTERED CHARLEY NO. 210084)

(REGISTERED CHARITY NO. 212384)
3 DOUGHTY MEWS, LONDON WCIN 2PG

ISSN 0306-9222

ISBN 0 85698 112 5

33/5 , 883 096 /898 v.58

© EGYPT EXPLORATION SOCIETY 1991

6749203

#### PREFACE

The whole content of this volume is documentary. It falls into two parts. The first section, a miscellaneous group of documents of the Roman and Byzantine periods (3915–3932), is based on a nucleus of texts studied at a seminar held by Rea in the Ashmolean Library, Oxford, in Hilary and Trinity terms 1988. The members were graduate students in Ancient History at Oxford and visiting students from the universities of Bonn, Geneva, and Heidelberg. Each member took responsibility for one or more items, produced a preliminary transcript of each and gave a first account of it to the seminar. The editions printed here are by Rea; the work done by the members of the seminar is gratefully acknowledged by a note at the end of each introduction giving the name of the individual concerned. The opportunity has been taken to add some texts which seemed to be relevant to items of the original group, 3923, 3927, 3928. The interest in this section is very varied: note the libertus divi Augusti in 3915, the stator in 3917, the praefectus classis Augustae Alexandrinae in 3920.

The second section, documents of the late Byzantine period, is chiefly concerned with the formulas occurring at the heads of contracts, in normal times consisting of a religious invocation of Christ or of the Trinity, and a date clause by regnal year or consulship or both, with month, day and indiction. The formulas are interesting because their changes reflect moments of political change and difficulty, and because they show the variety of wording and even reckoning of the date allowed to the local officials, see 3933–3962 General Introduction. Some special points of interest occur incidentally: evidence for the monetary value of gold in AD 614 (3958 26 n.), a school in Oxyrhynchus in 610 (3952 11 n.), the survival of the household of Flavius Apion III as an economic unit under the Persians after his death, which 3959 and 3960 allow us to place in the period July 619 to January 620, just about the time of the Persian invasion.

Again we are much indebted to our printers, H. Charlesworth and Co., whose skill and helpfulness have given us a smooth and speedy passage from copy to finished book.

February 1991

P. J. PARSONS J. R. REA General Editors Graeco-Roman Memoirs

### CONTENTS

TABLE OF PAPYRI		
LIST OF PLATES		
LILO	RS AND PLATES	xi
	ON THE METHOD OF PUBLICATION AND ABBREVIATIONS	xii
	ONS AND CORRECTIONS TO PUBLISHED PAPYRI	xiii
110011		
	TEXTS	
I.	DOCUMENTS OF THE ROMAN AND	I
	BYZANTINE PERIODS (3915-32)	
II.	DOCUMENTS OF THE LATE BYZANTINE	51
	PERIOD (3933-62)	
	INDEXES	
I.	RULERS AND REGNAL YEARS	. 131
II.	Consuls	132
III.	Indictions and Eras	. 132
IV.	Months	132
V.	Dates	133
VI.	Personal Names	134
VII.	GEOGRAPHICAL	139
	(a) Countries, Nomes, Toparchies, Cities, etc.	139
	(b) VILLAGES, ETC.	139
	(c) Miscellaneous	140
VIII.	Religion and Magic	140
IX.	Official and Military Terms and Titles	142
$\mathbf{X}$ .	Professions, Trades, and Occupations	143
XI.	Measures	143
	(a) Weights and Measures	143
	(b) Money	143
XII.	Taxes	144
	General Index of Words	144
XIV.	Corrections to Published Texts	154

#### TABLE OF PAPYRI

#### I. DOCUMENTS OF THE ROMAN AND BYZANTINE PERIODS

3915 Sale of Camel	7–8 September 30*	I
3916 Petition to a Strategus	16 February–29 August 60	4
3917 Letter of a Stator to a Strategus	Early second century	6
3918 Census Return	188/9	10
3919 Private Letter	After 28 August 188	12
3920 Extract from Records of Epicrisis	214 or later?	14
3921-2 Annual Account of a Guardian	25 February-26 March 219	17
3923 Order to Supply Fruit	Third century	24
3924 Sworn Undertaking of Compulsory Service	24 August 223	25
3925 Notification of Appointment	c. 245-6	29
3926 Petition to a Strategus	9 February 246	31
3927 List of Names	After 9 February 246	. 34
3928 Fragment Addressed to a Strategus	c. 245?	38
3929 Certificate of Sacrifice	25 June-24 July 250	39
<b>3930</b> Notice to Serve as Exegetes	ı April 290	42
<b>3931</b> Magic	Third/fourth century	44
3932 Private Letter: Paul to Mary	Sixth century	47

#### II. DOCUMENTS OF THE LATE BYZANTINE PERIOD

3933	Goldsmith's Work Contract	13 April 588	57
3934	Fragment of Lease	14 August 588	64
3935	Fragment of Contract	6 March 591	66
3936	Priest's Receipt for Salary	5 May 598	67
3937	Fragment of Contract	13 November 598	70
3938	Fragment of Loan	7 March 601	70
3939	Fragment of Contract	25 July 601	72
3940	Fragment of Contract	6-14 April 604	74
3941	Fragment of Contract	27 December 604-	75
		25 January 605	
3942	Potter's Work Contract	22 February 606	77
3943	Fragment of Contract	15 November 606	80

<sup>\*</sup> All dates are AD

#### TABLE OF PAPYRI

3944	Fragment of Contract	16 November 606	81
<b>394</b> 5	Fragment of Contract	16 November 606	82
3946	Fragment of Contract	18 November 606	83
3947	Fragment of Contract	28 October-	84
		26 November 606	1
3948	Fragment of Contract	9 June 609	85
3949	Fragment of Contract	4 June 610	86
3950	Fragment of Contract	25 June 610	88
3951	Fragment of Contract	21 August 610	89
3952	Steward's Work Contract	Before 29 August 610	90
3953	Fragment of Contract	23 September 610?	96
3954	Receipt for the Price of Wine	12 February 611	98
3955	Lease of Land	23 September 611	102
3956	Fragment of Contract	1 October 611	106
3957	Fragment of Contract	21 February 612	107
		(or 20 February 611?)	,
3958	Rent Collector's Work Contract	26 April-25 May 614	109
3959	Deed of Surety	12 January 620	116
3960	Wine Account	621	118
3961	Fragment of Contract	631/2	127
	Writing Exercise	c. 631/2	128
		5 /	

#### LIST OF PLATES

I. 3915 (top)	V. 3933 (foot), 3936 (foot), 3942 (foot)
II. <b>3917</b>	VI. <b>3952</b> 58-9, <b>3958</b> 40-41
III. 3931 (back)	VII. <b>3958</b> (top)
IV. I 137 (top)	VIII. <b>3960</b> 1-10

#### NUMBERS AND PLATES

I 137 (top)	IV	3942	(foot)	V
3915	I	3952	58-9	VI
3917	II	3958	(top)	VII
3931 (back)	III		40-41	
<b>3933</b> (foot)	$\mathbf{V}$	3960	I-IO	VIII
3036 (foot)	V			

### NOTE ON THE METHOD OF PUBLICATION AND ABBREVIATIONS

The basis of the method is the Leiden system of punctuation, see CE 7 (1932) 262-9. It may be summarized as follows:

- αβγ The letters are doubtful, either because of damage or because they are otherwise difficult to read

  ... Approximately three letters remain unread by the editor

  [aβγ] The letters are lost, but restored from a parallel or by conjecture

  [...] Approximately three letters are lost

  () Round brackets indicate the resolution of an abbreviation or a symbol,
- Round brackets indicate the resolution of an abbreviation or a symbol, e.g.  $(\grave{a}\rho\tau \acute{a}\beta\eta)$  represents the symbol -,  $\epsilon\tau\rho(a\tau\eta\gamma\acute{o}\epsilon)$  represents the abbreviation  $\epsilon\tau\rho$ .

  [ $a\beta\gamma$ ] The letters are deleted in the papyrus
- [ $\alpha\beta\gamma$ ] The letters are deleted in the papyrus ' $\alpha\beta\gamma$ ' The letters are added above the line
- $\langle \alpha\beta\gamma \rangle$  The letters are added by the editor
- $\{a\beta\gamma\}$  The letters are regarded as mistaken and rejected by the editor

Heavy arabic numerals refer to papyri printed in the volumes of *The Oxyrhynchus Papyri*.

The abbreviations used are in the main identical with those in J. F. Oates et al., Checklist of Editions of Greek Papyri and Ostraca, 3rd edition (BASP Suppl. No. 4, 1985). It is hoped that any new ones will be self-explanatory.

# ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS TO PAPYRI PUBLISHED BY THE EGYPT EXPLORATION SOCIETY

I	
1	F. T. Fallon, R. Cameron, ANRW II 25.6 pp. 4201-4.
9 + XXXIV 2687	L. E. Rossi, in A. Brancacci etc., Aristoxenica, Menandrea:
•	Fragmenta Philosophica (Accademia Toscana 'La
	Colombaria', Studi XCI, 1988) 11-30.
	F. Adorno etc., Corpus dei Papiri Filosofici Greci e Latini i 399.
10	M. Gronewald, ZPE 84 (1990) 1-3.
41	R. Merkelbach, ZPE 72 (1988) 65-6.
	M. Blume, in L. Criscuolo, G. Geraci (edd.), Egitto e storia
	antica. Atti del colloquio internazionale Bologna,
	31.8-2.9.1987 (Bologna, 1989) 271-90.
44	D. Hagedorn, ZPE 75 (1988) 153-4 & Taf. IV.
<b>60</b> 14	J. E. G. Whitehorne, ZPE 47 (1982) 264.
74	P. J. Sijpesteijn, ZPE 70 (1987) 133-8.
<b>102</b> 26	J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, Notarsunterschriften im
	byzantinischen Ägypten 78.
<b>126</b> 31	ibid. 84, Taf. 46.
<b>133</b> 28	ibid. 87.
134 32	ibid. 81.
<b>135</b> 31	ibid. 78.
<b>136</b> 46	LVIII <b>3952</b> 55 n.
49	J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, op. cit. 84, Taf. 45.
<b>137</b> 2	LVIII <b>3933–62</b> introd. p. 56.
5	For εὐκλείας read εὐκλεοῦς. LVIII <b>3960</b> 1 n.
27	J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, op. cit. 84, Taf. 45.
<b>138</b> 48	ibid. 84.
<b>139</b> 33	ibid. 82, Taf. 44.
<b>140</b> 32	ibid. 87
173 (description)	= SB XVI 13083.
<b>185</b> (description)	Edited by A. Martin, J. A. Straus, CE 64 (1989) 250-9,
	with plate.
188 (description)	= SB XVI 13058.
II	
217	F. Adorno etc., Corpus dei Papiri Filosofici Greci e Latini i 393.
221	ibid. i 287–9.
	, J

XIV ADDI	TIONS AND CORRECTIONS TO PAPTRI
<b>237</b> viii 7–18	A. Torrent, Symposion 1982 (Santander, 1-4 September 1982) = Akten d. Gesellschaft f. gr. u. hellenistische Rechtsgeschichte, Band 5 (1989) 291-300.
352 (description)	C. Polonii Annthu C. (1909) 291-300.
	C. Balconi, Aegyptus 65 (1985) 39.
<b>357</b> (description)	Edited by A. E. Hanson, <i>Aegyptus</i> 69 (1989) 61–9, with plate.
<b>362–3</b> (descriptions)	Edited by S. Daris, Aegyptus 69 (1989) 72-7, with plates.
<b>364–5</b> (descriptions)	Edited by S. Daris, ZPE 79 (1989) 197-9, with plate of <b>365</b> .
376 (description)	Edited by S. Daris, Aegyptus 68 (1988) 27-31, with plate.
377 (description)	= SB XVI 12952.
378 (description)	= SB XVI 13048.
III 414 470 1-31 31-87	F. Adorno etc., Corpus dei Papiri Filosofici Greci e Latini i 236. S. West, ZPE 77 (1989) 30–32. S. Couchoud, Bulletin de la société d'égyptologie, Genève, 12
31 0/	(1988) 25-34.
<b>489</b> 7	G. Husson, $OIKIA$ 72 n. 1, rejects the restoration $[c\dot{\nu}\nu \dot{\epsilon}\xi\delta\delta\omega]$ $[\kappa\alpha\dot{\epsilon}]\epsilon[i]\epsilon\delta\delta\omega$ , because it is contrary to the invariable order.
	Then perhaps restore $[c\dot{v}v \epsilon i c \delta \delta \omega \kappa \alpha i] \dot{\epsilon}[\xi] c \delta \delta \omega$ ,
	cf. F. T. Gignac, Grammar i 141. J. R. Rea.
<i>y</i> 4	on 1. 1. Signac, Grammar 1 141. J. R. Rea.
IV	4
654, 655	E T Follon P Comment ANDIANT C
<b>658</b> 6–8, 16	F. T. Fallon, R. Cameron, <i>ANRW</i> II 25.6 pp. 4201–4. LVIII <b>3929</b> 6–7 n.
666	
000	F. Adorno etc., Corpus dei Papiri Filosofici Greci e Latini i 269–79. F. Vendruscolo, in F. Adorno etc., Protagora, Antifonte,
	Posidonio, Aristotele. Saggi su frammenti inediti e nuove
	testimonianze da papiri 129-52.
667	A. Meriani, in A. Brancacci etc., Aristoxenica, Menandrea, Fragmenta Philosophica (Accademia Toscana 'La Colombaria', Studi XCI, 1988) 31–45. F. Adorno etc., Corpus dei Papiri Filosofici Greci e Latini i 399.
* 7	
V	
841 xxxiv	G. B. D'Alessio, F. Ferrari, Studi classici ed orientali 38 (1988)
	159-80.
842	P. R. McKechnie, S. J. Kern, Hellenica Oxyrhynchia.

xii 6–20 xiv 1–10; ii 7–10	C. J. Dull, in J. M. Fossey, H. Giroux, Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Boiotian Antiquities = McGill University Monographs in Classical Archaeology and History 2 (1985) 33–9. J. E. Lendon, Historia 38 (1989) 300–313.
VI 852 913 26 972 985	W. E. H. Cockle, Euripides. Hypsipyle. J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, Notarsunterschriften im byzantinischen Ägypten 88. LVIII 3924 introd. W. E. H. Cockle, op. cit. 183–218.
VII 1012 1038 37	F. Adorno etc., Corpus dei Papiri Filosofici Greci e Latini i 152–7. J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, Notarsunterschriften im byzantinischen Ägypten 82, Taf. 43.
VIII 1129 20 1130 31 1133 18	ibid. 88, Taf. 50. ibid. 85 ibid. 77, Taf. 40.
IX 1176 <sup>37</sup> i 16–30, iii 5–29 <sup>39</sup> ii 8–14 <sup>39</sup> xii 24–34, xiii 1–17	F. Adorno etc., op. cit. i 157–68. H. Yunis, ZPE 75 (1988) 39–46. D. Kovacs, ZPE 84 (1990) 15–18.
X 1231 1233 <sup>2</sup> ii 1-16 1241 iii 2-4 1280 20	V. di Benedetto, QUCC N.S., N.24 = 53 (1986) 19-25. M. Davies, Hermes 114 (1986) 257-62. F. Adorno etc., Corpus dei Papiri Filosofici Greci e Latini i 388. J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, op. cit. 85, Taf. 46.
XI 1359 <sup>1</sup> 1364 + LII 3647 and XV 1797 1365	W. Beck, ZPE 73 (1988) 4-5. F. Adorno etc., op. cit. i 176-222. ibid. i 394.

ADDITIONS AND	CORRECTIONS	TO PAPYR.
---------------	-------------	-----------

XII		
1430		R. S. Bagnall, CE 63 (1988) 161 n. 4.
1484		For μελλοκουρίων read probably μαλλοκουρίων. XLIX <b>3463</b>
		6 n. Cf. D. Montserrat, JEA 76 (1990) 206-7.
1513 7-12		For Years 2 road Your 2 there are a Till 1
1010 / 12		For ζυτοῦ read ζυγοῦ throughout. This resolves the anomaly
		of beer measured in pounds. The entries follow the pattern
		$\alpha'$ ζυγοῦ $\lambda l(\tau \rho \alpha \iota) \nu \epsilon  ^2 \beta'$ ζυγοῦ $\lambda l(\tau \rho \alpha \iota) \nu \epsilon$ etc. The
		commodity is probably meat, since line 13 has ποδοκεφάλων,
		see LSJ, E. A. Sophocles, Lexicon, G. W. H. Lampe, A
		Patristic Greek Lexicon, s.v. ποδοκέφαλα for various guesses
		about its nature. They agree that it refers to edible meat.
		In G. Goetz, Corpus Glossariorum Latinorum II 553.32 we
		find 'haec libra ο ζυγος κρεοπωλου και μτρω (i.e. ὁ ζυγὸς
		κρεοπώλου καὶ μέτρου?), which may be relevant. It is not
		clear whether the numbers $a'$ , $\beta'$ , etc. stand on their own as
		1, 2 etc., or are days, for instance, or are ordinals agreeing
		with ζυγοῦ, 'first weighing(?), second weighing(?)', etc. Or it
		may be that $\zeta \nu \gamma \circ \hat{\nu} \lambda \iota (\tau \rho)$ is a calque of Latin pondo libr.
		although the usual Greek for that is $\delta \lambda \kappa \hat{\eta} c \lambda \iota (\tau \rho)$ .
		The document is assigned to the fourth century; it is
		not later, and may be earlier. The Dalmatian troops remain
		somewhat mysterious, but Dalmatian and Moorish cavalry
	if.	appear to have been part of Aurelian's comitatus, cf. Zos. I
		52, A. H. M. Jones, The Later Roman Empire i 55 (cf. 57), ii
		1077 (n. 36). These may be connected with his expulsion of
		the Palmyrenes from Egypt in AD 272, I. R. Rea.
<b>1558</b> 8		Restore $P\omega\mu$ ]aïκά not τὰ $P\omega\mu$ ]aïκά. H. Harrauer,
		P. J. Sijpesteijn, Tyche 3 (1988) 117.
XIII		0 01 3 7 5 3 (-9-4) 7
<b>1604</b> 3-6		P 7: 7DE / 00
1608 3-0		B. Zimmermann, ZPE 72 (1988) 22.
1000		F. Adorno etc., Corpus dei Papiri Filosofici Greci e Latini
1611		i 120–34.
1011		ibid. i 414–18.
XIV		
<b>1627</b> 29		J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, Notarsunterschriften im
		byzantinischen Ägypten 80,
<b>1637</b> <sub>4</sub>		LVIII <b>3923</b> 1–2 n.
1699		G. Husson, <i>OIKIA</i> 97–8.
1716 30		J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, op. cit. 86, Taf. 47.
1718		R S Bagnall CE 60 (1099) 1777 6.
40		R. S. Bagnall, CE 63 (1988) 157–64.

```
XV
                         M. Vetta, QUCC N.S. 22 = 51 (1986) 39-52.
1788<sup>15</sup> ii 9-28
                         E. Cingano, ZPE 79 (1989) 27-38.
1790 + 2081 f
                         See above under 1364.
1797
                         F. Adorno etc., op. cit. i 406-9.
1800<sup>1</sup> 28-32
                           ibid. i 335-6.
1802<sup>3</sup> ii 49–50, iii 57
                         G. Xanthakis-Karamanos, Proceedings of the XVIII
1823
                          International Congress of Papyrology i 414-15.
XVI
                          J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, Notarsunterschriften im
1881 24
                          byzantinischen Ägypten 88, Taf. 49.
                            ibid. 89, Taf. 52.
1890 23
                            ibid. 89, Taf. 51.
1891 26
                          LVIII 3955 26 n.
1892 42
                         J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, op. cit., 80, Taf. 41.
  45
                            ibid. 84
1898 37
1899 27
                            ibid. 89, Taf. 51.
                            ibid. 86, Taf. 47.
1900 34
                          P. J. Sijpesteijn, Aegyptus 68 (1988) 76.
1942 7
                          I. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, op. cit., 88, Taf. 50.
1957 23
                            ibid. 89, Taf. 51.
1959 23
                            ibid. 85, Taf. 47.
1961 29
                            ibid. 85.
1962 30
                          LVIII 3955 14-15 n.
1968 5-6
                         J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, op. cit. 78.
1970 33
                            ibid. 83, Taf. 45.
                            ibid. 88, Taf. 49.
1973 23
1976 25
                            ibid. 83.
                          LVIII 3939 4-5 n.
1979 5
                          I. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, op. cit. 83, Taf. 44.
   26
                          The regnal year was given in ed. pr. as \beta = 2, but the
1981 5
                          papyrus has y = 3 correctly, and so corresponds with the
                          indiction. Phaophi 28 of indiction 1 and regnal year 3
                          Heraclius is 25 October 612, cf. R. S. Bagnall, K. A. Worp,
                          Regnal Formulas 68. The original has been checked in Cairo
                          by Dr R. A. Coles.
                          J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, op. cit., 82, Taf. 44.
   32
1982 27
                             ibid. 85, Taf. 46.
                             ibid. 81, Taf. 42.
1983 28
1986 23
                             ibid. 87.
```

xviii	ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS TO PAPYRI
1987 31 1988 35 1989 28 1990 38 1991 4 40 1993 41 2004 2055 36 2064	ibid. 85. ibid. 84. ibid. 78. ibid. 78. ibid. 78. LVIII 3933 2-3 n., item 9. J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, Notarsunterschriften im byzantinischen Ägypten 85 (Anm. 18.3.1), 86, Taf. 47. ibid. 84. H. Harrauer, P. J. Sijpesteijn, Tyche 3 (1988) 116. LVIII 3960 13 n. (= A. S. Hunt, J. de M. Johnson, Two Theocritus Papyri)
+ L <b>3548</b>	A. W. Bulloch, CQ NS 37 (1987) 505-12.
XVII 2078 + LII 3531 2080 2081 f 2087 ii 6-10 ii 29-30 ii 42-44 2110 2136	F. Adorno etc., op. cit. i $442-65$ . G. Massimilla, $ZPE\ 81\ (1990)\ 17-21$ . See above under <b>1790</b> . F. Adorno etc., op. cit. i $365-6$ . ibid. i $146-7$ . ibid. i $337-8$ . T. F. Brunner, $ZPE\ 75\ (1988)\ 295-6\ (\text{on } d\mu\beta\iota\tau\epsilon\dot{\nu}\epsilon\nu)$ . K. A. Worp, $Tyche\ 4\ (1989)\ 232$ .
XVIII 2161 ii 23-34 2176 2181 2185 26-7 31 2187 2197 191, 192	M. K. Halleran, $ZPE$ 79 (1989) 267–9. W. Luppe, Philologus 134 (1990) 155–8. S. Fortuna, Studi Classici ed Orientali 37 (1987) 191–8. Read $\tilde{\eta}$ εἰc ἔτερο (ν) — ετερο pap. — ἀπλῶc. J. R. Rea. For τ]ελ[έςμαςι read perhaps $\delta \tilde{\eta}$ [μοςίοις. LVII p. 120. H. Harrauer, P. J. Sijpesteijn, Tyche 3 (1988) 117. LVIII <b>3960</b> 13 n.
XIX 2225 2237 24 2238 29	P. Bing, <i>Hermes</i> 114 (1986) 121-4. J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, op. cit. 85. ibid. 83, Taf. 45.
XX <b>2262</b> <sup>1</sup> ii 8–12 <b>2270</b> 21 <b>2283</b> 34	P. Bing, <i>ZPE</i> 74 (1988) 273–5. J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, op. cit. 87, Taf. 48. J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, op. cit. 89.

112	
XXI	
	C. D. Climan, 7DE ma (x000) x0, 00
<b>2288</b> 19	S. R. Slings, ZPE 72 (1988) 19–20.
2303 <sup>1a+b</sup> 10-14	G. Liberman, <i>ZPE</i> 77 (1989) 27–9.
3/3/11	
XXII	T G G0 0 0 1000 NI G 04 50 (1000) 7 17
<b>2310</b> 1–21	J. S. Clay, $QUCC$ , N.S. $24 = 53$ (1986) 7–17.
<b>2321</b> I-6	E. Cavallini, GIF 40 (1988) 213–15.
2322	R. G. Arthur, AJP 108 (1987) 404.
2329	M. Gronewald, <i>ZPE</i> 84 (1990) 3-7.
2330	J. M. Bigwood, <i>Phoenix</i> 40 (Toronto 1986) 393-406.
<b>2347</b> 17	J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, op. cit. 79.
	J. 2.2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.
XXIII	
<b>2354</b> 16	R. Renehan, CP 81 (1986) 221-2.
2368	L. Käppel, R. Kannicht, ZPE 73 (1988) 19-24.
2000	
XXIV	
<b>2389</b> <sup>9</sup> i 11-14	F. Adorno etc., Corpus dei Papiri Filosofici Greci e Latini
•	i 368-9.
2390	G. W. Most, CQ.N.S. 37 (1987) 1-19.
2402	F. Adorno etc., op. cit. i 261–3.
2403	ibid. i 256–61.
	On μαλλοκουρή[τας (or -ήτους?) see XLIX <b>3463</b> 6 n. Cf.
<b>2407</b> 38	
0.410	D. Montserrat, <i>JEA</i> 76 (1990) 206-7.
<b>2419</b> <sub>2</sub>	For ]o\u00faou read ] . 100.
3	For μετὰ read ἄπα (Γερακίωνος), cf. P. Mert. III 124.4, VII
	1053 23; XVIII 2206 4.
	For $d\kappa$ oλουθο $\langle \hat{v} \rangle$ ντος read $d\kappa$ ολουθο $\hat{v}$ ντος.
4	For ἐκδικίας read ἐκδικείας (= ἐκδικίας).
5	For ] οιδες εἶπε μετὰ ἀληθείας read ὅ] τι οίδες εἰπὲ μ. ἀ.
	For άγίου τούτου read ά. τόπου.
8	For ἀπεχώρουν read ἀνεχώρουν: NB the papyrus has the
	colon. J. R. Rea.
<b>2420</b> 3	LVIII <b>3954</b> 3-7 n.
19	και ν [ ]ςταςεως: this passage must
- 3	have had something like κινδύνω έμω καὶ τῆς ἐμῆς
	ύποςτάςεως, cf. I 138 25-6, 30-31, 139 27-8, XVI 1981
	26-7, XXVI <b>2478</b> 27-8 (τῆς πάςης μου ΰ.). The damage is
	so severe that it is difficult to attribute the traces accurately
	to individual letters. J. R. Rea.
21	LVIII <b>3955</b> 26 n.
22	J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, op. cit. 82, Taf. 44.

XXVI	
2438	W. H. Race, Rhein. Mus. N.F. 130 (1987) 407-10.
2447 <sup>4(b)</sup> 8-12	M. Cannatà Fera, Riv. Fil. 115 (1987) 12-23.
<b>2451</b> B <sup>1</sup> 1-4	F. Adorno etc., Corpus dei Papiri Filosofici Greci e Latini
1	i 404-6.
<sup>2</sup> 7	ibid. i 398.
17	I. C. Rutherford, J. A. D. Irvine, ZPE 72 (1988) 43-51, esp.
	48-50.
	40 90.
XXVII	
2455	W. Luppe, ZPE 72 (1988) 27-33.
2	id., $\angle PE$ 73 (1988) 30.
4 iv 32-9 + 141	J. Diggle, ZPE 77 (1989) 1-11.
6	J. Diggie, ζPE // (1989) 1–11. W. Luppe, ζPE 75 (1988) 49–50.
7	
9, 10	id., APF 34 (1988) 15–25.
107	id., Prometheus 13 (1987) 193-203.
	id., Eos 75 (1987) 251-6.
2459	M. Hose, ZPE 81 (1990) 9-15.
2466	C. Ruiz-Montero, ZPE 79 (1989) 51-7.
2477	K. A. Worp, Tyche 4 (1989) 232.
<b>2479</b> 6	Read probably ὄ]θεν κάγὼ ὁ ἐλεειν[ὸc] προcέρχομαι κτλ.
¥.	ő] $\theta$ εν, cf. I <b>130</b> 7, J. R. Rea from a photo, based on $ο$ ίζ $[τω]$
	κτλ., as suggested by J. G. Keenan, ZPE 38 (1980) 246-8.
8	For ἀνέςτην read ἀπέςτην. J.G.K.
II	For $dva[\tau]\rho o\phi dc$ read probably $d\pi o[\tau]\rho o\phi dc$ , cf. P. Cair.
	Masp. Ι 67002 ii 3-4 εἰς ἀποτροφὴν ἡμῶν καὶ τῶν τέκ[ν]ων.
	J.R.R. For ἀναγραφῆναι (ἀπογραφῆναι J.G.K.) read
	ἀποτραφήναι, cf. 17, where J.G.K. has corrected ἀναθρέψαι to
	<i>ἀποθρέψαι.</i> J.R.R.
15	For $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma^{2}$ read $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma^{2}$ (jussive subjunctive after
	παρακα]λώ καὶ ἰκετεύω (14), instead of infinitive). J.R.R.
16	Read and restore ὑπὲρ ο]ν οὐκ ἔςπειρα. J.R.R.
17	For ἀναθρέψαι read ἀποθρέψαι. J.G.K.
20	J.G.K. suggested av in place of ov, which J.R.R. would
	retain. For cπείραντες read cπείροντες. J.R.R.
2480 293	LVIII <b>3960</b> 28 n.
30	,
XXVIII	
2489	W. Beck, ZPE 73 (1988) 1-7.
	7 7 7 7 7

XXIX		
2506 <sup>6a</sup>	F. Adorno etc., Corpus dei Papiri Filosofici Greci e Latini	
	i 389-90.	
<sup>26</sup> i 1-14	ibid. i 409–13.	
XXX		
2509	R. Führer, Mus. Helv. 46 (1989) 237-8.	
2510	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	J. T. Kakridis, WS 99 (N.F. 20; 1986) 63-7.	
2511	J. March, Proceedings of the XVIII International Congress of	
27.4	Papyrology i 345-52, esp. 348-50, with plate.	
2516 <sup>4</sup>	P. Carrara, Prometheus 12 (1986) 213-16.	
<b>2527</b> <sub>3</sub> - <sub>5</sub>	F. Adorno etc., op. cit. i 359–60.	
XXXI		
<b>2537</b> verso 24–7	J. C. Trevett, ZPE 81 (1990) 22-6.	
XXXI		
2565	R. L. Cleve, The Ancient History Bulletin 2.5 (1988) 118-24.	
2582	Correct date to 31 January, AD 51 (instead of 49).	
4304	J. A. Straus, ZPE 78 (1989) 148.	
	J. A. Straus, ZFE /6 (1909) 140.	
XXXII		
2624 <sup>28-52</sup>	J. Lenaerts, CE 62 (1987) 245.	
<b>2637</b> <sup>1a</sup> 1-31	E. A. B. Jenner, <i>BICS</i> 33 (1986) 59–66.	
9	3 / 7 / 30 / 3	
XXXIII		
2673	W. V. Harris, Ancient Literacy 320 and n. 169.	
XXXIV		
2687	See above under 9.	
<b>2718</b> 21	J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, Notarsunterschriften im	
	byzantinischen Ägypten 88, Taf. 50.	
2724 25	ibid. 89, Taf. 51.	
<b>2729</b> 6-7	With δὸς δύο ἀργυρᾶ καὶ γράψον μοι compare [Pseudo-]	
	Dem. LVI 1 εν γραμματειδίω δυοίν χαλκοίν εωνημένω καί	
	βυβλιδίω μικρώ πάνυ την ομολογίαν καταλέλοιπε τοῦ ποιήςειν	
	τὰ δίκαια. Cf. W. V. Harris, Ancient Literacy 95, n. 138. Both	
	writers are plainly implying that enough papyrus to write a	
	letter or a contract was cheap in their time and place,	
	respectively Egypt in the fourth century AD, and,	
	dramatically at least, Athens in the fourth century BC.	
	J. R. Rea.	

	Total Maria dollar del 1000 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1		
XXXV			
2734 <sup>4</sup>	E Adorno etc. on oit i alle 6		
2735 <sup>11</sup>	F. Adorno etc., op. cit. i 385–6.		
	E. A. B. Jenner, BICS 33 (1986) 59 -66.		
<b>2737</b> <sub>44</sub> –51	R. M. Rosen, ZPE 76 (1989) 223-8.		
0744	D. Gilula, ZPE 81 (1990) 101-2.		
<b>2744</b> i 37–ii 3, i 1–11	F. Adorno etc., op. cit. i 326-31.		
ii 20–36	ibid. i 356–9.		
XXXVI			
<b>2779</b> 28	J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, op. cit. 86.		
2780	P. J. Sijpesteijn, Tyche 2 (1987) 171-4.		
	J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, op. cit. 81, Taf. 42.		
3 <sup>2</sup> <b>2782</b>	D. W. Doelon Proceedings of the VIIII Internal 1 C		
2702	R. K. Raslan, Proceedings of the XVIII International Congress of		
	Papyrology ii 211-13.		
0700	LVIII <b>3920</b> introd., 16–17 n.		
<b>2796</b> <sub>3</sub>	For γυμ(ναειαρχήταε) read γυμ(ναειαρχήταντος).		
	D. Hagedorn, <i>ZPE</i> 80 (1990) 280.		
XXXVIII			
2850	C. Balconi, Aegyptus 65 (1985) 39.		
<b>2853</b> I	LVIII <b>3926</b> 1 n.		
2870	N. Lewis, CE 62 (1987) 219-22.		
XXXIX			
2889	E Adams at Control Division Control		
1.11401110 otol, Gorpus act 1 april 1 tibiogut Greet e Latine			
2000	i 134-9.		
2890	ibid. i 139–46.		
2891	<b>891</b> H. N. Parker, ZPE 79 (1989) 49–50.		
XLI			
2951	M. P. Speidel, Tyche 2 (1987) 195-8.		
2001	141. 1. Speidel, 1 your 2 (1967) 195-6.		
XLII			
3010	R. Merkelbach, Die Unschuldserklärungen und Beichten im		
	ägyptischen Totenbuch, in der römischen Elegie und im antiken		
	Roman (Kurzberichte aus den Giessener		
	Papyrussammlungen 43; 1987) 28–33.		
3013	N. C. Hormouziades, in J. H. Betts, etc. (edd.), Studies in		
	Honour of T. B. L. Webster i 134-42.		
	110110th of 1. D. L. WEUSTET 1 134-42.		

XLIII <b>3118</b> <b>3146</b> 26	J. R. Rea, ZPE 38 (1980) 221-2. J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, Notarsunterschriften im byzantinischen Ägypten 80, Taf. 41.
XLIV 3158	P. Yale II 127 is part of the same manuscript.
XLV <b>3219</b> <sup>1</sup> 5-10 <b>3250</b> 5	F. Adorno etc., op. cit. i 306-7. On vaureía see D. Gofas, Proceedings of the XVIII International Congress of Papyrology ii 255-60.
XLVI 3273 3274 3285	N. Lewis, BASP 23 (1986) 125-7. id., ibid., 23 (1986) 127-30.  J. Mélèze-Modrzejewski, ZRG Rom. Abt. 105 (1988) 166, n. 3. id., Proceedings of the XVIII International Congress of Papyrology ii 383-99 = id., Droit impérial et traditions locales dans l'Egypte romaine Ch. IX.
<b>3297</b> 6 <b>3314</b>	LVIII 3930 introd. G. H. R. Horsley, New Documents Illustrating Early Christianity 3 (1983; items of 1978) No. 100, pp. 141-8.
XLVII <b>3317</b>	G. Xanthakis-Karamanos, BICS 33 (1986) 107-11. ead., Proceedings of the XVIII International Congress of Papyrology 1 403-6. W. Luppe, ZPE 77 (1989) 13-17.
3331	R. Giannattasio, <i>ZPE</i> 76 (1989) 7–10. See below under <b>3720</b> .
3355	G. Husson, ZPE 61 (1985) 69-70.
XLVIII <b>3368-71</b> A 28-56 A 31-6 A 31-7	M. Gronewald, ZPE 78 (1989) 35-9. P. G. McC.Brown, ZPE 84 (1990) 8-10. A. M. Belardinelli, ZPE 78 (1989) 31-4. A. Borgogno, QUCC N.S. 30 = (1988) 87-97.

#### ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS TO PAPYRI

E Adorno etc. Carbus dei Papiri Filosofici Greci e Latini i A20.

XXV

L 3531 See above under 2078. 3548 See above under 2064. **3555** 25-8 LVIII 3926 to n. With XIV 1722 ἀπὸ Cυρίας Ἐλευθεραπόλεως compare XLII 3574 3-4 n. 3054 6 ἀπὸ Βόττρας τῆς Ευρίας, PSI VII 771. 2 ἀπὸ Βόςτρων Cupíac, cf. G. W. Bowersock, Roman Arabia 116 and n. 28. Cf. Historia Augusta, Alex. Sev. 43. 6-7, cf. Hadr. 13. 6, 3576 18-19 n. Hadrianus ... templa in omnibus ciuitatibus sine simulacris iusserat fieri, quae hodieque, idcirco quia non habent numina, dicuntur Hadriani ... 3599 28 J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, op. cit. 89, Taf. 50. 3600 31 ibid. 89, Taf. 52. LI **3612** 8 For της read την, see Pl. III. J. G. Keenan, BASP 20 (1983) **3618** 12 n. Add a reference to Pliny, NH XII 118, where it is explained that xylobalsamum is the prunings of balsam; so here ξυλος άγγαθον will have been trimmings from a live plant called cάγγαθον. J. R. Rea. 3619 24 With uexa] eum. quo uexato, cf. Apuleius, Metam., 7, 2 ... in publicam custodiam receptum et ... tormentis uexatum ... nil ... esse confessum; Amm. Marc. XIV 9.7 denique etiam idem ad usque discrimen uitae uexatus, nihil fateri compulsus est; XV 6.2 usque ad confinia mortis uexatus; XV 7.2 uexatosque tormentis; XVIII 3.5 quaestiones longe serpebant, uexatique multi nocentes sunt et innocentissimi. Misled by the printed punctuation I quoted the words 'ei pectus uexatur' from 7RS 72 (1982) 105 as if they made a sentence. The passage should run, 'quaestionarius pulsat ei pectus, uexatur, suspenditur', etc., 'the torturer keeps hitting him in the chest, he is tortured, hoisted up', etc. I. R. Rea. **3641** 26 J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, Notarsunterschriften im byzantinischen Ägypten 81. LII 3647 See above under 1364. F. Decleva Caizzi, in F Adorno etc., Protagora, Antifonte, Posidonio, Aristotele. Saggi su frammenti inediti e nuove testimonianze da papiri 61-9.

<b>3649 3651</b> 23-7 <b>3652 3653 3656</b> 7-19 <b>3659</b> ii 25-8 ii 28-30	F. Adorno etc., Corpus dei Papiri Filosofici Greci e Latini i 439.  W. Luppe, ZPE 80 (1990) 13–15. id., ZPE 72 (1988) 31–3.  D. F. Sutton, ZPE 61 (1985) 15–18.  F. Adorno etc., op. cit. i 248–50. ibid. i 240. ibid. i 244.
LIII 3699	W. Luppe, ZPE 75 (1988) 47-8.
3705	A. Bélis, <i>ZPE</i> 72 (1988) 53–63. M. W. Haslam, <i>ZPE</i> 75 (1988) 139–40.
<b>3706</b> <b>3708</b> <sup>1</sup> 14–16r. <sup>2</sup> 53 V.	F. Adorno etc., op. cit. i 399. ibid. i 382–3. ibid. i 174.
3711 ii 31-6 3720	G. L. Huxley, JHS 107 (1987) 187–8. R. Giannattasio, ZPE 76 (1989) 7–10. M. W. Haslam, ZPE 79 (1989) 48.
LIV	
3722	M. L. West, <i>ZPE</i> 75 (1988) 1-2. M. A. Joyal, <i>ZPE</i> 81 (1990) 103-4.
3723	R. Führer, ZPE 74 (1988) 22. F. Williams, ZPE 75 (1988) 57–8. P. J. Parsons, Mus. Helv. 45 (1988) 65–74.
<b>3758</b> 20, 21 nn.	D. Sider, <i>ZPE</i> 76 (1989) 229–36. H. W. Pleket, <i>Epigr. Anatol.</i> 12 (1988) 35–6 (on Tyre as a textile production centre).
LV	Y
<b>3780</b> 8 n.	I missed the month name Άκριππίνου in SB VI 9017, No. 14. 4, cf. ζPE 82 (1990) 126. J. R. Rea. For a very similar version of Hadrian's titles see IGR III
3781 7-10 n.	934 (Lapethus, Cyprus). J. R. Rea.  We know now that these men belonged to the ἄμφοδον
<b>3786</b> 31–90	Δρόμου Θοήριδος, see LVIII <b>3927</b> introd.
<b>3789</b> introd.	On the impositions and remissions of poll tax in the tetrarchic period see now S. Mitchell, JRS 78 (1988) 105–124, esp. 121–4.

	or Trouble Condition To TAI TRI			
<b>3791</b> 2 & n.	I missed the fact that this painter of AD 318 called Artemidorus is very likely to be the same as Aurelius A. son of Arsinous who in VI 896 of AD 316 submitted a report to the curator ciuitatis with an estimate of the cost of painting			
	portions of the bath in one of the sets of Thermae at			
2702	Oxyrhynchus. J. R. Rea.			
<b>3793</b> <sub>4</sub>	For Οὐα]λακί read Οὐα λακίου, as in the note.			
<b>3794</b> 2–3 n.	For Flavius Eulogius read Flavius Eusebius; for Flavius			
	Eusebius read Flavius Eulogius, see LIV p. 228.			
2705	R. A. Coles.			
3795	P. Pruneti, Aegyptus 69 (1989) 113-8. The document			
	referred to in n. 23 (p. 117) for the location of Ματαεί in the			
3798	6th pagus has been republished as SB XVI 12755.			
3/90	See now W. Eck, H. Wolff, Heer u. Integrationspolitik: die			
	römischen Militärdiplome als historische Quelle, esp. 44-115			
<b>3812</b> 5 n.	(H. Wolff, 'Die Entwicklung der Veteranenprivilegien').			
3816, 3817 introdd.	Cf. LVI <b>3842</b> , <b>3843</b> for punctuation by oblique stroke.			
3820	Add now G. Casanova, Aegyptus 68 (1988) 93-7.			
<b>3852</b> <sub>24</sub>	W. H. C. Frend, ZPE 79 (1989) 248–50.			
P. Ant. I 22	For εὐτεβεῖ read Εὐτεβεῖ. LVIII <b>3918</b> 1–2 n.			
1.71111.122	T. Giménez-Candela, in Estudios de derecho romano en honor de Alvaro d'Ors i 557-77 with two plates.			
II 55	A. Borgogno, <i>Prometheus</i> 12 (1986) 33–8.			
61	F. Adorno etc., Corpus dei Papiri Filosofici Greci e Latini			
	i 426–30.			
103. 1-3	LVIII <b>3933</b> 2–3 n., item 1.			
104. 3	P. J. Sijpesteijn, Aegyptus 68 (1988) 71.			
III 115	Alan Cameron, CQ N.S. 20 (1970) 119-129.			
I 74	F. Montanari, L. M. Raffaelli, Civiltà Classica e Cristiana 9			
	(1988) 249-57.			
206	P. J. Sijpesteijn, Aegyptus 68 (1988) 71.			
P. Fay. 94. 10	For ἐν μηνιαίοις λ[όγο] [c read ἐξ[α] μηνιαίοις λ[όγο] [c.			
•	P. Diog. 19 introd. p. 141.			
164 (description)	P. J. Sijpesteijn, Customs Duties 161 No. 334, Plate VII.			
165 (description)	ibid., 161 No. 338.			
166 (description)	ibid., 172 No. 456, Plate XIII.			
167 (description)	ibid., 163 No. 356, Plate VIII.			
168 (description)	ibid., 167 No. 417, Plate XI.			
169 (description)	ibid., 175 No. 480, Plate XVI.			
170 (description)	ibid., 166 No. 398, Plate X.			
171 (description)	ibid., 166 No. 403, Plate X.			

172 (description)	ibid., 176 No. 494, Plate XVI.
173 (description)	ibid., 164 No. 373, Plate IX.
174 (description)	ibid., 147 No. 132a.
177 (description)	ibid., 161 No. 339, Plate VIII.
178 (description)	ibid., 170 No. 443, Plate XII.
179 (description)	ibid., 176 No. 495, Plate XVI.
180 (description)	ibid., 175 No. 483.
181 (description)	ibid., 177 No. 496, Plate XVI.
182 (description)	ibid., 177 No. 497, Plate XVII.
184 (description)	ibid., 167 No. 409.
185 (description)	ibid., 156 No. 277, Plate V.
186 (description)	ibid., 166 No. 393, Plate X.
187 (description)	ibid., 163 No. 370, Plate VIII.
188 (description)	ibid., 177 No. 498, Plate XVII.
189 (description)	ibid., 164 No. 377, Plate IX.
212 (description)	S. Daris, ZPE 73 (1988) 43-5, Taf. 1 c.
213 (description)	id. ibid. 45–6, Taf. 1 d.
223 (description)	id., Aegyptus 69 (1989) 85-7.
243 (description)	= SB XVI 13000 (recto), 13001 (verso).
246 (description)	P. J. Sijpesteijn, Aegyptus 67 (1987) 74–6.
247 (description)	id. ibid. 76–8.
295 (description)	= SB XVI 13049.
304 (description)	P. J. Sijpesteijn, Aegyptus 67 (1987) 73-4.
P. Hib. I 4	G. Xanthakis-Karamanos, Proceedings of the XVIII
	International Congress of Papyrology i 406-412.
13	A. Brancacci, in A. Brancacci etc., Aristoxenica, Menandrea,
	Fragmenta Philosphica (Accademia Toscana 'La
	Colombaria', Studi XCI, 1988) 61–84.
II 173	S. R. Slings, <i>ZPE</i> 79 (1989) 1–8.
P. Tebt. II 324	D. Hagedorn, ZPE 77 (1989) 201-2.
461 (description)	P. J. Sijpesteijn, Customs Duties 164 No. 381, Plate IX.
557 (description)	ibid., 165 No. 387, Plate X.
565 (description)	ibid., 146 No. 20, Plate I, cf. CE 54 (1979) 141.
O. Petrie 449	E. Spinelli, in A. Brancacci etc., Aristoxenica, Menandrea,
(O. Bodl. I p. 150)	Fragmenta Philosophica (Accademia Toscana 'La
	Colombaria', Studi XCI, 1988) 49-57 with plate.

## I. DOCUMENTS OF THE ROMAN AND BYZANTINE PERIODS

3915. SALE OF A CAMEL

37 4B.111/O(2-4)

15 × 35 cm

7-8 September 30

The chief point of interest here is that the buyer is a man who was the freedman of a known freedman of the emperor Augustus, see 2-3 n., but we do not learn anything more about this intriguing link between Oxyrhynchus and Rome itself. None of the other persons mentioned can be identified from B. W. Jones, J. E. G. Whitehorne, Register of Oxyrhynchites 30 BC-AD 96. This is the earliest camel sale to survive and there are only two others from the first century, both fragmentary: P. Med. inv. 71.27a (Aegyptus 63 (1983) 140-143; AD 12-14), BGU XI 2112 (reign of Claudius or Nero; see the list in P. Vindob. Worp 9). However, this must be set against the fact that camels are well attested for the third century BC in the archive of Zeno. It has been suggested that they were introduced into Egypt in substantial numbers for the first time under Ptolemy Philadelphus from his possessions in Palestine, see R. S. Bagnall, BASP 22 (1985) 3; see Orientalia 46 (1977) 337-62 for the scant knowledge of the camel in Egypt before the Ptolemaic period. The author of the most recent study, A. Leone, Gli Animali da Trasporto nell' Egitto Greco, Romano e Bizantino (1988) 47-88, concludes that it was only in the Roman period that the use of the camel became general (47, n. 56). On its different importance for different villages of the Fayum see J. Schwartz, CRIPEL 10 (1988) 145-8. See also G. Nachtergael, 'Le chameau, l'âne et le mulet en Égypte gréco-romaine. Le témoignage des terres cuites', CE 64 (1989) 287-334.

A sheet-join running vertically c. 5 cm from the right hand edge shows that the contract is written along the fibres of the recto of the roll from which this piece was cut. The pattern of damage with five vertical folds dividing the papyrus into six panels indicates that the sheet was rolled up with the right edge inside in the usual fashion of rolls and squashed flat. A docket, now much damaged, was then written upwards along the fibres of the verso.

(Read with Ute Wartenberg.)

Αντεῖς] Τιτᾶνος τῶν ἀπ' Ὁξυρύγχων πόλεως Ἰουλίωι Φ]λώρωι Βώλα θεοῦ Cεβαςτοῦ ἀπελευθέ]ρου ἀπελευθέρωι χαίρειν. ὁμολογῶ πεπρα]κέναι τοι τὸν ὑπάρχοντά μοι κάμηλον πυρρὸν πρωτοβόλον χαρα-

κ | τῆρας δύο ἔχοντ[α], ἐπὶ τοῦ μὲν δεξιοῦ μηροῦ ἔνα καὶ ἐγ δεξιῶν τραχήλου τὸν ἄλλον, 
cù |ν τῆ τούτου cayῆ οια ποτ' ἐςτίν, καὶ ἀπεςχηκέ |ναι παρὰ ςοῦ τὰς ςυνκεχωρημένας πρὸς

- 15 καὶ βεβαιώ] cω coι τὴν πρᾶςιν πάςη βεβαιώς εἰ ἢ ἐκτείς] ω ἢν ἔςχ[ο]ν παρὰ coῦ τειμὴν
   cὐν ἡμιο] λία καὶ τὸ βλάβος καὶ ἐτ[ίτ] εἰμον ἄλλας
   δραχμὰς . . .] κοτίας καὶ εἰς τὸ δημόςιον τὰς ἴςας,
   κατὰ μηδὲ] γ ἀπλῶς ἐλαττουμένου coῦ τοῦ Φλώρ `ου'
   ἐν τῆ πράξει] ῷν ὀφίλω coι κατ' ἀςφαλείας. κυρία
- (m. 2) Αυτεῖς Τειτῷνος πέπρα[κ]α τὸν κάμηλον καὶ τὴν
   ςαγὴν καὶ ἀπέχω τὴν τιμὴν τὰς τοῦ ἀργυρί[ου] δρα[χμ]ὰς
  - 25 τετρακοςίας τε[c]ςαράκοντα ἐκ πλήρ[ο]υς καὶ βεβαιώςω πάςη βεβαιώςει μὴ ἐλατζτ⟩ουμένου τοῦ Φλώρου ἐν οἷς ὀφείλω αὐτῷ κατ' ἀςφαλείας καθότι πρόκειται. Φιλωνίδης Θέωνος ἔγραψα ὑπὲρ αὐτοῦ διὰ τὸ μὴ εἰδέναι αὐτὸν γράμματα. (ἔτους) ιζ Τιβερίου Καίςαρος Cεβαςτοῦ μηνὸς Ceβαςτοῦ ι ¨.
- 30 (m. 3) ἔτους ιζ Τιβερίου Καίςαρος Cεβαςτοῦ μη(νὸς) Cεβαςτοῦ ια διὰ τῆς Cαραπίωνος τοῦ Ζωΐλου γέγονεν ἡ διαγρ(αφή).

Back, upwards along the fibres: (m. ι?) πράτις κα [μήλου

7 l. έκ 9 l. cuyκ- 10 l. τιμῆς 11 δραχ
$$\mu$$
 14 l. Cαραπιείου; τραπε $\delta$  16 l. τιμῆν 17 l. ἐπίτιμον 19 π 0 f ἀπλῶς COTF. 20 l. ὀφείλω 21  $\angle$  ιζ,  $\mu$ 7 23 l. Τιτᾶνος 29  $\angle$  ιζ 30  $\mu$ 7 31 διαγο $\Delta$ 

'Anteis (?) son of Titan from the city of the Oxyrhynchi to Julius Florus freedman of Bola freedman of Divus Augustus, greetings. I acknowledge that I have sold to you the red camel which belongs to me, which has cast its first teeth and has two brands, one on the right thigh and the other on the right side of the neck, together with its pack-saddle just as it is, and that I have received from you in full as a capital sum the four hundred and forty drachmas of money of imperial and Ptolemaic coinage which we agreed with one another in respect of its price through the bank of Sarapion son of Zoilus at the Serapeum in the city of the Oxyrhynchi, and I shall guarantee you the sale with every guarantee or I shall pay the price

which I received from you with increase of a half and the damages and as a penalty another ... hundred drachmas and an equal number to the treasury, without prejudice to you Florus in any matter whatsoever connected with the exaction of the sums which I owe you in accordance with securities. The contract is enforceable.'

'Year 17 of Tiberius Caesar Augustus, 10th of the month of Augustus.'

(and hand) 'I, Anteis (?) son of Titan, have sold the camel and the pack-saddle and I am receiving as the price the four hundred and forty drachmas of money in full and I shall guarantee with every guarantee, without prejudice to Florus in the sums which I owe him in accordance with securities, as is aforesaid. I, Philonides son of Theon, wrote on his behalf because he does not know letters. Year 17 of Tiberius Caesar Augustus. 10th of the month of Augustus.

(3rd hand) 'Year 17 of Tiberius Caesar Augustus, 11th of the month of Augustus; the bank draft was

effected through the (bank) of Sarapion son of Zoilus. Back, (1st hand?) 'Sale of a camel ...'

J. For the name of the seller see below 23. On the name of his father, Titan, which is rare, see P. Mich, X 578 introd. pp. 3-4.

2-3 We can restore the gentile name of Florus as *Iulius* because he is a freedman of Bola who is a freedman of the emperor Augustus, from whom Bola will have derived the imperial nomen. The name Bola occurs only once in a Latin text and that is in a context which gives good reason to think that the person is the same as the Bolac or Bolac here. The Latin text is a funerary inscription from Rome, CIL VI 4776:

IJulia Bolae l(iberta) Glycera
Dardani Ti(berii) Cassaris Aug(usti)
et Augustae ser(ui) Archelaiani mulier u(ixit) a(nnos) xxxvii.
Vilalis delicium eorum.

'Julia Glycera, freedwoman of Bola, (and) wife of Dardanus slave of Tiberius Caesar Augustus and of the Augusta formerly of the household of Archelaus, lived 37 years. Vitalis, their darling.'

I take it that Vitalis was a son of Julia Glycera and Dardanus who died in childhood and was entombed with or near his mother. The inscription dates between the accession of Tiberius in AD 14 and the death of Livia in AD 29, to leave aside any considerations of the date when Dardanus may have exchanged the household of Archelaus for that of the imperial family, probably AD 17, see G. Boulvert, Domestique et fonctionnaire 26. Since this Bola was the patron of Julia Glycera, his nomen was Julius too, and there seems little reason to doubt that he was the freedman of Divus Augustus mentioned in the papyrus. We should like to know what Julius Florus was doing in Egypt and whether he might still have been acting on behalf of the emperor, cf. G. Boulvert op. cit. 5–8, but there is no way of making any profitable speculation.

The name of Bola is of unknown origin. H. Solin, Die griechischen Personeunamen in Rom iii p. 1304, takes Bolae in CIL 4776 to be from Bolas (?) and comments, "ζu -βολοε oder βωλοε'. If Βωλᾶε were the correct form, it might be the hypocoristic of a Doric name derived from βουλ-, cf. e.g. P. M. Fraser, E. Matthews, Lexicon of Greek Personal Names i 105 (Βωλαγόραε-Βωλοεράσης). Hypocoristics in -άε are very common in Egypt, see F. T. Gignac, Grammar ii 16–18, but in the case of this unique name we have no special reason to think that Bola was Egyptian, although that would be one way of making some connection between Rome and Egypt.

5 πυρρόν This is a common colour for a camel, see P. Vindob. Worp. 9.5 n. (pp. 96-7).

πρωτοβόλον, 'having cast its first teeth'. The state of the incisors is the best indication of the age of some domestic animals, especially equids. A detailed study explaining this sort of terminology as applied to donkeys is given in CPR VI i pp. 19–25. There is no similar study for camels. For a donkey this term indicates an age of about two and a half to three and a half years.

5-7 On camel brands see the list in P. Vindob. Worp 9 (opp. p. 92).

8 The saddle is particularly important for transport by camel, see R.W. Bulliet, The Camel and the Wheel 87-110.

10-12 For the simultaneous use of Ptolemaic and imperial coinage, which is normal for the period, see L. C. West, A. C. Johnson, *Currency* 11-12, 67, cf. H. Harrauer, ZPE 36 (1979) 113 n. 4. The price of

440 drachmas is at the lower end of the range shown in Worp's list (P. Vindob. Worp opp. p. 92), but there is no comparative material for the first century. It has been calculated by H.-J. Drexhage, Münsterische Beiträge zur antiken Handetsgeschichte V, i (1986) 41, that in the second century AD camel prices were roughly four times as high as donkey prices. His list of donkey prices, ibid, pp. 42–3, shows only a very few items for the first century, but since the prices range between 72 and 108 drachmas it appears that the same rough calculation might prove true for the first century.

13-14 For the bank of the Serapeum in Oxyrhynchus see the list by A. Calderini, Aegypius 18 (1938) 261, brought up to date in P. Köln III 148. 3-4 n. Subsequent references are XLIX 3487 (1. x. 65), P. Turner 17 (AD 69), P. IFAO III 30 (I AD), P. Yale I 65 (after 138), LV 3798 (24-8. viii. 144). Sarapion son of Zoilus is a new banker. A Zoilus was manager there in 6/5 BC (PSI X 1099), who might possibly be the father. A successor, Sarapion son of Cleander (M. Chr. 281 = II 267) is known in AD 36.

18 On the treasury fine see A. Berger, Strafklauseln 31-8, 93-101.

19 κατὰ μηδέ]ν. Cf. XLIX 3485 32. On the μή ἐλαττουμένου clause see G. Häge, Proceedings of the XII International Congress of Papyrology 105-205.

20 ἐν τῆ πράξει] ὧν. Cf. P. Fouad 35. 13

20-21 For the restoration of κυρία [ή χείρ], which must be short, cf. e.g. II 264 12 (AD 54). For the κυρία clause see H. J. Wolff, Das Recht ii 145-6.

30-31 This subscription was added on the day after the contract was concluded and subscribed by the seller's amanuensis. On what is known about the bank διαγραφή see H. J. Wolff, Das Recht ii 95-105, esp. 97, 100 and n. 90.

#### 3916. Petition to a Strategus

33 4B.83/E(5-7)a

10 × 21 cm

16 February-28 August 60

Petitions to officials form a large category among surviving papyri. They generally ask either for direct legal redress or, in the event that the offenders are unknown, for an official record of the offence to be made in case the possibility of proceedings for redress arises, cf. M. Gdz. 32–6. Here the writer has a female slave who lives in a room or flat in another man's house. On a previous occasion persons unknown had entered her dwelling and removed unspecified possessions of hers; her owner had reported the theft in a petition of the temporizing kind. A similar theft has occurred more recently, the goods being various textiles; her owner now sends in a second petition. The papyrus is broken at the foot. A conjectural restoration of the last surviving five lines would suggest that the slave suspected other residents of the same house, see 26–30 n. If this reconstruction is correct, no doubt her owner would have gone on to ask that the neighbours should be brought before the district governor for due process of law.

The large clear cursive writing runs along the fibres; there is no sheet-join. The back is blank.

(Read with Christoph Selzer.)

ρον έφ' δν ή δούλη μου Έπίχαρις καταγείνεται οἶκον Νικάνορός τινος οἰκίας έπ' ἀμφόδου Χηνοβοςκῶν καὶ ἀπενεγκάμενοί τινα τῶν τῆς Ἐπιχαρίου, προελθών κατεχώριςα τὸ άρμόζον ὑπόμνημα, καὶ τῆ δὲ μιᾶ καὶ εἰκάδι τοῦ Μεχεὶρ τοῦ ἐνεςτῶτος ἔκτου ἔτους Νέρωνος Κλαυδίου Καίςαρος **C**εβαςτοῦ Γερμανικοῦ Αὐτοκράτ(ορος) έν ἀλλοδημία μου καθεςτώτος, κατά τ[ον] αὐτον τρόπον έπιβαλομένων τινών κακουργότερον ἐπὶ τὸν δηλούμενον οίκον καὶ ἀπενεγκαμένων της δούλης Έπιχαρίου τύλην λινήν, δίλαςcoν δμοίως, χιτώνα άραιόν, ἔτερον ετιππ [ύϊνον Έπιχαρίου ύπο *της τοῦτο δια*[\_\_\_\_\_\_ ύπὸ τῶν ςυνκα[..... .....] εν 10-12 letters

7 l. καταγίνεται 17 αυτοκρατίς

'To Tiberius Claudius Asclepiades, strategus, from Theon son of Dionysius.'

'On another occasion too, when certain persons feloniously broke into the flat where my slave Epicharis lives in a house belonging to a certain Nicanor in the Gooseherds' district and carried off some of Epicharis's goods, I came forward and filed the appropriate memorandum. But since also on the twenty-first of Mecheir of the present sixth year of Nero Claudius Caesar Augustus Germanicus Imperator, while I was out of town, certain persons in like manner feloniously broke into the flat already mentioned and carried off from my slave Epicharis a linen cushion, a dilasson likewise (of linen), a fine tunic, (and) another of coarse linen,

<sup>1–2</sup> Cf. G. Bastianini, J. E. G. Whitehorne, Strategi and Royal Scribes (Pap. Flor. XV) 88, citing XXXVIII 2851 1, 22 (24 July 60) and SB XII 10788 B. 23 (28 April 62). The date of 3916 lies between 16 February and 29 August, see 13–17 and n., 18–19 n. It does not necessarily predate 2851.

3 In I 94 (AD 83) the principal person is Dionysius son of Theon grandson of Dionysius, see B. W. Jones, J. E. G. Whitehorne, *Register of Oxyrhynchites* 73, No. 1358; his father could possibly be the petitioner here.

4-11 The nominative participles hang in the air, a lack of construction which is not rare, cf. B. G. Mandilaras, The Verb 956-8 (§ 879).

6–7 Ἐπίχαριε. Contrast II, 23–4, 27, where the genitive appears as Ἐπιχαρίου. There is a late Greek masculine and neuter declension which runs -ιε/-ω (=-ιοε/-ιον), -ω, -ίου, -ίου, -ίο, see F. T. Gignac, Grammar ii 25–6, cf. 115. This feminine name looks as if it is following that pattern. Otherwise we must assume that the writer fluctuated between the diminutive form with nominative in -ιου and the one given here.

7 οίκον. Cf. G. Husson, OIKIA 211-15. In this context the word may denote either a single room or

a suite of rooms in unitary occupation, ibid. 213-14.

8 Νικάνορος. Some candidates for identification can be found in B. W. Jones, J. E. G. Whitehorne, Register of Oxyrhynchites 141 Nos. 2810-13, but none is specially likely.

9 Χηνοβοςκών. Cf. H. Rink, Strassen- und Viertelnamen von Oxyrhynchus 27.

10 απενεγκάμενοι. On the replacement of the second aorist endings by those of the first, which is frequent, see F. T. Gignac, Grammar ii 335–345; for this verb esp. 338–340. Cf. below 22–3.

11 Cf. 6-7 n.

13–17 21 Mecheir, 6 Nero = 16 February 6o. For calculations in a leap year see W. *Gdz.* LV–LVI, WO I pp. 789–90.

18-19 It seems to be implied that the petition was presented later than would have been the case if the petitioner had been in Oxyrhynchus when the robbery took place. The delay may have been short or long; all we can say is that, since the sixth year is described in line 15 as the present year, the document dates between 16 February, see 13-17 n., and 29 August 60, which was the first day of the seventh regnal year.

22-3 ἀπενεγκαμένων. Cf. 10 n.

23-4 Cf. 6-7 n.

24-5 δίλας τον. The meaning is obscure, see LI 3626 16-17 n., LIV 3776 17 n.

26-30 One possible way of understanding the remains would be as follows:

ἔτερον ετιπη[ύῖνον. τῆς δὲ Ἐπιχαρίου ὑπόν[οιαν ἐχούεης τοῦτο δια[πεπράχθαι
ὑπό τῶν ευνκα[ταγινομέ- (Ι. ευγκ-)
νων αὐτ]ἢ ἐν [τῆ...(οἰκίᾳ),

'... and since Epicharis has a suspicion that this has been perpetrated by those who are living like her in the house ...' The petitioner would then have gone on to ask the strategus to summon the suspects and conduct an inquiry. Of course, this is not certain, but cf. P. Ryl. II 127. 15–23 καθυπονοῶ δὲ τοῦτο διαπ⟨επ⟩ρακέναι (l. -χέναι) Παποντῶν ... καὶ Φηλικίωνα ... διὸ ἀξιῶ⟨ι⟩ ... ἀναζητῆται ... καὶ τοὺς αἰτίους ἐξάποςτείλαι ἐπὶ cẻ πρὸς τὴν ἐκομένην ἐπέξοδ(ον); II 146. 10–14 ... ἐπιβαλύντες ... εἰς δν καταγεύομαι οἶκον ἐν τῷ ... ἀνακίωι ... 18–23 ... καθυπονοῶ δὲ τοὸ ἀν τῷ ἀνακίως καταγευομένους. διὸ ἀξιῶ ... ἀναζητῆται ... πρὸς τὴν δέουςαν ἐπέξοδ(ον); II 139. 14–24 ὑπονοῶ⟨ι⟩ οδν τὸ τοιοῦτω (= -το) γεγονέναι ὑπὸ τῶν καταγινομένων ἐν ... διὸ ἀξιῶ(ι)... τοὺς τὸ τοιοῦτο διαπράξαντες (= -τας) ἀχθῆναι ἐπὶ cὲ πρὸς τὴν ἐκομένην ἐπέξοδον. 27 Cf. 6–7 n.

#### **3917.** Letter of a Stator to a Strategus

34 4B.74/D(1-2)a

16.5 × 20 cm

Early second century

Although the correspondents are officials and the subject is judicial business, this letter looks unofficial. The writer, a Roman *stator* called Primianus whose *nomen* is damaged, and a second man called Julius Eros, who added a postscript (9–11), were

exerting their influence on the recipient, a strategus of the Heracleopolite nome, to persuade him to give a hearing more quickly to a person accused in connection with a mortgage contract. It would be possible to view this charitably as not being undue influence, but it looks utterly unofficial at the least.

The stator must have been in the service of the prefect of Egypt, see I n. He refers to a previous conversation with Apollonius in the praetorium, the prefect's headquarters, most probably the one in Alexandria, see 3, 10 and nn.

The first hand writes in a good large round script, distant kin to book hands of the 'Roman uncial' type (G. Cavallo, ASNP 36 (1967) 209-220), decorated with rather fluent rounded serifs, but with some exuberant initial letters and clearly not to be classed as a book hand. A good parallel to the type is M. Norsa, Scritture Documentarie Tav. XV (PSI V 446), containing an edict of the prefect of Egypt of AD 133-7; that, however, is more formal and careful than 3917. Medea Norsa, op. cit. p. 24, regarded it as a sort of 'Chancery hand', perhaps not wrongly, in the sense that it may emanate from high official quarters, although nowadays that term is reserved for a different style, see G. Cavallo, Aegyptus 45 (1965) 216-49. A peculiarity of the text, suggesting the influence of Latin, is the frequent use of points, most of which seem intended to be at middle height and to act simply as word dividers, although the first one in line 1 is placed high and may be intended as punctuation in the modern sense. On the use of the interpunct in Latin see P. J. Parsons, JRS 69 (1979) 131, esp. n. 43, which indicates that it was beginning to die out by the end of the first century AD, cf. J. D. Thomas in H. M. Cotton, J. Geiger, Masada II 31 and n. 16. This may suggest that the date of this document is to be sought nearer to AD 100 than to AD 150.

A sheet-join running vertically about 3.5 cm from the left margin shows that the writing runs along the fibres of the recto of the roll from which the piece was cut. The back is blank.

(Read with Michael A. Speidel.)

...]ιος Πρειμιανός ετάτωρ Άπολλωνίω ετρατηγώ Ἡρακλεοπολείτου χαίρειν.
παρακε]κλήκαμέν εε ἐν τῷ πραιτωρίω ἐγὼ
καὶ οἱ ευ]γκολλῆγαι ἴνα, ἐάν εοι δόξη, ἀκουεθῆ ...] ιριε, ἐκδίκου Cαραπίωνος, πρὸς
Απ[...]ν ἀντίδικον, ἔχοντα ὑπὲρ ὑποθήκης ῆς φαύλως ὑπέθετο, καὶ δικα[ιοδ[ο]τηθῆ, παρακαλῶ δὲ ταχύτερον αὐτὸ[ν

<sup>1</sup> Ι. Πριμιανός; ττατωρ·απολλωνιω· 2 τηγω·; Ι. Ἡρακλεοπολίτου; χαιρειν· 3 πραιτωριω
4 Ι. τυγκολλήγαι 5 εκδικου· ταραπιωνοτ· 6 αντιδικου· 7 υπεθετο·

ακούςαι. (m. 2) Ἰούλιος Ἐρως εἰ μή σε παρεκάλεσα[ έν τῷ Δαφνῶνι, ταχέως ἀπαρτίς περὶ οδ ἐντ[υγχάνη. ἔρρωςο, κύριε Απολλώνιε, (m. 1) ἔρρωςο κα[ί] διευτύχ[ει. πανοικί. Παῦνι.

(m. 3) ......

9 first ε of παρεκάλεςα corr. from α

10 Ι. ἀπαρτίςαι

"... ius Primianus, stator, to Apollonius, strategus of the Heracleopolite nome, greetings."

'We, my fellow colleagues and I, made a request to you in the praetorium that ...iris, whose legal representative is Sarapion, should, if you please, receive a hearing against an adversary, Ap..., who is bringing a case about a mortgage which he(?) pledged improperly, and that judgement should be delivered. I request you to give him a hearing more quickly.

(2nd hand) If I, Julius Eros, did not make the request to you in the Laurel Grove, (sc. even so, I do now ask you) to settle quickly the case about which you are being approached(?).

'Farewell, lord Apollonius, with all your household!'

(1st hand) 'Farewell and prosper!'

'Payni.'

(3rd hand) '...'

I It is uncertain how many letters should be restored at the beginning. The beginnings of lines 6-9 survive in this hand and range vertically about one average letter to the left of ]. It seems unlikely that the nomen here was so short. Line 2 is indented to range beneath the initial letter of Hostulavóc. Line 3. where παρακε κλήκαμεν seems inevitable, cf. 9, must have been set out about two average letters to the left of the beginnings of 6-9. If line I ranged with 3, about three letters would be lost here, but we can have no confidence that it did. It may well have begun even further to the left, so that there is no real clue to the length of the nomen. The Ulpius Primianus who was prefect of Egypt c. 195-6, see most recently P. Prag. I 23. 9, is not likely to be relevant in any way. No stator would be likely to reach that rank.

This man could in theory have been a stator of a praefectus alae, for example, cf. P. Hamb. I p. 176, but the mentions of the praetorium (3) and the Daphnon, see 10 n., point clearly to the prefect's staff. Primianus is the only stator praefecti Aegypti known to us by name. One would be inclined to suppose that his colleague Julius Eros was another, if the cognomen Eros did not suggest a doubt, cf. q n. Three references to cτάτωρ are given by S. Daris, Il Lessico Latino nel Greco d'Egitto 109: SB V 8248. 23 mentions them generally along with other military ranks; P. Hamb. 30 No. 48 refers to Marcus Valerius, a stator of the ala veterana Gallica (Taf. XII); P. Erl. 105, 46 is hardly likely to be relevant. It has now been convincingly restored by T. C. Skeat to read τῷ [ϭ]πι[νιά]τορι τῶν κάστρων, see P. Beatty Panop. 2. 41 n., cf. J. D. Thomas, YCS 28 (1985) 118-9.

On the statores of the prefect of Egypt and particularly their commander the archistator see J. F. Gilliam, Roman Army Papers 223-6 = Class. Phil. 56 (1961) 100-103, H.-G. Pflaum, MEFRA 71 (1959) 281-6, after which another archistator has turned up in XXXVI 2754 9-10. In essence the statores were military police. The earliest mentions of them, in Cicero's letters (ad fam ii 17, 1; 19, 2), show them as letter carriers, cf. perhaps CIL VI ii 4249 stator(is) a(b?) epis(tulis?), with G. Boulvert, Esclaves et affranchis 75. They had charge of prisoners who were to be produced in court, cf. [Ulp.] Dig. 4. 6. 10 qui a militibus statoribusque uel a municipalibus ministeriis adseruantur ... This aspect occurs also in II 294 (AD 22), where two members of the staff of a strategus are held in custody  $(\hat{\epsilon}\nu \kappa \kappa c) \tau \omega \delta \epsilon [(a, 20-21)]$  on the prefect's orders till the judicial review at the conventus, unless they can persuade the archistator to give surety for them. The most recent reference to the archistator also shows him with duties relating to the conventus. On that occasion in AD fil the archistator was to be applied to by those who had had amici of the prefect assigned to them as judges but had not yet had their cases settled (XXXVI 2754 8-10). This suggests that the duties of the archistator had become more attached to the ordering of cases than to the production of prisoners in court. In the present case too the stator was more concerned with the date of the hearing than with the custody of persons,

1-2 Apollonius is such a very common name that G. Bastianini, J. E. G. Whitehorne, Strategi and Royal Scribes 62-5, can offer four strategi of the Heracleopolite nome called by it, not all the same man, but all of them possibly close enough in date to be the same as this one: P. Harris II 179, I (late I?; no provenance), XIX 2230 (soon after June/July 119; Oxyrhynchus), SB V 7601 C. 2. 11 (12. vii. 135; Antinoopolis), P. Strasb. 652 C verso (II; no provenance).

The likeliest reason for finding at Oxyrhynchus a document addressed to the strategus of another district is that that strategus was an Oxyrhynchite who brought his papers home after serving as strategus elsewhere, see I. G. Tait, 7EA 8 (1922) 166-73, showing that this office could not be held by a native of the district governed. It follows that there is an increased likelihood that the strategus of XIX 2230 is the same as this one and that this one dates from the same period c. AD 120. There is also some possibility that P. Harris II 179 comes from Oxyrhynchus, see ibid. p. vii, but this is more remote, and its script looks a little carlier than is suitable.

3 πραιτωρίω. A praetorium is the residence and headquarters of a governor or other high Roman officer, such as a dux, see A. Łukaszewicz, Les édifices publics dans les villes de l'Egypte romaine 49-51, 177-8, cf. Archer Martin, 'Praetoria as Provincial Governors' Palaces', in M. Piérart, O. Curty (edd.), Métanges ... T. Zawadzki 229-240. The word does not apply only to the praetorium in Alexandria, about which little is known, although it is clearly mentioned in LV 3813 58, but also to headquarters buildings in the district capitals which might be visited by the governor. They are attested for Antinoopolis, Arsinoe, Hermopolis, Oxyrhynchus, Panopolis, see Łukaszewicz, op. cit. 177-8, and possibly for Memphis, ibid. 50. I argue below in 10 n, on ἐν τῶ Δαφνῶνι that this one is most likely to be the praetorium of Alexandria.

4 καὶ οἱ τυ]νκολλήγαι. The remains of nu are unmistakable, and it is difficult to know what to restore. We expect line 4 to range with 6-9 rather than with 3, which is likely to have been set out into the left margin as being the first line of the body of the letter, just as line I was set out as being the first line of the prescript. If so, the amount missing would be about five average letters. Although the end of line 9 is broken, comparison with the ends of 4-6 indicates that there is not room there to allow έγιὰ [καὶ | οἱ ἡμῶ]ν κολλήγαι. I therefore suggest έγω [καὶ οἱ τυ]νκολλήγαι, although I would hesitate to restore the hybrid cu]γκολληγαι if it were not for the recent support from P. Col. VII 188. 26-30, seven instances, even if most

5 . . j. ιριc. The first iota is unusual for this hand in that it descends very low and curves back strongly at the foot, but it seems certain. It is joined from the left at the top by a horizontal, suitable for  $\gamma$ ,  $\epsilon$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\theta$ , c, τ. For possibilities see F. Dornseiff, B. Hansen, Rückläufiges Wb. d. gr. Eigennamen 202. It should be a man's name, since αὐτό[ν is clearly preferable to the feminine form in 8, although the presence of the ἔκδικος, 'legal representative', might suggest a woman defendant, cf. e.g. L. Wenger, Stellvertretung 134, but BGU I 361 ii 14-16 has an ἔκδικος acting with his brother, who was under age, see ibid. 149.

6-7 It seems that it is the adversary who was the plaintiff, see F. Preisigke WB s.v. έχω (14), and the case arose because he had mortgaged some property to the man in whose interest this letter was written. It is clear that the blame is attributed to the plaintiff, as it would be by the defendant's supporter, but it is not clear what is implied by ὑποθήκης ής φαύλως ὑπέθετο, '... a mortgage which he pledged improperly'.

9-11 This sentence tends to suggest that Julius Eros was another stator, one who had not been present on the occasion mentioned above in 3-4, but the cognomen Eros, which suggests a slave or freedman, raises a doubt. Compare, however, CIL VI 4249, with G. Boulvert, see above 1 n. para. 3.

10 ἐν τῷ Δαφνῶνι. The 'Laurel Grove' appears elsewhere in the papyri only in XXII 2343 10 ἐνέτυχόν ε[ο]ι πρός τώ δαφνώνι, see also the revised edition by R. A. Coles, ZPE 61 (1985) 110-114. This document concerns the plight of a citizen of Oxyrhynchus appointed to be decaprotus while he was already serving as eirenarch of the city. He appealed to the prefect of Egypt Valerius Pompeianus. The written appeal received an answer in the form of a subscription instructing him to apply ἐπὶ τῶν ὑπομνημάτων, that is, before the prefect in his court. He did so through a deputy, no doubt because the business of the eirenarchy required his presence in Oxyrhynchus and the prefect was elswhere. The deputy instructed an advocate and tried to apply in court. By then it was Thursday, a holiday for the prefect's court, see now LIV 3741 introd. He tried on the Friday and was told that the prefect was busy with embassies and other matters. Next day, ποὸς τῶ Δαφνῶνι, he appeared before the prefect, who told him to submit another written application, which is 2343 4-13. Ironically this received a subscription ordering another application in court. Perhaps fortunately our documentary evidence finishes there. The question for us is the location of the Laurel Grove, which seems, now that it has appeared twice, to deserve capital letters. It was not in Oxyrhynchus or in Heracleopolis but in some place where the prefect's court could be held, and that over a long period, for

the events in 2343 date to December, AD 287. It seems most likely that this was in Alexandria, the chief residence and place of business for the prefect.

10-11 The tau and nu of  $\delta \nu_T [\nu \gamma \chi \hat{a}] \nu_T$  seem satisfactory, but this may not be the only possibility. It suits the sense well enough for a stop-gap.

13-14 These two lines are in a small upright hand and very densely and rapidly written. They look as if they might be in Latin, which would not be surprising in this context, but I have not succeeded in reaching any certainty about a single letter.

#### 3918. Census Return

37 4B.103/D(1-3)a

7 × 15 cm 188/9

For the latest summary of the literature relating to census returns see P. Prag. I 17 introd., cf. ibid. p. 65; add J. Whitehorne, *Symbolae Osloenses* 65 (1990) 139–45. The number now published was estimated fairly recently as 270 by G. H. R. Horsley, *New Documents Illustrating Early Christianity*, No. 4 (1987; items of 1970) p. 89.

The declarer was a citizen of Antinoopolis, not necessarily resident there, who registered his house in the Oxyrhynchite village of Tanais. Professor Bagnall suggests to me that, since the oath directly follows the description of the property, the house would have had no occupants at the time. Although the bottom edge is not very regular, it is probable that the piece was broken or cut deliberately to produce a convenient shape for the complete small private letter which stands on the back (3919). The first part of the prescript, which would have specified which of the many possible officials received the return, was omitted, although a large upper margin c. 2.5 cm deep was left. It is possible that this was a private copy retained by the declarer, as already suggested by its secondary use for the letter, although the absence of an address is seen in other examples, cf. J. Whitehorne, Symbolae Osloenses 65 (1990) 139–140, and cannot be taken as a guarantee that any particular text was never submitted to an official.

No sheet-join survives. The writing on this side runs parallel with the fibres, across them in 3919.

(Read with Robert Cramer.)

παρὰ Cαραπίωνος τοῦ καὶ
Εὐςεβοῦς Cαραπίωνος
Ματιδείου τοῦ καὶ Θεςμοφορείου. ἀπογρ(άφομαι) κατὰ τὰ
κελευςθ(έντα) ὑπὸ Τινητοῦ
Δημητρίου τ[ο]ῦ λαμπροτάτου ἡγεμόνος
καὶ Αὐρηλί[ου Οὐ]ηριανοῦ
τοῦ ἡγεμονεύςαντος

πρός τὴν τοῦ διελθ(όντος) κη (ἔτους)
Αὐρηλίου Κομμόδου
Άντωνἱνου Καίςαρος
τοῦ κυρίου κατ'οἰκίαν
ἀπογρα (φὴν) τὴν ὑπάρχουτοῦ κυρίου ἐπὶ κώ(μη)
Τανάϊ ἐν τ(οῖς) ἀπὸ γότ(ου)
καὶ ἀπηλ(ιώτου) μέρεςι τῆς
α (ὐτῆς) κώ(μης) οἰκ(ίαν) καὶ αἴθ(ριον) καὶ
καμάρας καὶ αὐλ(ὴν) καὶ
δυνύω τὴν Αὐρηλίου
Κομμόδου Άντων[ί]ν[ου
Καίςαρος τοῦ κυρίου

4 απογρς 5 κελευ $\epsilon^0$ υποτινηΐου 10 διελ $^0$ κης 14 απογρας, υπ- 15 κω 16 ταναί; l. Tανάει;  $\epsilon v^7$ απονο $^7$  17 απη $^\lambda$  18  $\tilde{a}$ κω $^{-}$ οι $^{\kappa}$ ,  $a^0$  19  $av^{\lambda}$ 

'From Sarapion alias Eusebes son of Sarapion, of the Matidian tribe and the Thesmophorian deme. I register according to the instructions given by Tineius Demetrius, the most glorious prefect, and Aurelius Verianus, the ex-prefect, for the house by house registration of the past 28th year of Aurelius Commodus Antoninus Caesar, the lord, the house which belongs to me in the village of Tanais in the south-east sections of the same village, and a light well and vaults and a courtyard, and I swear by the (fortune) of Aurelius Commodus Antoninus Caesar the lord ...'

1-2 The name of Sarapion alias Eusebes son of Sarapion does not appear in the list of P. V. Pistorius, *Indicess Antinoopholitani*. One or more of the Sarapions there could be the same as those here, but there are no indications. Either the father or the son could be the same as the sender of the letter on the back (3919).

À man with the same alias and the same patronymic appears in another text from Oxyrhynchus, P. Select. (= Pap. Lugd. Bat. XIII) 23. 16  $\frac{\partial n}{\partial n}$  from  $\frac{\partial n}{\partial n}$  derivation  $\frac{\partial n}{\partial n}$  derivation  $\frac{\partial n}{\partial n}$  derivation.].  $\frac{\partial n}{\partial n}$  derivation wife, and a brother by the same parents called Ptolemaeus alias Germanus (?; all letters of the alias dotted). This text is ascribed to the first century because of the script and the low prices of the slaves whose purchases are mentioned in previous entries of the list, the editorial indication of the space does not suit the name Sarapion very well, and the man is not given Antinoite tribe and deme names. Nevertheless, there may be some remote possibility that he is the same as ours, or if not, perhaps an older relative.

3-4 On Antinoite tribes and demes see E. Kühn, Antinoopolis 123-32, H. I. Bell, JRS 30 (1940) 140-141.

10 The past 28th regnal year of Commodus ended on 28 August 188; the present document dates from the next year, 29 August 188 to 28 August 189, It is normal for returns to date from the year after that specified as the census year, see M. Hombert, C. Préaux, Reckerches zur le recensement 76-84.

16 Taνάι (= Taνάι). Cf. P. Pruneti, I centri abitati 197. The name of this village of the Middle toparchy is the same as the Greek name of the Russian river Don and of a settlement at its mouth. An ἐποίκιον in the same toparchy was called Τετρον, see Pruneti, p. 73, the name of the Danube and a settlement at the mouth of the Danube. It may be that these place names reflect the presence of Thracian immigrants in the area, compare in general V. Velkov, A. Fol, Les Thraces on Egypte Gréco-Romaine.

18-19 For the terms αίθριον, καμάρα, and αὐλή see G. Husson, OIKIA s. vv.

#### 3919. PRIVATE LETTER

37 4B.103/D(1-3)a

12

7 × 15 cm

After 28 August 188

This letter stands on the back of **3918**, a fragmentary copy of a census return dating from the year 188/9. Both sender and recipient were called Sarapion and the recipient was addressed as a son. The return was submitted by Sarapion alias Eusebes son of Sarapion, a citizen of Antinoopolis, registering a house which he owned in the Oxyrhynchite village of Tanais. That house seems to have been empty at the time, see **3918** introd. It looks as if the copy of the census return was kept as a record until it was thought to be useless, then cut up and a part of it used for a family letter. The date of the letter must be later than 28 August 188, the *terminus post quem* for the return. How much later cannot be calculated objectively, but the letter is not likely to be later than, say, AD 200. The rapid, practised hand is consistent with this guess.

The Sarapions cannot be distinguished for certain. If the sender was Sarapion alias Eusebes, Sarapion his son would be of the third generation and not mentioned in the surviving part of the census return. Or it could conceivably be a letter of the father written to Sarapion alias Eusebes.

In papyri terms of blood relationship are not very reliable, see e.g. XLVIII 3396 introd., LV 3808 introd., but the letter appears to concern a family consisting of Sarapion the father, Sarapion the son, his mother and a brother. The money transactions concerned remain incomprehensible.

(Read with Robert Cramer.)

Cαραπίων Cαραπίωνι τῷ νἱῷ χαίρειν. διεπεμψάμην coι διὰ τοῦ ἀδελφοῦ coυ (δραχμὰς) ις, ὅπως ἀπαρτίςης μοι τὴν ις - . ἐἀν οἶον ἦν,
καὶ τῷ ἀδελφῷ coυ
λάμ[β] ἀν[ε] παρὰ τῆς μητρός coυ τὰς ϊςας (δραχμὰς) ις,

10 καὶ διαπέμψομαι
αὐτῆ. ἐὰν ῆς λαβὼν
τὰς (δραχμὰς) ρ, δὸς αὐτῆ.
ἐὰν δὲ μή, πέμψον
μοι, ὅπως ἀναβὰς αἰτῆςω ἐγώ. ἔρρωςο. ` . . ΄.

4 Sis · 6 l. 7 9 Sis 12 Sρ 14 φ corr. (from τ?)

'Sarapion to Sarapion his son, greetings. I sent you by way of your brother 16 dr., so that you may settle the 16 (-drachma tax?) for me. If it is possible, get the same (amount of) 16 dr. from your mother for your brother too, and I shall send (the same amount) to her. If you are in receipt of the 100 dr., give (them?) to her. If not, send me (word), so that I may come up and ask for (them) myself. Farewell.'

6 την ις". I take this to be a tax of 16 drachmas, rather than a tax of one-sixteenth, in spite of the absence here of any symbol for drachmas, because of the preceding reference to a sum of 16 drachmas and because S. L. Wallace, Taxation 126–7, tentatively concluded that this was the full rate of poll-tax for Oxyrhynchus, while his book contains no mention of any tax of one-sixteenth. Many Antinoite citizens were recruited from the Hellenized classes of the rest of Egypt and the families often retained connections with their places of origin, cf. H. I. Bell, JRS 30 (1940) 138–9. If we could be sure that Antinoite citizens resident in Oxyrhynchus had to pay full poll-tax rather than the reduced rate of 12 drachmas, this would be interesting, but the idea needs firmer evidence to corroborate it, especially since there is evidence that a father of Antinoite children claimed to be exempt from head taxes (ἐπικεφάλια) in his place of residence, see W. Chr. 28. On the complicated evidence for the poll-tax in relation to Antinoites see M. Zahrnt, ANRW

 $\hat{\eta}_{\nu} = \hat{\eta}$ . Cf. F. T. Gignac, Grammar ii 405 for the unexpected frequency of this phonetic error.

11 ἢε λαβών. For such periphrastic forms see Gignac, op. cit. ii 289-90, 305-7, cf. LV 3808 6-7, 3819

14-15, 3820 12, 13-14.

14 ἀναβάc. Since the letter was found at Oxyrhynchus, that should be the destination referred to here. 'Up' commonly indicates movement (a) southwards—up the Nile, (b) from village to district capital, (c) from the Nile valley to what we call the desert, and what they usually called the mountain (δροc), see especially H. C. Youtie, Scriptiunculae i 493 (= HTR 41 (1948) 15) n. 36. Sense (c) does not suit the destination, Oxyrhynchus. The journey from Antinoopolis to Oxyrhynchus was northward, not suiting (a). A journey from Tanais, see introd., to Oxyrhynchus would suit (b). One might speculate that the family had residences both in the metropolis and in the village, and probably also in Antinoopolis, but this is no more than speculation. The letter might have been written from somewhere north of Oxyrhynchus, from Alexandria, for instance, though that makes the sender provident enough to take some scrap paper with him for letters home.

15 The short addition above the line is puzzling. It does not look like  $\mu \omega_i$ , which is the only appropriate short addition that I can think of.

14

#### 3920. EXTRACT FROM RECORDS OF EPICRISIS

(Read with Paul Schubert.)

#### 3920. Extract from Records of Epicrisis

100/54(b) 11.5 X c. 20(?) cm 214 or later?

The clear gains from this text are the recovery of the name of Julius Marcus, a new praefectus classis Augustae Alexandrinae, see 5-6 n., and the second appearance of M. Aurelius Apollonius, hierophant, who is known from XXXVI 2782, assigned for plausible but now probably wrong reasons to the late second century rather than the early third, see 16-17 n.

There is a problem about the true nature of the document which is aggravated by the severe damage which it has suffered. One group of the fragile and darkened scraps can be pieced together with the help of the parallels to give parts of a top margin and in 13 lines the prescript of an extract from a governor's roll of epicrises. Line 13 is broken, but it was short and to the right of it under line 12 is a depth of c. 1.7 cm of blank papyrus at the place where we would expect to find the beginning of the details relating to some individual whose credentials had been examined by the praefectus classis acting on the governor's orders. For the type of document of which this is the prescript see C. A Nelson, Status Declarations in Roman Egypt 40-46; add P. Diog. (=P. Schubert, Les archives de Marcus Lucretius Diogenes) 5-7, cf. 8. The dozen or so parallels range from AD 103 to 188, so that this example has the interest of being the latest vet known.

Another group of fragments can be assembled to show at the top remains of two badly broken lines, the second of which ends in the typical farewell formula of a letter; ].  $\epsilon \ddot{v}$ χο $\mu(a\iota)$ , i.e. something like  $\dot{\epsilon}$ ρρ $\hat{\omega}$ c $\theta$ aί  $\epsilon \epsilon \dot{v}$ χο $\mu$ aι. It was hardly  $\epsilon \dot{v}$ χό $\mu(\epsilon \theta a)$ , because after a blank space c. 1.5 cm deep are two well preserved lines: 'From Marcus Aurelius Apollonius, hierophant'. Below this is a deep lower margin of c. 6 cm. Remaining unplaced are about a dozen tiny scraps, mostly blank, one or two with a few remains of ink.

The amount lost between the upper composite fragment and the lower one cannot be discovered for certain, but it does not seem likely that a very large section is missing in the middle. It looks more as if Apollonius copied out a section of the extract and sent it to someone with a note written at the foot instead of a separate covering letter. It is curious that it was the prescript that was of interest rather than the individual details. By way of explanation we might guess that he had previously supplied an extract covering the personal details of the scrutiny and was afterwards asked for a copy of the prescript, cf. 15 n. The prescript was important because it gave the key to the location among the public records of the official file from which the status of an individual could be checked or proved.

There is no sheet-join; the writing is parallel with the fibres. The back is blank so far as it is well preserved, but one of the unplaced fragments has slight remains running across the fibres which could be part of an endorsement. It is also possible that this scrap does not belong.

έκ τόμο [υ ἐπικρίcε | ων, οδ προγραφή: [οί] δπογεγραμμέν[οι οὐετρανο]ὶ καὶ Ῥωμαῖοι καὶ ἀπελεύθεροι καὶ δοῦ[λοι καὶ έ] τεροι ἐπεκρίθηταν ἐν Αἰγύπτωι ὑπ[ὸ ζουβατι]ανοῦ Ἀκύλα ἐπάρχου Αἰγύπτου [διὰ Ἰουλίου] Μάρκου ἐπάρχου ετόλου ζεβαςτ οῦ Άλεξ ανδρινοῦ τῷ Μ[ε]χείρ μη(νὶ) καὶ Φα[μενὼθ μη(νὶ) τοῦ ι] . (ἔτους) τῶν κυρίων Αὐτοκρατόρων [ ζεουήρου] καὶ Άντωνίνου  $E \dot{v} \epsilon \delta \hat{\omega} \nu C \epsilon \delta \alpha \epsilon [\tau \hat{\omega} \nu. (vac.?) \hat{a} \delta] \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \rho \dot{\epsilon} \theta o \nu \tau o \delta \iota$ κεώματα τῷ προγεγραμμένῳ Ἰουλίῳ Μάρκω έ[κά]ςτω ὀν[ό]ματι παράκειται ἐν τόμοις δ<sup>-</sup>. [(vac.?) μεθ' ἔτερα κο]λλημ(άτων) β<sup>-</sup>. Όξυρυγ-(vac.?) · ] (vac.) c. 30 letters διαπεμψ[ c. 12 letters ]  $\epsilon \ddot{v} \chi o \mu(\alpha \iota)$ . [

παρὰ Μάρκου Αὐρηλίου Άπολλωνίου

ίεροφάντου. 7 μη', ι]. ς 9 l. παρέθεντο γχίτου 15 ευχο<sup>μ</sup> 9-10 Ι. δικαιώματα 4 υπ[o

'From a roll of scrutinies, with the prescript, "The underwritten veterans and Romans and freedmen and slaves and others were scrutinized in Egypt by Subatianus Aquila, prefect of Egypt, by agency of Julius Marcus, praefectus classis Augustae Alexandrinae, in the month of Mecheir and the month of Phamenoth of the nth year of the lords emperors Severus and Antoninus, Pii, Augusti." The legal proofs which they laid before the aforewritten Julius Marcus are annexed to each name in 4 rolls. After other matter in 2 (or 20?) sheets, "Oxyrhynchite nome" ...'

'From Marcus Aurelius Apollonius, hierophant.'

12-13 l. 'Οξυρυγχίτου

T iimo-

12 κο λλημί β

4-5 The prefect Ti. Claudius Subatianus Aquila is well known, see G. Bastianini, ZPE 17 (1975) 305-6; 38 (1980) 85; add XLVII 3345, 3364. It has also emerged that he was the first prefect of Mesopotamia, see D. French, Anatolian Studies 27 (1977) 191-2, AE 1979 No. 625, D. L. Kennedy, ZPE 36 (1979) 255-

5-6 Julius Marcus, cf. 10-11 for the nomen, is a new prefect of the Alexandrian fleet, see the list by S. Daris, Aegyptus 63 (1983) 126-7, which is more correct and more complete than the one in M. Reddé, Mare Nostrum (1986) 677. He is the latest known; for his date range, AD 206-11, see 7-9 n.

16

A namesake, who could possibly have been a relative, was a governor in Britain in AD 213, see A. R. Birley, The Fasts of Roman Britain 166-8, with references to other namesakes, cf. G. R. Stephens, Transactions of the Cumberland and Westmorland Antiquarian and Archaeological Society 87 (1987) 53-60. It is impossible that a fleet prefect, an equestrian in a sexagenarian post (D. Kienast, Untersuchungan zu den Kriegsflotten 42 n. 54), should rise to one of the most important consular governorships, and especially in so short a period. The rise of Mevius Honoratianus from the prefecture of one of the praetorian fleets, Ravenna or Misenum, in AD 226, see E. Zerbinate, Epigraphica 50 (1988) 235-43, esp. 240-1, to the prefecture of Egypt by AD 232 is by no means a parallel.

The use of Marcus as a cognomen among the official classes seems to be characteristic of this period and not so are as might be thought, see Birley, op. cit. 167–8, O. Salomies, Die römischen Vornamen 164–6, esp.

166, cf. J. D. Thomas, The Epistralegos ii 197, No. 25.

Surprisingly little is known about the Alexandrian fleet, see C. G. Starr, *The Roman Imperial Navy* 109-114, 212, E. Sander, *Historia* 6 (1957) 358-65, D. Kienast, op. cit. 82-7, J. Modrzejewski, T. Zawadzki in *Etudes J. Maqueron* 529-43, esp. 537-43. The names of its prefects are known chiefly from this type of document. For the names of some of its ships see H. D. L. Viercek, *Die römische Flotte* 259.

6-7 The months of Mecheir and Phamenoth cover 26 (27 in a leap year) January to 26 March. The season of the year is that in which the compentus for Middle Egypt was usually held, see G. Foti Talamanca, Rieerche stl processo i 77, so that these scrutinies may have taken place in connection with the administrative and judicial review of the Oxyrhynchite nome. In one case an epicrisis is explicitly connected with the

conventus, but this was not a rule, see Foti Talamanca, op. cit. i 56-68.

7–9 In 7 the figure before the double curve which is the symbol for ('erose) is represented by only a ligature at about mid-line level. The possible years are those within the governorship of Subatianus Aquila, known from Oct./Nov. 206 to Jan./Feb. 211 (for references see 4–5 n. above) with a successor by 27 January 212 (see G. Bastianini, Tyche 1 (1986) 10 line 13 n.), i.e. years 15 to 19. Also possible is year 14 = 205/6, because although Claudius Julianus was prefect at some time in that year (P. Marmarica ix 17), we have no specific date for him. Note that this is the date range for the original scrutiny, not for this copy, cf. 16 n.

12 κο]λλημ(άτων) β<sup>-</sup>. All the parallels, where this passage is preserved, have μεθ' ἔτερα (μετ' ἄλλα SB IV 7362. 6) cελίδων n (P. Hamb. I 31, W. Chr. 459, 460, SB I 5217, IV 7362). Since κόλλημα, 'sheet glued into a roll', became in bureaucratic language the semantic equivalent of cελίς, 'column of writing', see N. Lewis, Papyrus in Classical Antiquity 79–83, and since ημς is clear, this reading has been adopted. The putative lambdas are rounded in a strange way which leaves some doubt remaining.

The figure is not damaged, but it is of the cursive shape which may represent either beta or kappa, which is not at all excluded.

12-13 'Oξυρυγχες[του. This indicates the district of origin of the person whose scrutiny was the subject of the extract. The district is the one we expect in a papyrus from Oxyrhynchus itself.

15 διαπεμψ[. This could be a promise to send the rest later, i.e. restore something like τὸ ἐξῆς μέρος υτερον) διαπεμψ[ομαι, but perhaps it is more likely that he sent the prescript on second thoughts, after having already sent the personal details, cf. introd. In that case we might restore something like ἀναγκαῖον ϯγγηκάμην καὶ τοῦτο τὸ μέρος τῆς ἐπικρίςεως] διαπέμψ[αςθαι.

The usual ἐρρῶςθαί ς]ϵ εὕχομ(αι) is suitable. The trace is scanty.

16-17 The hierophant M. Aurelius Apollonius has appeared as the sender of XXXVI 2782, a letter directed to a priestess of Demeter in one Oxyrhynchite village, Nesmeimis, instructing her to proceed to another, Sincepha, and there make the customary sacrifices on behalf of the emperors and for their victory, for the rise of the Nile, the increase of the crops, and the healthy balance of the climate. Sacrifices for the victory of emperors indicate a joint reign and the Latin elements of the priest's name confirm a dating no earlier than AD 160. A document on the back, which was the recto of the roll and written before the letter, quotes official correspondence of AD 153/4. This induced me to suggest the reigns of Marcus and Verus (AD 161-9) or Marcus and Commodus (AD 176-80) as possibilities, although I headed the document 'Second/third contury'.

Now we see that the priest was active at a date no earlier than January, AD 206, see 6–7 n., 7–9 n., which forces us to consider the increasing likelihood that Apollonius received the significant Latin elements of his name as a result of the universal grant of citizenship by Caracalla, the constitutio Antoniniana. Nomenclature in the papyri begins to show the effects of this only late in AD 214, see F. 6. B. Millar, JEL 48 (1962) 124–31, although in Syria it may have been affected earlier, see J. F. Gilliam, Roman Army Papers 299–307, esp. 306 (= Historia 14 (1965) 84–92, esp. 91). In 7–9 n. the date-range for the scrutiny conducted by Julius Marcus is fixed as AD 206–211, but the extract is obviously later than the event and may easily

be as late as AD 214 or even much later. In fact it seems far more likely than not that the Latin names do arise from the universal grant.

Furthermore, the same applies to **2782** and therefore we must probably set that document not earlier than the next joint reign after the *constitutio Antoniniana*, that of Macrinus and Diadumenianus, beginning in AD 217. I do not suggest that there was an improbably large interval between the first and the second use of **2782**. The researches of E. G. Turner, *JEA* 40 (1954) 102-6, indicate that the second use is usually within 25 years of the first. In the case of **2782** this would imply that the recto dated from not earlier than AD 191/2 and that it quoted the correspondence of AD 153/4 at a distance of at least thirty-eight years. This is not provable, but is within the bounds of credibility.

To sum up, the probabilities are that 3920 is no earlier than AD 214 and that 2782 is no earlier than

AD 217.

It should be added that the use of the praenomen along with the Antonine nomen is associated with persons of high social status in their community, see D. Hagedorn, BASP 16 (1979) 47-59, summarized on

p. 59. The Greek priesthood confirms that this is the case here.

17 This is only the second appearance of the title lεροφάντης in the papyri, see 2782, and both items refer to the same man. The word most often relates to the cult of Demeter at Eleusis, but hierophants at other places are now known, see e.g. I. Eph. Ia. 10, cf. G. H. R. Horsley, New Documents Illustrating Early Christianity, No. 4, pp. 106-7; 11 τοῦ δημοτελοῦς lεροφάντου, 23 ί. δευτέρω; R. K. Raslan, Proceedings of the XVIII International Congress of Papyrology ii 211-213, esp. 212 nn. 5 and 6.

#### 3921-2. Annual Account of a Guardian

100/55(a) 27.5 × 25.5 cm 25 February-26 March 219 22.5 × 31.5 cm

This account is the first example of its kind to be published, although we knew that guardians produced accounts, see R. Taubenschlag,  $Law^2$  168–9, cf. now SB VI 9049, a sworn undertaking by a guardian containing the words  $\tau o \hat{\nu} \epsilon \ \lambda \delta \gamma o \nu \epsilon \ \tau d \xi o \mu a \kappa a \tau a \chi \omega \rho \iota \hat{\omega}$  (10). **3921** is a fair copy, lacking an address, of an account which was probably drawn up for submission to an official archive, while **3922** is a fragmentary draft of the same, drawn up on the back of a piece cut from a register. The back of **3921** is blank. The absence of an address and the fact that a rough draft was found with it suggest that **3921** was a private copy, even though the body of it is in the hand of a clerk, followed by one subscription in the hand of the children's guardian and a second on behalf of the mother by her guardian in his own hand.

If the interpretations argued in 3921 8–9 n. are correct, the situation was as follows. After his death the father's will was opened in Mecheir of the second regnal year of Macrinus, 26 January–24 February 218. The guardian took charge of the finances of the two minors, both boys, from the following month, which began on 25 February 218. This account covers the next twelve months, up to 24 February 219. The boy's mother assisted or supervised the guardian in some fashion, with a title which is garbled in both places where it occurs; it looks as if the form παρακολουθήτρια was aimed at (6, 49), although it is new and the version ἐπακολουθήτρια is well known in this connection, see R. Taubenschlag, Law' 153–5, M. Gdz 250–1. In P. Yadin (=N. Lewis, The Documents from the Bar Kokhba Period in the Cave of Letters) Nos. 20 and 25 the Julia Crispina daughter of Ber(e)nicianus, who appears with the title of ἐπίσκοπος alongside a guardian, ἐπίτροπος, of orphan children, may well be their

mother acting in a capacity equivalent to that of ἐπακολουθήτρια in the Egyptian papyri. Her Roman names are far from being a guarantee of Roman citizenship, see 3924 4-5 n., and therefore no obstacle to recognizing her as the widow of Iesus son of Eleazar alias Chthusion. Her autograph subscription in P. Yadin 20, 43-4 is less practised than the hand of the clerk, but her Greek is no worse.

No mention is made of the mother's means of support. In Roman Egypt one spouse did not normally inherit from the other, see H.-A. Rupprecht, BASP 22 (1985) 201-5. Probably separate provision would have been made for the widow by way of a dowry or a marriage settlement.

The father bequeathed to the boys a capital sum of dr. 2,056, put out at interest, a house which was rented, and a slave girl who began to earn wages as a weaver in the middle of the period of the account. The interest produced dr. 240, the rent dr. 120, and the slave's wages dr. 140; total dr. 500. The boys' maintenance, with clothing, cost dr. 380, and a further dr. 16 were expended on rent of a room in unexplained circumstances: total dr. 396. The surplus on the year was therefore dr. 104, which was added to the capital to total dr. 2,160, credited thenceforward to the guardian's account.

We do not know what difference knowledge of the widow's own resources would have made to our picture of the family's circumstances, but if this account covers all the father's estate, the family was not rich enough to belong to the curial class, see XLIV 3175 of AD 233, where the heirs of a dead councillor were still paying interest of dr. 100 per month on his unpaid fee for entry to the council. This perhaps implies an entrance fee of dr. 10,000, see A. K. Bowman, Town Councils 26, and in any case makes an annual surplus of dr. 104 look insignificant. However, the possession of a house and a slave puts the family in the modest middle class. Clearly the father and the mother could not also have been maintained from the assets mentioned here. The father may have practised some trade or profession, or there may have been a separate account dealing with income from agricultural land, cf. P. Heid, IV 336 (text only: edition by B. Kraut, ZPE 55 (1984) 167-78), which is a report to an exegetes of Hermopolis on lands leased out on behalf of their orphaned owners and a list of goods left to their mother. We should also bear in mind the likelihood that accounts submitted to officials by private persons minimize, if not actually conceal, the extent of the assets in order to evade tax or liability to public service, cf. for the concealment of goods BGU II 388 ii 23-4, cited by Kraut l.c., p. 169.

3921 has a sheet-join c. 6 cm from the left edge and another very close to the right edge, the distance between these being c. 21 cm. The back is blank. On the back of 3922, which was the recto of the roll and the first surface to be used, there are also two sheet-joins, one 9.75 cm from the left edge and one about 0.5 cm from the right edge; in this case the usable width of the sheet was only about 12 cm. The register on this surface was in a generous format. The biggest fragment is 31.5 cm tall, even though the top edge is lost, and there are remains of only four entries in each of the two partially preserved columns. In col. i there are remains of four sums of money well apart, evidently the totals of separate entries. In col. ii each entry begins with the name of a tax: ἐπαρ[ου]ρί[ο]v (?), ξυλικοῦ, εφρ (αγιεμοῦ) μόεχων, ἐπιετατίαε φυλ(ακιτῶν), cf. S. L. Wallace, Taxation 56-9; 262, 264; 246-7; 279. What followed the headings is much damaged but evidently contained sums of money and names of months. (Read with Jairus Banaji.)

#### 3921

col. i π αρὰ [Α]ψρηλίου Ἱέρακος ζαραπ[ί]ωνος μητ (ρός) Ταυτορηοῦτ (ος) ἐπιτρόπου ἀφηλ (ίκων) τέκν]ων Ίζιδώρου Άχιλλᾶτο[ς] Αὐρηλ(ίων) Βερε]νεικιανοῦ τοῦ καὶ Α[γ]α[θοῦ] Δαίμο(νος) καὶ ] ζαραπιάδου τοῦ καὶ Πος[ει]δωνίου κ[α]ὶ παρὰ Νεικαροῦτος `μητ(ρὸς) καὶ΄ πζα ρα[κολουθ]ητρίας τῶν αὐτῶν ἀφηλίκων ἀκολούθως διαθήκη τῆ καὶ λυθείςη τῷ διεληλυθότ[ι] β (ἔτει) Μεχείρ. (vac.) λόγο[c] λημμάτων καὶ ἀναλωμάτων τῶν ἀ πὸ Φαμενώθ τοῦ διελθόντ(ος) α (ἔτους) έως Μ εχείρ τοῦ ἐνεςτῶτος β (ἔτους) λ[ήμματ]ος μέν, δ]ιαφ[όρο]υ ἀργυρ(ίου) δραχμῶν διεχιλίων π]εντ[ή]κοντα έξ, ώς τοῦ μηνὸς δραχ[μ]ῶν εἴκοςι, ἀπὸ Φαμενὼθ τοῦ διελθ (όντος) [α] (ἔτους) ἔως Μεχείρ τοῦ ἐνεςτ(ῶτος) β (ἔτους)  $(\delta \rho.) c\mu$ (vac.)  $\mu \eta (\nu \hat{\omega} \nu) [\iota \beta]$ ένοικίου οἰκίας ὁμοί(ως) μη(νῶν) ιβ- $(\delta \rho.) \rho \kappa$ μιςθών παιδός γερδιαίνης ἀπό Θώθ τοῦ ἐνεςτ(ῶτος) β (ἔτους) ἔως Μεχείρ μη(νῶν) ς  $(\delta \rho.)$   $\kappa$ τοῦ μὲν Θὼθ καὶ ἀπὸ Φαῶφι ἔως Μεχεὶρ  $\mu\eta(\nu\hat{\omega}\nu) \epsilon^- \dot{\omega} \epsilon \tau(o\hat{v}) \mu\eta(\nu\dot{o}\epsilon) (\delta\rho.) \kappa\delta$ γίνο (νται) έ(πὶ τὸ αὐτὸ) τοῦ λήμματ(ος) 4 Ι. Βερενικιανού; δαιμό Νικαρούτος; μη<sup>7</sup> 2  $μη^{T}$ ?ταυςορηου<sup>T</sup>, αφη<sup>λ</sup> 18 μη), II  $\delta\iota\epsilon\lambda\theta o\nu^{\tau}\alpha$ 

24 μη  $\angle = (\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu$ -), and so throughout 19 ομο<sup>‡</sup>μ1 25  $\gamma ιν^{0} ε^{)}$ ,  $λημμα^{T}$ 

45

col. i

έξ ὧν ἀναλώ(ματος)

τροφείων ἀφήλιξι τῶν ἀπὸ Φαμενώθ

τοῦ διελθ (όντος) α (ἔτους) ἔως Μεχείρ τοῦ ἐνεςτ (ῶτος) β (ἔτους)

τῶν μὲν ἀπὸ Φαμενὼθ ἔως Άθὺρ

μηνῶν  $\theta^-$  ὡς τοῦ μη(νὸς) (δρ.) κδ (δρ.) εις καὶ τοῦ Χύακ Τῦβι μη(νῶν) β

 $\dot{\omega}_{\rm C} \, \tau(\circ \hat{v}) \, \mu_{\rm T}(\nu \dot{\circ}_{\rm C}) \, (\delta_{\rm D}) \, \lambda \beta \tag{\delta_{\rm D}}$ 

καὶ ὑπὲρ τοῦ Μεχεὶρ (δρ.)

iματιςμοῦ τοῦ ἐνεςτ(ῶτος) β (ἔτους) (δρ.) δ

ύπερ ενοικίου τόπου ενός

έν οἰκία αὐτῶν τῶν ἀπὸ Θὼθ

τοῦ ἐνεττῶτος ἔτους `ἔως Μεχεὶρ΄ μη(νῶν) ς  $\bar{}$  (δρ.) ι

 $\gamma$ ίνο(νται)  $\dot{\epsilon}(πὶ τὸ αὐτὸ)$  τοῦ ἀναλώ $\mu$ (ατος) (δρ.) τρς.

(vac.)

λοι (παί) λημμάτ (ων) τῶν προκειμέ (νων) προςτι-

ο θέμεναι τῷ κεφαλαίῳ ἀπὸ Φαμενὼθ

 $\tau \circ \hat{v} \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon c \tau \hat{\omega} \tau (oc) \beta (\stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \tau o \nu c) \qquad (\delta \rho.) \rho \delta,$ 

ώς είναι κεφαλαίου έ(πὶ τὸ αὐτὸ) δραχμαὶ διεχίλιαι έκὰτὸν έξήκοντα, (γίνονται) (δρ.) Βρξ,

αί καὶ λοιπογραφούμεναι παρ' έμοὶ

τῶ Ἱέρακι.

(ἔτους) β Αὐτοκράτορος Καίςαρος Μάρκου Αὐρηλίου

Αντωνίνου Εὐςεβοῦς Εὐτυχοῦς ζεβαςτοῦ, Φαμενώθ.

(m. 2) Αὐρήλιος Ἱέρακ Cαραπίονος κατεχώριςςα.

(m. 3)  $A \dot{v} \rho \eta \lambda(ia) N \epsilon i \kappa a \rho o \hat{v} c \pi a' \rho a \kappa o \lambda o' v' \theta \eta' \tau \langle \rho \rangle i' a c v \nu \kappa a \tau \epsilon \chi \dot{w} \rho i c a.$ 

50 Αὐρήλ(ιος) Άμμωνιανὸς Απολλωνίου ἐπιγέγραμμαι αὐτῆς κύριος αἰτηθεὶς ὑπ' αὐτῆς καὶ ἔγραψα ὑπὲρ αὐτῆς μὴ εἰδυίης γράμματα.

26 αναλω 28 διελθας, ενες τρς 30 μη 31 Ι. τών Χοίακ; μη 32 ως τμη 34 ενες τρς 37 μη 38 γυνο ε), αναλωμ 39 λοι λημματ, προκειμέ 41 ενες τωτ ρς 42 ε) 42-3 διεχιλίαι 43 /= (γύνονται) 46  $\angle \beta$  48 Ι. Τέραξ Cαραπίωνος κατεχώριςα 49 αυρή; Ι. Νικαρούς; ευγκατεχώριςα 50 αυρή

'From Aurelius Hierax son of Sarapion, mother Taysorëus, guardian of minors, the children of Isidorus son of Achillas, Aurelius Berenicianus(?) alias Agathus Daemon and Aurelius Sarapiades alias Poseidonius, and from Nicarus, mother and supervisor of the same minors, in conformity with the will which was opened in the past 2nd year, in Mecheir.'

'Account of receipts and expenses from Phamenoth of the past 1st year until Mecheir of the present 2nd year:

For receipts,

Interest on two thousand and fifty-six drachmas of silver, at twenty drachmas per month, from Phamenoth

of the past 1st year until Mecheir of the present

2nd year, 12 months dr. 240
For rent of a house likewise for 12 months dr. 120

For wages of a slave girl as weaver from Thoth of the present 2nd year until Mecheir, 6 months:

for Thoth dr. 20 and from Phaophi until Mecheir,

5 months, at dr. 24 per month dr. 120.

'Sum total of the receipts
'Out of which for expenditure:

For maintenance to the minors from Phamenoth of the past 1st year until Mecheir of the present 2nd year:

for the 9 months from Phamenoth

to Hathyr, at dr. 24 per month
and for the 2 months Choeac
and Tybi, at dr. 32 per month
and for Mecheir
dr. 40

For clothing for the present 2nd year dr. 60

In respect of rent of one room in their house from Thoth of the present year until Mecheir,

6 months dr. 16.'
(Sum total of the expenditure dr. 396.'

'Sum total of the expenditure dr. 396.'

'Remaining from the aforesaid receipts to be added to the capital from Phamenoth of the present 2nd year dr. 104.'

'So that as capital there are in sum two thousand one hundred and sixty drachmas; total dr. 2,160,

which are being credited to me Hierax.'

'Year 2 of Imperator Caesar Marcus Aurelius Antoninus Pius Felix Augustus, Phamenoth.'

(2nd hand) 'I, Aurelius Hierax son of Sarapion, placed (this account) on record.'

(3rd hand) 'I, Aurelia Nicarus supervisor, joined in placing (this account) on record.'

1, Aurelius Ammonianus son of Apollonius, have been enrolled as her guardian at her request and I wrote on her behalf because she does not know letters.'

1 π]αρά. No address was ever written, probably because this was a private copy kept for the use of the guardian himself. There survive two receipts issued to guardians by keepers of the public records (βιβλιοφύλακες δημοςίων λόγων), acknowledging delivery of the accounts of guardianship. The best preserved is P. Diog (= P. Schubert, Les archives de Marcus Lucretius Diogenes) 19. Dr Schubert has revised BGU I 88 to show that it was a similar receipt, ZPE 77 (1989) 189–90, Tafel V. The former acknowledges an account for a six month period, the latter an annual account of receipts and expenditure—λόγ(ον) λημ(μμάτων) καὶ αναλωμ(άτων), cf. 10 here. This account, then, may well have been destined for the nome capital's βιβλιοθήκη δημοςίων λόγων, on which see W. E. H. Cockle, JEA 70 (1984) 113–6, F. Burkhalter, Chiron 20 (1990) 191–216.

2 Ταυκορηφότ(ος). The name is new, i.e. not in F. Preisigke, Namenbuch or D. Foraboschi, Onomasticon. Perhaps -εῦτ(ος) is possible, cf. Ταυκορεῦς in PSI VIII 874. 6, 11, but names in -ηοῦς are commoner than

those in -neûc, cf. F. Dornseiff, B. Hansen, Rückläusiges Wb. d. gr. Eigennamen 299, 307.

3 τέκν ων. Cf. VI 909 2.

4 Space and traces suit Βερε] εμκιανοῦ (Ι. Βερενικ-) very well, but perhaps some doubt should remain. 6 We might well expect ἐπακολουθητρίας, cf. introd. para. 2, but πρα[ looks right here and see 49 and n.

8 διαθήκη τη καὶ λυθείκη. For the formal opening of wills cf. e.g. LIV **3758** 134–55, 181–213, with 141–2 n.

8–9 διεληλυθότ[ι]  $\beta$  (ἔτει) Μεχείρ. Spacing and traces favour this reading; -θότι  $\alpha$  (ἔτει) seems much less suited to the writing. In 46-7 the account is dated year 2 of Elagabalus, Phamenoth = 25 February-28 March 219. In 10-12 it is headed as an account running from Phamenoth of the past first year (Feb./Mar. 218) to Mecheir of the present second year (Jan./Feb. 219). The earliest surviving Egyptian date by Elagabalus is of year 2, Thoth 2 = 30 August 218, see D. W. Rathbone, ZPE 62 (1986) 107. At the date when this account began the Egyptian dating formulas would have referred to Phamenoth of year 2 of Macrinus, cf. ib. 106. It was the usual practice for clerks writing in retrospect to attribute to the current emperor the whole of the Egyptian year in which he acceded, as is done in this account from line 10 onwards. At this point it seems that cryptic reference was made to year 2 of Macrinus. Macrinus is not named, because he was regarded as a usurper, but the regnal year of Macrinus is retained, probably because the record of the opening of the will was necessarily dated by it and remained filed in the public records under it, so that no access to the official proof of the legal process was possible without the correct date by the contemporary system. Compare P. Oxy. XL p. 24 para. 4, and add P. Mert. II 85. 18-21, [ol] & 6 ανθρωπος ο τι [αν ζητ] ής εν τή βιβλιοθήκη. ζήτει άπὸ α (ετους) Άλεξάνδρου (Severus Alexander) εω[ς τοῦ] θ (erouc). If all this is correct, the account is for the first year of the guardianship, which began in Phamenoth of 218 after the will which appointed the guardian was opened in the month before, Mecheir, see introd. para. 2.

10-12 Cf. 8-9 n.

14-15 This capital sum may have derived from the sale of goods belonging to the estate, cf. LIV 3756 13-14, τῶν ἀπὸ τιμῆς τῶν διαπραθέντων ὑπαρχώντων ἀκολούθως τῇ αὐτῇ διαθήκη ὅντων ἐπὶ τὸ αὐτὸ ἀργυρίον ταλάντων ὀκτα[κ]οςίων, and F. Fam. Tebt. 50. 9-10 for the διάπρακες, which probably occurred also in SB VI 9049. 9 (with P. J. Sijpesteijn, Tijdschrift voor Rechtsgeschiedenis 50 (1982) 200 n. on lines 9-10), where read probably τὴν δι]ἀπρακν rather than τή)ν πράκν.

15-18 The interest is slightly below the normal drachma per mina per month, i.e. 12% per annum, which would have produced c. dr. 246.72. The sum of dr. 240 is c. 11.67% and represents the normal rate on dr. 2,000. The 12% rate is by far the commonest, but a very few exceptions both higher and lower are found, see H. E. Finckh, Zinsrehl 31-2. Part of the reason for the difference is the need for convenient figures, including perhaps the avoidance of fractions of the tetradrachm. Other speculations might be that the estate was an economically weak party in its dealings, or otherwise that the declaration aims at minimizing the assets.

20-24 Note that the slave's wages make the difference between profit and loss on this account. The slave girl was presumably part of the father's estate, since there is no entry recording her purchase. She did not begin to earn wages until six months of the period of the account had passed, whereupon she earned dr. 20 for one month and dr. 24 for each of the remaining five. Note that there are no expenses for her upkeep. We might guess that she was serving her apprenticeship as a weaver, maintained by her instructor, and then began to earn wages either from the instructor or from another employer, who maintained her. For a rising scale of wages earned by a slave textile worker, a κτενιστής, in the later stages of apprenticeship see XLI 2977 16-28. For literature on apprenticeship see lately P. Heid. IV p. 198; add to the lists O. Pearl, BASP 22 (1985) 255-9, also for a slave girl as weaver, but with no rising scale. Cf. I. Bieżuńska-Małowist, L'Esclavage ii 103.

27-34 The pattern of nine months of strict economy followed by modest rises in personal expenditure is interesting, but no very definite conclusions can be drawn.

35–7 Cf. 19 n. On the rather general sense of  $\tau \delta moc$  see G. Husson, OIKIA 276–8. 49 Cf. 6, where the damage complicates the reading still further. Here there is no damage but extensive correction. The first version seems to have been  $\tau m \rho a v h or have been the proposed place. Et a is anomalous and may have been corrected already. An alpha was added above the line between pi and rho, gamma was overwritten by kappa, and a very tiny upsilon was added above the line between omicron and theta. For the final correction we expect <math>\tau p_0$  above the line. Iota is clear and before it there is some botching. A crossbar shows that tau was intended, but the confusion around the upright does not look as if the corrector did finally succeed in writing  $\tau p_0$ , though this is clear in 6. The word  $\pi a p a v c h or b v c$  and to cocurred before and is so garbled here that we may not be obliged to accept it, cf. Introd. para. 2, 6 n. above.

#### 3922

See also the introduction to **3921-2** above. The largest of four detached scraps has remains of 4 lines below a space which looks like a top margin. The recto is blank, but this does not help, since the recto register is very generously spaced everywhere. Its appearance suggests that it might belong to the top of this column, but I have not succeeded in reading more than a few isolated letters or in fitting it anywhere into a text which ought to be largely similar to **3921**. Three smaller scraps also unplaced have only very meagre remains. Some rather faint traces above and to the right of line 1 have not been understood or transcribed; they may be offsets.

```
μιcθων παιδός γερδιαίνης ἀπό Θω]θ [τ]οῦ ἐν[εςτ(ωτος)] β (ἔτους)
                  έως Μεχείρ μη(νῶν) ς
                 τοῦ μὲν Θὼ]θ[
                                                  (\delta \rho.) \kappa
          καὶ ἀπὸ Φαῶφι β (ἔτους) [ἔως Μεχεὶρ μη(νῶν)] ε
          \dot{\omega}c \tau(o\hat{v}) μη(v\dot{o}c) (\delta\rho.) κδ (vac.) (\delta\rho.) ρκ
           καὶ ὁμοί(ωc) ἀπὸ Θωθ [β (ἔτουc) ] ἔωc
                   M_{\epsilon\chi\epsilon\hat{i}\rho} [\beta (\check{\epsilon}\tau o v c) ] `\mu\eta(v\hat{\omega}v) \varsigma ' \check{\epsilon}vo\iota\kappa \acute{i}ov (\delta\rho.) \xi, (\gamma\acute{i}vov\tau \alpha\iota) (\delta\rho.) \phi
                           έξ ὧν ἀ[να]λώ(ματος)
          τροφείων ἀφήλιξι τῶν
                ἀπὸ Φαμενώθ α (ἔτους) ἔως
             A\theta \dot{\nu} \rho \ \mu \eta (\nu \hat{\omega} \nu) \ \theta^{-\prime} \ \dot{\omega} c \ \tau o \hat{v} \ \mu \eta (\nu \dot{o} c) \ (\delta \rho.) \ \kappa \delta \ (\delta \rho.) \ cis
      καὶ ὁμοί(ως) [καὶ] τοῦ Χύακ καὶ Τῦβι
              μη(νῶν) δύο ὡς τ(οῦ) μη(νὸς) (δρ.) λβ
           καὶ ύπὲρ τοῦ Μεχεὶρ
                                                                                                          7 \mu \eta^{)}, |=(γίνονται)
     1 βS
                                                              12 ομο<sup>‡</sup>; 1. Χοίακ
8 α[να]λώ
```

'Deliver to the minor: pomegranates, twenty; peaches, twenty.'

'From Apollonius, guardian of Heracleidiaena, minor, to Sarapammon, fruitgrower of Pa(n?)culëeiu.'

 $(\delta \rho_{\cdot}) \xi$ 

 $\dot{\epsilon}$ γοικίου τόπου  $\dot{\epsilon}$ νὸς ' $\dot{\epsilon}$ ν τ $\hat{\eta}$  αὐτ $(\hat{\omega}\nu)$  '  $\mu\eta(\nu\hat{\omega}\nu)$   $\bar{\varsigma}$  [  $(\gamma \acute{\nu} \nu \nu \tau a\iota)$   $(\delta \rho.)$  τ $\rho$ ]  $\dot{\epsilon}$ απὸ Θ $\dot{\omega}$ θ  $\dot{\rho}$  ( $\dot{\epsilon}$ τους)  $\dot{\epsilon}$ ως Μεχεὶρ ( $\delta \rho.$ )  $\iota \varsigma$ , ( $\gamma \acute{\nu} \nu \nu \nu \tau a\iota$ ) ( $\delta \rho.$ ) τ $\rho \varsigma$ .

(vac.)

λοι  $(\pi a i)$  λημ $(\mu \acute{a} \tau \omega \nu)$  'τ $\acute{\omega} \nu$  προκειμ $(\acute{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu)$  ' προττιθέμεναι τ $\acute{\omega}$  κεφαλαί $\acute{\omega}$  ἀπὸ Φαμεν $\acute{\omega} \theta$  β (ἔτους)

(δρ.) ρδ ώς εἶναι κεφαλαίου `έ(πὶ τὸ αὐτὸ)' (δρ.) βρξ, αι καὶ λοιπογρ(αφούμεναι) παρ' ἐμοί.

20

24

15  $\beta$ \$ 16  $av^{\tau}\mu\eta^{\prime}$  17  $\beta$ \$,  $|=(\gamma i \nu o \nu \tau a \iota)$  18  $\lambda o \iota^{\prime} \lambda \eta^{\mu}$ ,  $\pi \rho o \kappa \epsilon \iota^{\mu}$ ,  $\beta$ \$ 19  $\epsilon^{\prime}$  20  $\lambda o \iota \pi$ 

The surviving part of the draft is so close to the fair copy in 3921 that a separate translation seems superfluous. The most substantial difference is in lines 6-7, see n, below.

1-5 Cf. **3921** 20-24.

6-7 Cf. **3921** 19, where the house rent is recorded in a single entry covering the full twelve month period of the account. The entry here covers only the second half of the year, so that there must have been an earlier entry in this draft for the first six months.

7 For the total, (γίνονται) (δρ.) φ, cf. 3921 25.

8-20 Cf. 3921 26-44.

#### 3923. Order to Supply Fruit

28 4B.59/A(1-4)a

 $8.5 \times 6$  cm

Third century

This little chit, from the guardian of a girl who was an orphan and a minor, is an order to a fruitgrower authorizing him to supply twenty pomegranates and twenty peaches for her use. Presumably the fruitgrower was a tenant or an employee on land belonging to the girl and this document is part of the internal accounting system on her estates.

The girl's name, Heracleidiaena, is rare, with only one entry in F. Preisigke, *Namenbuch*, and one more in D. Foraboschi, *Onomasticon*, and so suggests a link with two other documents from Oxyrhynchus, see 1-2 n.

The oblong piece was evidently cut specially to this format. The writing, a practised but not too rapid cursive, runs across the fibres, although the back is blank.

 $\pi(\alpha p \dot{\alpha})$  Άπολλωνίου ἐπιτρόπου Ἡρακλειδιένης ἀφήλικος Cαραπάμμωνι πωμαρίτη Πακουληείου. δὸς τῆ ἀφήλικι ῥόες εἴκοςι, [ . . . . ] περεικὰ εἴκοςι. (ἔτους)  $\beta / /$  Άθ $\dot{\gamma}$ ρ $\dot{\gamma}$ .

1 π/ 1 2 l. Ήρακλειδιαίνης 4 l. δόας 6 Δβ//.

'Year 2, Hathyr 3rd.'

1-2 Ἡρακλειδιένης (= -ιαίνης); cf. F. T. Gignac, Grammar i 192-3. This name is very rare, cf. introd., so that it is worth suggesting that the girl may be the same as Heracleidiaena daughter of Heracleides, late councillor of Alexandria, who appears as a matrona stolata in P. Coll. Youtie II 68 of An 266, and as an orphaned minor in XIV 1637 2, 22, which is datable to between An 256/7 and An 261, see P. Coll. Youtie 68. 1 n., with XLIII 3112 introd. In 1637 she is being assigned a three-eighths share in the division of an

estate. Her brother is assigned an interest in half of the estate along with two other males who seem to be brothers, but sons of a different father at least. A married woman receives the remaining eighth.

If this identification is correct, the name of her guardian can be restored in 1637 4 as (Aurelius², cf.

ib. 2, 3) Apollonius.

The Heracleidiaena in P. Mert. II 71. 3 (corr. J. Bingen, JEA 48 (1962) 179 = BL VI 78) cannot be the same, because the document refers to regnal years 23 and 24, which cannot be later than the reign of Caracalla, and are probably as early as Antoninus Pius, as the editors suggest.

3 Πακουληείου is probably the same as Παγγουλεείου, see P. Pruneti, I centri abitati 128-9, also spelled Παγκουληίου and Πανκουληίου in P. Harr. I 137. 5, 24, see F. T. Gignac, Grammar i 111-119, for uncertainty over nasals. P. Harr. 137 actually mentions a πωμάριον, which is interesting, if not perhaps significant.

4 δὸς τῆ ἀφήλικι. I hesitate to translate this wording, 'Deliver for' (rather than 'to') 'the minor', although I imagine that it would better reflect the facts. I don't think that the chit was presented to the

gardener by the proprietress herself.

 $\dot{\rho}\dot{\phi}$ ec ( $\dot{=}\dot{\rho}\dot{\phi}$ dc). The spelling, which is not easily explained as phonetic, suggests a form of  $\dot{\rho}o\dot{\phi}$ e, 'sumach', though nominitive rather than accusative plural. However, mention of this plant is extremely rare, see P. Cair. Zen. 1 59083. 4, IV 59702. 29, and the association here with peaches seems to argue that pomegranates are meant, cf. SB I 4483. 14–15, 4485. 5–7. See M. Schnebel, *Landwirtschaft* 311–15 for fruit-growing in the papyri.

The correction, now quite faint, looks as if the writer first tried to crowd  $\pi\epsilon\rho$  into the end of the line. 6 If the identification here is correct, see 1-2 n., and the orphan girl was a matrona stolata by AD 266, this date might be 30 October 261 (2 Macrianus and Quietus), and this is perhaps the most likely, if the property assigned to her in 1637, no earlier than AD 256/7, is the whole of her estate. If not, earlier possibilities are 30 October 254 (2 Valerian and Gallienus) and, possibly, 253 (2 Aemilianus), 31 October 251 (2 Gallus and Volusianus), 30 October 250 (2 Decius and Herennius), 244 (2 Philips), and 238 (2 Gordian III), and 31 October 235 (2 Maximinus). This is the earliest possible date allowing twenty-five years for the minority of a Roman citizen, the next preceding year 2 being 2 Severus Alexander, AD 222/3.

#### 3924. SWORN UNDERTAKING OF COMPULSORY SERVICE

71/24(a) + VI 972

 $9.5 \times 9$  cm

24 August 223

The new part of this item consists of the top and fifteen lines, little damaged. It shares line 15 with VI 972, and with it gives a document of thirty lines, complete except for a very few letters lost in small holes.

The office undertaken is now specified as ὑπηρετία βουλῆτ (9), that is, service to the town council with the title of ὑπηρέτητ βουλευτικότ, on which see A. K. Bowman, Town Councils 42. Only one other document from Oxyrhynchus refers to this office,

I **59** of AD 292, where a communication from the council to the local governor is subscribed by one. Otherwise the title appears only in CPR I 20 (= W. Chr. 402) i 12 and ii 14–15, of AD 250. In the first passage a communication from the acting president of the council of Hermopolis was delivered by a βουλευτικὸς ὑπηρέτης to an ex-cosmetes, who was protesting against the appointment of his son to the same office of cosmetes after too short an interval; the second passage is obscurely worded, see the commentary of Mitteis in CPR I p. 116, but it seems that the complainant had been placed under arrest or surveillance by the ὑπηρέτης and by a guard in the service of the president of the council (ψύλακος τῆς πρυτανείας ii 15).

These instances confirm the general observation of the study by H. Kupiszewski and J. Modrzejewski,  $\mathcal{JJP}$  11–12 (1957–8) 141–166, esp. 161, that  $\delta\pi\eta\rho\acute{e}\tau\alpha\iota$  serving various authorities acted especially as official witnesses to public procedures. Typical activities monitored by the assistants of the local governors, who are the best attested, are the notification of liability to service as a magistrate, cf. **3930** 19–20, and the examination, usually by public doctors, of persons injured by assailants, cf. **3926** 18. A well preserved undertaking by this sort of  $\delta\pi\eta\rho\acute{e}\tau\eta c$  is XXXVI **2764**, cf. the fragmentary **2765** and **182**.

Of some interest are the odd Roman names, although I do not know what conclusions to draw. The principal person is Gaius Pulfernius Tiberinus son of Pulfernia Berenice alias Ammonilla. It looks as if they will be related to Polyphernius Firmus and Polyphernia Apia, who appear as former tenants or owners of land registered in AD 241, see XLVII 3365 (= P. Coll. Youtie I 65) 56, 60-61. The speciously Greek distortion of the nomen in 3365, which has been checked again from the original in all three places, was presumably invented from  $\pi o \lambda \dot{v} c$  and  $\phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho v \eta$  by an ingenious and incredulous clerk, who liked Pulfernius even less than we do. Hesychius preserves for us the adjective πολύφερνος. The nomen is so far peculiar to this family and looks like a conflation of Pulfennius and Prifernius. It is not simply a mistake for the former; the spelling of the clerk of 3924, who wrote the name in two places, is repeated in the autograph subscription; note that 3365 has a heading stating that it is a copy. The guarantor is Gaius Julius Antonius, whose cognomen is a distinguished Roman nomen, but at least this usage is paralleled elsewhere, e.g. Aurelius Antonius, praeses Herculiae c. AD 315-16 (PLRE I 77), and an Oxyrhynchite namesake acting as a witness to identity in AD 270 (XL 2894 ii 43). In fact, Antonius was a common personal name in Roman Egypt and it is not too surprising to see it becoming a cognomen in this way. These men may have had military antecedents and it may well be that there were para-military aspects to the work of ὑπηρέται, but they do not often have Roman names; moreover, the system of appointment as a compulsory public service lasting one year would not be well fitted to produce a consistent type of officer in the job.

The writing runs along the fibres of what is shown to be the recto of the original roll from which the piece was cut by a sheet-join running vertically about 1.5 cm from the right edge. The back is blank.

 $\delta(\pi\eta\rho\epsilon-)\beta ov\lambda()$ 

(Read with Robert Cramer.)

Αὐρηλίω Άμμωνίω γυμναςιάρχ(ω) ἐνάρχω πρυτάνει τῆς Ὁξυρυγχειτῶν πόλεως. Γάϊος Πουλφέρ-

- 5 νιος Τιβερεῖνος μητρὸς Πουλφερνίας Βερει[ί]κης τῆς καὶ Άμμωνίλλης, εἰςδοθεὶς ὑπὸ τοῦ τῆς ς - φυλῆς τρίτης περιόδου ἀμφ[ο]δογραμματέως εἰς ὑπηρεςίαν βουλῆς,
- 10 ὀμνύω τὴν τοῦ κυρίου ἡμῶν Αὐτοκράτορος Καίςαρος Μάρκου Αὐρηλίου Cϵουήρου Άλεξάνδρου Καίςαρος τοῦ κυρίου τύχην ἐκτελέςειν τα̞ψ[την] ἐνφανὴς ὢν ὑγιῶς
- 15 κα[ὶ πι]ςτῶς εἰς [τὸ] ἐν μ[η]δενὶ μεμφθῆναι [ἢ] ἔ[νο]χο[ς ε]ἔην τῷ ὅρκῳ, π[α]ρέςχον δὲ ἐμαυτοῦ ἐνγυητὴν Γάϊον Ἰούλιον Ἀντώνιον παρόντα καὶ εὐδο-
- ιο κοῦντα. ἔτους β¯ Αὖτοκράτορος Καίςα[ρ]ος Μάρκου Αὖρηλίου Cεουήρου Άλεξάνδρου Εὐτεβοῦς Εὐτυχοῦς Cεβαςτοῦ, Μεςορὴ ἐπαγομένων α¯.
- (m. 2) Γάϊος Πουλφέρνιος Τιβερείνος
  - 25 ὤμοςα τὸν ὅρκον καὶ ἐκτελέςςω τὴν χρεία[ν ὡς π]ρόκειται.
- (m. 3) Γάϊος Ἰοψλιο[c] Ἀντώνιο[c ἐνγυôμαι αὐτὸν ἐκτε-λοῦ⟨ν⟩τα τὴν δηλουμένην
   χρίαν ὡς πρόκιται.

 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \nu \end{pmatrix}$  βουλ 2 γυμναςιαρ 3-4 l. Όξυρυγχιτών 4 γαΐος 5 l. Τιβερίνος 7 ϋπο? 9 ϋπηρεςιαν 14 l. ἐμφανής; ϋγιως 18 l. ἐγγυητήν; γαΐον ἴουλιον 24 γαΐος; l. Τιβερίνος 27 ἴουλιο [c] 28 ε corr., α corr. from  $\epsilon$ ?; l. ἐγγυώμαι 30 l. χρείαν, πρόκειται

'Assistantship to the council (?)

'To Aurelius Ammonius, gymnasiarch, prytanis in office of the city of the Oxyrhynchites.'

'I, Gaius Pulfernius Tiberinus, mother Pulfernia Berenice alias Ammonilla, having been nominated by the district scribe of the 6th tribe of the third cycle to assistantship to the council, swear by the fortune of our lord Imperator Caesar Marcus Aurelius Severus Alexander Caesar the lord to complete this, remaining in attendance soundly and reliably so as to be in no way blameworthy, or may I be liable to (the penalties for breaking) the oath. And I provided as guarantor for myself Gaius Julius Antonius, who is present and gives his consent. Year 2 of Imperator Caesar Marcus Aurclius Severus Alexander Pius Felix Augustus, Mesore 1st intercalary day.'

(2nd hand) 'I, Gaius Pulfernius Tiberinus, swore the oath and I shall complete the service as aforesaid.' (3rd hand) 'I, Gaius Julius Antonius, guarantee him to complete the stated service as aforesaid.

Ι  $v(\pi\eta\rho\epsilon-)$  βουλ( ). Expand most probably to  $\dot{v}(\pi\eta\rho\epsilon\epsilon la)$  βουλ( $\hat{\eta}\epsilon$ ), cf. 9, or another case. Also conceivable would be  $\dot{v}(\pi\eta\rho\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta\epsilon)$  βουλ(ευτικόε), and no doubt there are further possibilities.

2-3 For Aurelius Ammonius see I 77, XLVI 3286, for γυμναcιάρχ(φ) rather than γυμναcιαρχ(ήταντι) see locc. citt., cf. P. J. Sijpesteijn, Nouvelle liste des gymnasiarques 28 No. 346 (cf. 330) and perhaps 44 No. 559. For the office of prytanis, president of the council, see A. K. Bowman, Town Councils 53-67. Ammonius

was president for the year AD 222/3, five days of which remained after the date of this document, see below 7-8 n.

4-5 The tria nomina are never an infallible indication of real Roman citizenship, see H. C. Youtie, 'Απάτορες', in Le monde grec: Hommages ... Préaux 737-8 = Scriptiunculae Posteriores i 31-2. At this date, after the Constitutio Antoniniana, virtually everyone was a Roman citizen; so no doubt was this man, but since he had no official father, he cannot have belonged even to the privileged metropolitan class in Oxyrhynchus, see P. Oxy. XL p. 5. His position as assistant to the council will have given him power and influence of a kind, but it was not a grand position. The exact significance of the Roman name remains unclear, cf. introd.

7 εἰεδοθείε. On the terminology see N. Lewis, The Compulsory Public Services 60.

7-8 On the cycles of the tribes at Oxyrhynchus see XLIII 3095-8 and Bowman, Town Councils 149-53. The third cycle of the sixth tribe fell in the Egyptian year AD 223/4, which was to begin on 30 August 223, six days after the date of this undertaking. Here we see, naturally enough, the president of the council for one year receiving the undertakings of public servants appointed for the next year, and a district scribe in action appointing public servants in advance of the year for which he had been made responsible.

8-9 On the district scribe see P. Mertens, Les services de l'état civil 7-16. We actually know the name of this one, Aurelius Dionysius, from XLIII 3096, which refers to the same tribal cycle and describes the second year as being the past second year, so that it dates from the liturgical year in which our assistant

to the council was to serve, AD 223/4, the third regnal year of Severus Alexander.

10-13 The oath formula is redundant and undoubtedly wrong, but it is not possible to give a single definitive correct version. It would be within the range of the normal imperial oath formulas of the period to have either τοῦ κυρίου ἡμῶν Αὐτοκράτορος Καίςαρος before the names, or Καίςαρος τοῦ κυρίου after them, but it is not normal to have both, see E. Seidl, Der Eid i 15-16.

18-19 For the name see introd., cf. 4-5 n.

20-23 24 August 223.

24-30 The subscription of the principal is practised and competent, as we would expect from the office he was to fill. Although that of his guarantor is laboured and sprinkled with phonetic spellings, they are of a familiar and not too shocking kind, and he is hardly to be described as a βραδέως γράφων, on which category of bad writers see H. Č. Youtie, GRBS 12 (1971) 239-61 = Scriptiunculae ii 629-51

Although subscriptions by ὑπηρέται are frequent, note XXXVI 2765 22-4 of 20 December 303 (BL VI 112), where an amanuensis subscribes on behalf of the incoming υπηρέτης and of his guarantor, both

of whom are illiterate.

#### 3925. Notification of Appointment

101/148(b)

12 × 15 cm

c. 245 6

There is no precise parallel to this document and the damage and loss of the foot are the more to be regretted since the wording may imply that a special committee was set up in Oxyrhychus in this period to deal with municipal affairs. It is tempting to connect this committee with the administrative reforms made by Philip the Arabian, see 8-9 n., but the circumstances remain unclear. What we can read is that the president of the council wrote to an ex-gymnasiach to give him formal notice that the council had elected him, along with the president, the syndics, and ten (other?) councillors who had already held municipal magistracies, to attend to concerns of the city.

The writing runs along the fibres. There is no sheet-join. The back is blank.

(Read with Michael A. Speidel.)

Α] ὖρήλιος Δίος ὁ καὶ Περτίναξ ετρ(ατηγὸς) Ὀξυρυγχ(ίτου) Αὐρη[λ]ί[ω] ζαραπίωνι τῷ κα[ὶ ἀγαθ]ῷ Δαίμονι γυμναςιαρχήςαντι τῶι φ[ιλτάτω] χαίρειν. ή αὐτόθι κρατίςτη βουλ[ή διά c. 8 letters Εὐδαίμον [ο]ς ἐνάρχου πρ[υτάνε]ως ἐπέςτειλάν μοι δηλοῦντες ἡρῆ[ςθα]ί ςε ἐν τῶ ςυνεδρίω αμα τῶ ἐνάρχω πρυτάνει καὶ τοῖς ςυνδίκοις καὶ ἄλλοις ἀνδράςι δέκα ἄρξαςι βουλευταῖς τῆς αὐτῆς πόλεως παραςτήναι τοις τή πόλει διαφέρους εὐτυχ [ ] [ c. 15 letters ]

ι στρίοξυρυγχ΄

'Aurelius Dius alias Pertinax, strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome, to Aurelius Sarapion alias Agathus Daemon former gymnasiarch, his dearest colleague, greetings.'

'The most excellent council of this place, through ... Eudaemon, prytanis in office, wrote to me declaring that you had been chosen in the session, along with the prytanis in office and the syndics and ten other men, ex-magistrates (and) councillors of the same city, to attend to the concerns (?) of the city ...'

1 Cf. G. Bastianini, J. E. G. Whitehorne, Strategi and Royal Scribes 99. Dius is known in office from 28 January 245 till 17 July 246. His nearest firmly dated predecessor, Aurelius Ammonius alias Apollonius, was in office on an unknown day in 242/3, although it is possible that the Chaeremon of VI 970 verso served in 244/5. The nearest successor, Aurelius Philoxenus, was in office on an unknown day in 247/8. See also 4-5 n., 8-9 n., for further considerations on the date of this document.

2-3 Aurelius Sarapion alias Agathus Daemon does not figure in P. J. Sijpesteijn, Nouvelle liste des gymnasiarques and has not been located elsewhere. All the elements of his name are very common, which makes it difficult to be sure that references to him under one or other name or in a damaged state do not

30

4–5 Statistically  $A \partial_\rho \eta \lambda (\omega)$  is the most likely supplement. There is no room to add a further name unless this nomen was abbreviated, which is not likely, see 1, 2. Another nomen is possible. A Septimius Eudaemon is well known from shortly after the date of this document, but in AD 248 he was described merely as gymnasiarch and councillor (XXXVIII **2854** 4–5), while his father had the title of ex-prytanis (6–7). This must raise some doubt about supplying Cemrukov here. It looks very probable that he was acting as prytanis in P. Oxy. Hels. 25. 10 of AD 264. He is last known from LI **3612** of AD 271–5, where he is called only  $\kappa o c \mu \eta r e^{i\alpha} c \Delta A k e f a b g h e was defined by the prefect of Egypt of his appointment as <math>i \mu \beta o \lambda i \lambda \gamma \gamma$  of the nome. As a man whose career took him into the magistrature in Alexandria as well as in Oxyrhynchus he is an attractive candidate to be the prytanis here but in that event the absence of the title of ex-prytanis in the document of AD 248 remains to be explained.

Other men are known as prytanis for AD 245/6 and 246/7, see A. K. Bowman, *Town Councils* 131. We cannot be sure that this fact excludes those years for our Eudaemon, cf. LI 3606 introd., but AD 244/5 and 247/8 remain the likeliest years for him and this document, cf. I n., 8-9 n.

5-6 Note the plurals ἐπέcτειλαν, δηλοῦντες, following ἡ κρατίcτη βουλή (4), but this sense construction is not rare, see e.g. F. Blass, A. Debrunner, F. Rehkopf, Grammatik des neutestamentlichen Griechisch<sup>15</sup> p. 111, § 134. Cf. 3926 6 n.

8 ευνδίκοιε. Cf. LIV 3711 3 n. for bibliography, and esp. A. K. Bowman, Town Councils 46-52. The present reference is the earliest known from Oxyrhynchus. [See now also B. Kramer, in R. Pintaudi, Papyrologica Florentina XIX i 305-29, esp. 310.]

The office is called a hetroupyla in XXIV 2407 30 (cf. Bowman 48), and ought to be a curial liturgy, but it receives no entry in N. Lewis, The Compulsory Public Services, perhaps because there is as yet no direct evidence for compulsion.

8–9 If we take the wording τοῖς ἄλλοις ἀνδράςι δέκα ἄρξαςι βουλευταῖς literally, we will have to assume that the committee of the council was to consist of president, syndics, and ten ex-magistrates, together with Sarapion alias Agathus Daemon and perhaps others. However, it is wording which raises the suspicion that it is a quotation from a resolution which set up a committee of thirteen only, i.e. the president, the two syndics, and ten others qualified as councillors and ex-magistrates. In which case our man would be one of the ten.

Further, these qualification are those of the δεκάπρωτοι and decemprimi found in other parts of the Roman empire, and here should surely refer to the Egyptian δεκάπρωτοι, who were introduced by the reforms of Philip the Arabian, see J. D. Thomas, ZPE 19 (1975) 111-119, N. Lewis, The Compulsory Public Services 21, cf. P.J. Parsons, JRS 57 (1967) 134-141, esp. 136-7. They appear in the papyri chiefly as collectors of taxes in the nome, often as δεκάπρωτοι of the toparchy. This sort of specialization may be peculiar to Egypt or it may simply be an effect of the different nature of the papyra evidence, cf. E. G. Turner, JEA 22 (1936) 7-19. A. H. M. Jones, The Later Roman Empire i 731, ii 1300-01 nn. 41, 42, suggested that this group of ten developed into the principales of the fourth and fifth centuries, and A. K. Bowman, Town Councils 155-8 suggested that the principales should be recognized in the προπολαντωρων of the papyri.

Although the allusion seems clear, the fact that the word δεκάπρωντοι is not used, at least in the surviving part of 3925, suggests that this document reflects the earliest stage of their introduction. The earliest comparatively well dated reference to them is in P. Leit. 16 = SB VIII 10208, dated in Payni of AD 245, 246, or 247 by the titles of Philip Augustus and Philip Caesar. (Ed. pr. offers \$Iax\overline{\text{u}} [ (30), but the plate in E. Boswinkel, P. J. Sijpesteijn, Greek Papyri, Ostraca, and Mummy Labels No. 30, shows \$Ia\overline{\text{u}} [1]\$. This favours a dating of AD 244/5 rather than 247/8, cf. 4-5 n., although all the arguments for narrowing the date within the strategiate of Dius, cf. 1 n., do no more than balance probabilities.

10-11 I have translated παρακτήγαι τοις τή πόλει διαφέρους: doubtfully by 'to attend to the concerns of the city', which makes the brief of the committee seem very broad and important, as it may be, but there

is a possibility that the text continued with something which would have limited the sense very definitely, cf. for instance the receipts in P. Strasb. 438 446 for payments in kind made διὰ τῶν αἰρεθέντων εἰς τὸ προςτήναι τῶν τἢ βουλῆ (or τἢ νομαρχία vel sim.) διαφερόντων.

The sense of the next word,  $\epsilon \delta r v_{N}$  [, is by no means clear. It is very unlikely to be the farewell formula  $\epsilon \delta r v_{N} \epsilon$ . The placing is wrong, the traces which follow suggest that we are still in the body of the text, and we expect a less high flown formula, such as  $\epsilon \rho \rho \omega \epsilon da$  ( $\epsilon \epsilon v_{N} v_{N} \epsilon u_{N}$ ), another notification from a strategus. Sometimes  $\epsilon \delta r v_{N} \omega \epsilon$  simply refers to the future with pious optimism, sometimes it refers to auspicious actions or words of emperors, and it might be a likely word here in either connection, but it does not seem possible to attempt to restore the sense.

#### 3926. PETITION TO A STRATEGUS

22 3B.14/F(10-12)a+(14-16)b

9 × 30.5 cm

9 February 246

On petitions to officials in general see 3916 introd. In this case a woman petitioned the strategus because her husband and son had received sword cuts from a band of unknown robbers who invaded her house and carried off all that they found in it. She asked that a public doctor, accompanied by an assistant of the strategus to act as official witness, should examine the patients and report on their condition. Unusually, she said that the purpose of her appeal was that her menfolk should receive the necessary treatment, see 20–22 n.

The house was located in the village of This, and the document is addressed to the strategus of the Thinite nome, see In. His name appeared also in XXXVIII 2853 I and this item is the one mentioned in the note there. A new document from Oxyrhynchus, published below as 3928, shows him as strategus of another nome, the Heracleopolite.

Like **2853** this papyrus was reused in Oxyrhynchus. In this case there is a list of personal names on the back with headings consisting of the names of districts of Oxyrhynchus, published below as **3927**. It seems likely that the strategus was a native of Oxyrhynchus who served as strategus in the Thinite and Heracleopolite nomes according to the rule that local governors should be outsiders, see J. G. Tait, *JEA* 8 (1922) 166–173, and who brought some of his papers back to his home town.

A shred of another document with a few traces of ink still adheres to the upper left edge; this probably indicates that the petition formed part of a roll file or  $\tau \acute{o}\mu oc$   $cvy\kappa o\lambda \lambda \acute{n} \acute{c} \iota u \rho oc$  of items addressed to the same strategus. When it was catalogued the document was in two almost equal halves in separate folders. The break runs horizontally through line 20, about 14 cm from the top.

(Read with Paul Schubert.)

Τουλίω Άμμωνίω τῷ καὶ Εὐαγγέλω cτρατηνώ Θινίτου. παρά Αὐρηλίας ζενπατοῦτος Πανούριος Τιτοήτος ἀπὸ Θινός, περὶ έςπέραν τῆς διελθούςης ἡμέρας πληθος κακούργων ἐπῆλθεν τῆ οἰκία μου έν κώμη Θινί και ἔπληξαν τὸν ἄνδρα μου Τιτοῆν Κορτάτος *κυτέα κατὰ τοῦ ἀριςτεροῦ ὤμου* κ]αὶ τῆς ἀριςτερᾶς χειρὸς ξίφεςι καὶ τὸν υίόν μου Ψεκῆν καὶ ἔπληξαν κατὰ τῆς κεφαλῆς καὶ οςα εθρον έπι της οικίας έβά*cταξαν*, καταςχίς αντες πάς ας τὰς θύρας, ἀγνοηθέντων οὖν μοι τῶν κακούργων ἐπιδίδωμι τάδε τὰ βιβλίδια ἀξιοῦςα αποτάξαι ζε ύπηρέτην τὸν ἐποψόμενον την περί αὐτοὺς διάθεςιν πρός τὸ δύναςθαι αὐτούς της δεούςης θεραπείας

τοὺς τῆς δεούςης θεραπείας τυχεῖν. (vac.) (ἔτους) γ΄ Αὐτοκράτορος Καίςαρος Μάρκο`υ΄ Ἰουλίου Φιλίππου Εὐςεβοῦς Εὐτυχοῦς καὶ Μάρκου Ἰουλίου

25 Εὐτυχοῦς καὶ Μάρκου Ἰουλίοι Φιλίππου γενναιοτάτου καὶ ἐπιφανεςτάτου Καίςαρος Cεβαςτῶν, Μεχεὶρ ιε -.

(m. 2)  $A \mathring{v} \rho \eta \lambda (\emph{ia}) C_{\acute{e}} \nu \pi a au o \mathring{v} \epsilon \Pi a \nu o \mathring{v} \rho$ 

30 Τιτοήτος ἐπιδέδωκα.
ἔγραψα ὑπ(ἐρ αὐτῆς) Αὐρήλ(ιος) ζωτὴρ
ζωτῆρος μὴ ἰδυίας
γράμματα.

(m. 3) επεττάλη Cαραπίων ύ(πηρέτης).

(vac.)

35 ἔςον βιβλιδίου Cενπατοῦτος ἐπιςτέλλαιταί τοι ὅπως ςυνπαραλαβὼ ' ν΄
δημότιον ἰατρὸν καὶ ἐπιδὼν
τὴν περὶ τὸν ἄνδρα αὐτῆς
καὶ τὸν υίὸν διάθετιν ἐνγρά40 φως προςφωνήτης.

40 φως προςφωνήςης.  $( \mbox{\'e} \tau o \upsilon c ) \gamma ] ' M \mbox{\'e} \chi [ \mbox{\'e} i ] \rho \iota \mbox{\'e}^-.$ 

 $^{23}$   $\angle \gamma'$   $^{29}$   $^{29}$  αυρής ενπατούς: ε corr. from a  $^{31}$   $^{70}$  αυρή  $^{32}$   $^{70}$   $^{32}$   $^{70}$ 

'To Julius Ammonius alias Evangelus, strategus of the Thinite nome, from Aurelia Senpatus daughter of Panuris son of Titoes from This.'

'In the evening yesterday a gang of criminals attacked my house in the village of This and wounded my husband Titoes son of Cortas, shoemaker, in the left shoulder and in the left hand (arm?) with swords, and my son Pseces they also wounded in the head, and all that they found in the house they carried off, after breaking down all the doors. Therefore, since the criminals are unknown to me, I submit this petition requesting you to dispatch an assistant to inspect their condition so that they may be able to receive the necessary treatment.'

'Year 3 of Imperator Caesar Marcus Julius Philippus Pius Felix and Marcus Julius Philippus, most valiant and most noble Caesar, Augusti, Mecheir 15.'

(and hand) 'I, Aurelia Senpatus daughter of Panur son of Titoes, have submitted (the petition). I, Aurelius Soter son of Soter, wrote on her behalf because she does not know letters.'

(3rd hand) 'Sarapion, assistant, was instructed.'

'A duplicate of a petition of Senpatus is sent to you, so that you may take with you a public doctor and inspect the condition of her husband and son and report in writing. Year 3, Mecheir 15.'

1 Cf. G. Bastianini, J. E. G. Whitehorne, The Strategi and Royal Scribes of Roman Egypt (Pap. Flor. XV) 111, citing only this papyrus and XXXVIII 2853 1 n., where it is mentioned. The restoration of the alias as Evangelius in 2853 must depend on a reading of -λμφ in this place, but the traces now seem to favour -λφ, as in 3928, and Evangelus is much more usual.

4  $\Theta w \delta c$ , cf. 7  $\Theta w l$ . Although this place gave its name to the Thinite nome, the Greek city of Ptolemais Hermiu was the metropolis, see XLIV **3198**  $_{1-2}$  and n.

6 ἐπῆλθεν. Comparing ἐπληξαν in 7 and 12 and the subsequent plurals one might have expected  $-a\nu$  here, cf. 3925 5–6 n., but the damaged letter seems to be open to the right and much more like epsilon.

8 Kopτάτος. The name Kopτάς is not in either F. Preisigke, Namenbuch or D. Foraboschi, Onomasticon. 10 χειρός. In medical contexts χείρ often means 'arm' rather than 'hand', which is ή ἄκρα χείρ, cf. LV 3816 6-7 n. Add XLIV 3195 44-5, 47 τοῦ] τήχοιος (=πήχεως -ῆτς ἀρειτεράς χιρό[ς απ τ. τ. δεξιάς χιρό[ς τ. XLV 3245 15-16 τοῦ πήχους [τῆς δεξιάς] χιρός. This interpretation should also be applied to L 3555 25-8, where the injuries are better understood as affecting the girl's whole arm rather than her hand: δλην αὐτῆς τὴν χεῖρα cυντετρείφθαι (-τρίφθαι) καὶ τὰ πλεῖςτα μέρη λελωβῆςθαι, τὰ δ'άλλα ἀχανῆ εἶναι. But see D. Hagedorn, ZPE 65, (1986) 88 for a different view of this passage.

18-20 For official assistants see 3924 introd. para. 3, cf. 3930 19-20. It is a little surprising that the assistant of the strategus is mentioned here to the exclusion of the doctor, see 36-7 and 37 n., but it is interesting because it once more emphasizes the importance attached to the official witness and his certifica-

tion of the facts, cf. D. W. Amundsen, G. B. Ferngren, Johns Hopkins Bulletin of the History of Medicine 52 (1978) 336 53. They argue, pp. 351-2, against this use of 'importance' by E. Boswinkel, Eas 48. I (1956) 181 99, esp. 182-4, pointing out with some justice that the roles of assistant and doctor are simply different. However, there is as much justice on Boswinkel's side: to officials the legal niceties and proprieties are the things which are important.

20–22 Treatment is never mentioned in other petitions of this sort and it is only once mentioned as having been given in the doctors' reports which result from them, see P. Oslo III 95. 16–18 εδρον ἐπὶ τῷ μέςῷ δακτῆλως τραθμα, δ καὶ θαραπένω (= θεραπ>. The subject is discussed by Amundsen and Ferngren, loc. cit. 349 (see above 18–20 n.). Again it is the legal record and the legal right to future proceedings which is important. However, something similar turns up in III 475 = W. Chr. 494, where an assistant of the strategus was sent the copy of a petition and instructed to accompany a doctor to view the body of a child who had been killed by a fall from a rooftop: ὅπως παραλαβών δημόςιον ἰατρὸν ἐπι[θρωσήςης τὸ δηλούμενον νεκρὸν cῶμα καὶ παραδούς εἰς κηδείαν ἐνγράφος ἀποφάσεις προςφωνήςητε (5–9), corresponding to the end of the petition: ὅπως τὸ ... cῶμα τύχη τῆς δεούςης περιτολ[ῆς] καὶ καταθέςεως (29–31). Obviously the body could not be bandaged and entombed until the cause of death was certified as accident. Could it be that in our case the victims could not be treated until they had been viewed by the officials? It is unlikely that there was a legal requirement to that effect, but there may have been a strong feeling that victims of violence needed to be seen before treatment had begun to obliterate the injuries.

29 Πανούρ. There is no sign of abbreviation. Probably this is simply the Egyptian form of the name, not furnished with a Greek ending as in 3-4 above and as is more usual, cf. F. T. Gignac, Grammar ii 103.

34-41 It is quite possible that 34 is in one hand (m. 3) and that 35-40 are in another (m. 4). Too little of 41 remains to judge if it was in yet another hand. Clearly 35-40 were crowded in very close to 34, probably after 41 was written, since they begin rather small and cramped and get bigger as the writer realized that they would fit in after all. Of course 35-40 give the text of the instruction to Sarapion the assistant.

37 δημόσιον laτρόν. See especially D. W. Amundsen and G. B. Ferngren, 'The Forensic Role of Physicians in Ptolemaic and Roman Egypt', Johns Hopkins Bulletin of the History of Medicine 52 (1978) 336–353; add P. Roesch, 'Médecins publics dans l'Egypte impériale', Centre Jean Palerne. Mémoires iii. Médecins de Médecine dans l'Antiquité (ed. G. Sabbah; St Etienne, 1982) 119–29. Amundsen and Ferngren (350–1) express reserve over the view of Boswinkel, Eos 48. 1 (1956) 184–5, that the title δημόσιος laτρός was the result of legislation of Antoninus Pius limiting the number of teachers, rhetoricians, and doctors who might be allowed exemption from public services and perhaps also allowed a salary. An interesting parallel is the case of the δημόσιος γραμματικός of Oxyrhynchus who petitioned Valerian and Gallienus to help him in his quest to be granted an orchard as a means of securing his city salary, see P. Coll. Youtie II 66, where P. J. Parsons has collected the evidence for the imperial legislation on public teachers, rhetoricians, philosophers and doctors, pp. 441–6. The text is reprinted as XLVII 3366.

#### 3927. LIST OF NAMES

22 2B.14/F(10-12)a + (14-16)b

34

9 × 30.5 cm

After 9 February 246

This list stands on the back of **3926**, a petition to the local governor of the Thinite nome in a case of armed robbery with violence dated 9 February 246. The names of districts of the city of Oxyrhynchus are given in the list as subheadings (10, 23, 31), which show that the papyrus was reused there; see **3926** introd. para. 3 for a possible partial explanation of its migration. The names are in alphabetical order by initial letters only, as usual. Each name is given in the nominative and is followed either by a patronymic or by an alias introduced by  $\delta \kappa(\alpha l)$ , after which comes either one month name or two in an abbreviated form, usually  $\Theta(\omega l)$  or  $\Phi a(\omega b l)$ ; one case of  $\Phi a\omega \phi(\iota)$ 

(13) shows that Phamenoth and Pharmuthi were not intended. After this comes usually a horizontal stroke and then a figure, either a = 1 or  $\beta = 2$  in the few cases where enough survives at the right to see. In the one case where a beta survives there are two month names (8).

3927. LIST OF NAMES

The format somewhat resembles LV 3786, which may be a list of recipients of the corn dole of one artaba a month, see P. Oxy. XL p. 6. A few of the persons appear in both documents, see here 38, 40, 41 nn., cf. 36, 37 nn., which must mean that they are comparatively close in date, though not necessarily very close. One of the headings here (line 31) makes it clear that the persons in 3786 31-90 all belonged to the Thoëris Street district of Oxyrhynchus. The other districts in both lists, that is, North or South Quay (3786 16), Hermaeum (3927 10), and South Street (3927 23) have comparatively few entries. There are no month names in 3786, and the horizontal strokes to the right of the persons' names have each a dot underneath to make it clear that they are the usual symbol for artaba, but here there is another feature which favours the view that 3927 is a corn dole list: it has two subheadings of  $P\omega\mu(a\hat{\imath}o\iota)$ , see 7, 21. Romans and Alexandrians could benefit from the Oxyrhynchite corn dole, though in what circumstances exactly is not clear, cf. P. Oxy. XL p. 3. Undoubted evidence for the Oxyrhychite dole is restricted to a short period c. AD 269-272. A delay of about twenty-five years before the reuse of a document is within the attested limits, see E. G. Turner, JEA 40 (1954) 102-6, so that even if this list were surely connected with the corn dole it would not be sure evidence that the dole existed at an earlier date.

Besides the column transcribed below there are some slight remains of a preceding column and perhaps remains of oblique strokes used as check marks in the left margin.

```
Διονύτιος Διονυτάμ(μωνος), Φα(\^ωφι) [
^{1}Επίμαχος δ κ(αὶ) Δημῆτρις, (\cdot) — [
^{1}ξερακαπόλλω(ν) Αμόῖ, Θ(ωθ) — [
Nεμεςίω(ν) Θώνιος, Φα(\^ωφι) — α[
^{2}Ολυμπος δ κ(αὶ) Ωρίων, Φα(\^ωφι) — α[
Ενρος δ κ(αὶ) Τρύφων, Φα(\^ωφι) — α[
^{2}Ρωμ(α\^ιοι) (vac.)
Ωος Ενμαριος Ωενισες), Θ(ωθ), Φα(\^ωφι) — β[
Μᾶρκος Ωενισες Ωενισες), Ωενισες Ωε
```

```
2 \circ^{\kappa} = \delta \kappa(ai), and so throughout 3 \iota \epsilon \rho a \kappa a \pi o \lambda \lambda \tilde{\omega}^{2}, \theta = \Theta(\omega \theta), and so throughout 4 \nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \epsilon \tilde{\omega}, \delta a = \Phi a (\omega \phi), and so usually 7 \rho \omega \delta \epsilon a \rho a \pi[a] \tilde{\mu} 10 Έρμμίου: \epsilon \mu \epsilon c \sigma r.
```

```
Έρμίας ὁ κ(αὶ) Φιλοδι\langle \acute{o} \rangleςκορο[c], ( ) — a[
                      "Ηρων ζερήνου, Φαῶφ(ι) — [
                      Υ_{\epsilon\rho\alpha} \xi M_{\epsilon\lambda\alpha\nu\sigma\epsilon}, \Theta(\omega\theta), \Phi_{\alpha}(\hat{\omega}\phi_{i}) [
                      Τέραξ Διονυςίου, Φα (ωφι) - [
                     \Piλούταρχος Διογένου\langle \varsigma \rangle, \Theta(\omega\theta) — [
                     \Pi a \hat{a} \pi \iota \epsilon A \mu \nu \nu \tau \iota a \nu o \hat{v}, \Phi [a (\hat{\omega} \phi \iota)]
                     Ca\rho a\pi i\omega \nu \Theta \epsilon \omega \nu o c, \Theta(\omega \theta), \Phi(a\hat{\omega}\phi_{i}) —
                     Cαραπάμμων Θέω (νος), Φα (\hat{ω}φ_i) [
                     Cερηνος Άμμωνίο[υ], [
                     Ένωμ (αι̂οι)
                     Aμύντας Cερήνου, ( ) —[
                     Νότου Δρό (μου)
                     Γάϊος Εὐποριανοῦ, Φα(ῶφι) [
                     [Δ]ιογένης Ποςαράπιος, [
                      Ήρακλείδης Άμμω(νίου), Φ[α(ῶφι)
                     K_0\pi\rho\hat{\eta}\epsilon A_{\chi}i\lambda\lambda\epsilon\omega\epsilon, \Phi\alpha(\hat{\omega}\phi i) —
                     Ca\rho a\pi \acute{a}\mu (\mu\omega\nu) \acute{o} \kappa(a\grave{i}) [ ] , \Phi(a\hat{\omega}\phi\iota) -- [
                     Ca\rho a\pi [\iota \acute{a}]\delta\eta c A\mu\mu\omega(\nu \acute{\iota}ov), \Phi a(\hat{\omega}\phi\iota) - a[
                   \llbracket E \rho \mu i \alpha c \delta \kappa(\alpha i) A \rho \theta \omega \theta \eta c, \Phi \alpha(\hat{\omega} \phi \iota) - \alpha \llbracket \ \rrbracket
                   Δρόμου Θοήδιος (vac.)
                     A\mu\delta\ddot{\iota}c\ A\nu\tau\omega\nu\dot{\iota}ov,\ \Phi a(\hat{\omega}\phi\iota) - a[
                     A\phi\hat{v}_{\gamma\gamma}ic A\gamma\alpha\theta\hat{v}_{\gamma}v_{\sigma}, \Phi(\alpha\hat{\omega}\phi_{i}) — \alpha[
                     A\pi o\lambda\lambda\omega (vac.)
                     Aίλοῦρις ὁ κ(αὶ) \Delta \eta \mu \hat{\eta} \tau \rhoις, [\Theta(\omega \theta)] — [
                     Aνθέςτιος ὁ κ(αὶ) Ο , Φα(ῶφι) — [
                     Aρποκρα ουςερη, Θ(ώθ), Φ(αῶφι) — [
                     Αμόϊς Απο[λλ]οδιδύμου,
                     Βης αρίων Απολλω(νίου), Φα(ῶφι) [
                     Βηςαρίω[ν] υίὸς Άρητίω[νος
      40
                     `α Γελάςιος δ κ(αὶ) ζερῆνος ΄ [
(m. 2)
                     Διοςκουρίδης δ κ(αὶ) ζαπ [
(m, 1)
                     Δημέας Διοςκουρια[ ]-[
                     \Delta \eta \mu \epsilon a c B \eta c a \rho i \omega (voc), \Phi a (\hat{\omega} \phi \iota) - [
                     \Deltaιοςκοράμμων Q_{...}(), \Phi(a\hat{\omega}\phi_{i}) —[
                     \Delta \hat{\iota}oc A \nu \theta [\epsilon] c \tau \hat{\iota}ov, \Phi a (\hat{\omega} \phi \iota) —
```

```
\Delta i \delta v \mu [oc] \Theta \epsilon \omega (voc?), \Phi \alpha (\hat{\omega} \phi \iota) - [
Διονύςιος Θέω (νος?), Φα(\hat{ω}φι) —[
Δίδυμος ζεμ ω, — [
Διογένης Άμμ[ων]ίου, Φ(αῶφι)
\Delta_{iov\dot{v}ci[o]c} \Delta_{ioc\kappa[\acute{o}]\rho ov}, \Theta(\acute{\omega}\theta) —
E \dot{v} \delta a i \mu \omega(v) \dot{v} \delta a i \mu \omega(v) - [0] v, \Phi a(\hat{\omega} \phi \iota) - [0]
Εὐδαί[μω(ν)] Άνικήτο[ν], Φ[α(\hat{ω}φι)]
 H_{\rho\alpha\kappa\lambda\epsilon}[i\delta]ης Θέω[(νος)], Θ(ώθ), Φα(ῶφι)[
           \alpha^{-}\lambda^{-}\nu
```

18  $\phi = \Phi(\alpha \hat{\omega} \phi \iota)$ , usually  $\phi \alpha$ 28 ςαραπαμ 44 βηςαριώ 39 απολλώ usually do

1 Διογυτάμ(μωνος). No sign of abbreviation survives; probably a horizontal is lost above mu, cf. 28. Note that there is no heading; the list is continued from the previous column.

2 Δημήτρις, cf. 35. On this declension see F. T. Gignac, Grammar ii 25-6.

3 Αμόϊ. On these short genitives see Gignac, op. cit. ii 57-61.

8 The heading in 7 might lead us to expect Roman nomenclature, as in 9, but see 21-2, where the 'Roman' is called Amyntas son of Serenus. However, I assume that these persons were Romans in some sense more important than that they or their ancestors had benefited from Caracalla's universal grant.

Since the letter before oc does not look like the iota expected in most nomina, it looks likely that  $Capa\pi[a]\mu(\mu\omega\nu\sigma c)$  should be read and taken as a patronymic. Whatever stood before that seems to have been written over cupoc, accidentally repeated from 6.

10 Epualov. Cf. H. Rink, Strassen- und Viertelnamen 33-4.

16 Διογένου(c). Cf. Gignac, op. cit. ii 69-70.

19 There seems to be no sign of abbreviation for  $\theta \epsilon \omega = \Theta \epsilon \omega (voc)$ .

22 Cf. 8 n.

23 Cf. Rink, op. cit. 29.

25 Ποςαράπιος. This is not in F. Preisigke, Namenbuch or D. Foraboschi, Onomasticon. Understand

perhaps Πζετ⟩οςαράπιος.

31 Cf. Rink, op. cit. 30. Here it looks as if θοηδος was written at first and iota added, since the long iota cuts through the left half of the omicron. Delta is sometimes written for rho without any obvious phonetic cause, see Gignac, op. cit. i 110. This may point to Θοήριος as the form intended, but Θοήριδος is regular in the district name, cf. G. Ronchi, Lexicon Theonymon iii 493-7. See J. Quaegebeur, W. Clarysse, B. van Maele, ZPE 60 (1985) 225-230 on Thoëris at Oxyrhynchus.

34 This entry was left unfinished. The last letter may have been omicron rather than omega.

35 For the declension of both names cf. 2 n.

36 This looks like the same man as Anthestius alias Valerius in 3786 33. Perhaps oud was written here,

37 There may once have been a mark of abbreviation, i.e.  $\epsilon\epsilon\rho\eta$  for  $\epsilon\epsilon\rho\eta$ (νου), in which case αρποκρατίου was written in error for Αρποκρατίων, and this will have been the Harpocration son of Serenus of 3786 34.

38 Cf. 3786 40.

40 Cf. 3786 51, Βηταρίων Άρητίωνος. This is the only appearance of νίός in this list, nor does it appear in 3786. No distinction seems intended between this entry and all the others without it.

41 Cf. 3786 53. The alpha in the left margin was added in the same lighter ink and second hand as the name, which is an interlinear addition. It probably represents the figure 1, to be added to the total of men or measures of grain in 55.

43 No known masculine names suit Διοςκουρια[...]. Even if it is feminine, which is not likely, there is not room for Διοςκουριάδος or Διοςκουριαίνης. Perhaps this is a mistake of the writer, cf. 27.

45 Perhaps read Οὐαλ(ερίου), cf. 36. Here there is a trace above the line which could suit ουα.

49 (εμπρω(νίου) is possible, although no mark of abbreviation seems to have been written. The month

55 This looks like a calculation in figures, though a might stand for  $\Breve{a}(\nu\delta\rho\epsilon\epsilon)$ , but lambda = 30 seems not to fit so well as nu = 50. There are forty-seven or forty-eight names. Several of them will have been credited with two units rather than one, e.g. 8, cf. 14, 18, 37, 54, so that the total of units, if they are artabas rather than  $\ddot{a}(\nu\delta\rho\epsilon\epsilon)$ , could have been in the fifties. The previous column in this theory would have had its own total.

#### 3928. Fragment Addressed to a Strategus

32 4B.4/G(1-5)c

38

 $9 \times 6$  cm

c. 245?

This scrap of a document, which breaks off before we can discover its nature, is addressed to the same person as 3926. Its chief interest is that Julius Ammonius alias Evangelus is here described as strategus of the Heracleopolite nome, not of the Thinite. His name is distinctive enough for us to put him in the fairly short list of men who probably had careers which took them as local governors to more than one district of Egypt, see J. E. G. Whitehorne, ZPE 47 (1982) 262-3; for one addition to the list see id., in W. Haase, H. Temporini, Aufstieg und Niedergang der römischen Welt II 10. 1, p. 601 n. 15. We cannot yet say which district he may have governed first, or, to put it another way, whether 3928 dates from before or after 3926. It now becomes uncertain which district is concerned in XXXVIII 2853, where its name is not given. A slender argument is that the inventory number of 2853, 23 3B.13/C(1-3)a, not recorded in the edition, links it with 3926 and therefore possibly with the Thinite nome as originally supposed rather than with the Heracleopolite. The element 3B indicates that both of those were excavated during Grenfell's and Hunt's third season at Oxyrhynchus/Behnesa, while 4B here denotes the fourth season.

The item number  $\rho\zeta$  (=107), written in the top margin in a large and careless hand different from the good rounded cursive of the main text, indicates that this too was once part of a roll file, cf. 3926 introd. para. 4.

The writing runs along the fibres. There are no sheet-joins. The back is blank.

(m. 2) ρζ

Ιο]υλίω Άμμωνίω τῷ καὶ Εὐαγγέλω (m. 1) ςτρατηγώ Ήρακλεοπολίτου παρὰ Αὐρηλίου ζεφθομόϊτος Δαμά μητ]ρὸς Άταρίας ἀπὸ κώμης Ποιμέ-

 $\nu\omega\nu$ ] [c. 10 letters] [c. 5

4 y corr. from c

#### 3928. FRAGMENT ADDRESSED TO A STRATEGUS

'To Julius Ammonius alias Evangelus, strategus of the Heracleopolite nome, from Aurelius Sephthomois son of Damas, mother Ataria, from the village of Poemenon ...'

2 Cf. 3926 i n.

3 Cf. above introd. and 3926 introd. para. 3.

4 Sephthomois is unfamiliar and may be characteristically Heracleopolite.

5 Αταρία is not attested as a woman's name, although Ατάρις and Ατάριζο ν are. Αταρίας is well known as a man's name.

5-6 For this village see A. Calderini, S. Daris, Dizionario dei nomi geografici iv 169, s.v. Ποιμένων κώμη 2.

#### 3929. CERTIFICATE OF SACRIFICE

101/196(b)

7.5 × 12 cm

25 June-24 July 250

This document belongs to the category of certificates of sacrifice issued to those who satisfied the pagan commissioners during the Decian persecution of Christians, see G. H. R. Horsley, New Documents Illustrating Early Christianity, Vol. 2 (1982; items of 1977) No. 105, pp. 180-5, cf. M. Sordi in W. Haase, H. Temporini, Aufstieg und Niedergang der römischen Welt II 23. 1, pp. 359-64.

It is the fourth example from Oxyrhynchus, cf. IV 658, XII 1464, XLI 2990. The first two were issued to inhabitants of the city itself, the third is too damaged to reveal the recipient's origin, this one went to a villager from the south of the nome. It is the forty-sixth of its type from Egypt, which is the only province from which they have survived. It is worth comparing this number with that of the published census returns, recently estimated at about two hundred and seventy, see 3918 introd. Certificates of sacrifice were required only in AD 250; the census took place in Egypt at intervals of fourteen years from at least AD 5/6 (P. Med. I 3) till AD 257/8. It may be a doubtful deduction from the statistics, but the comparatively large number of certificates seems to support the view that the head of every household was required to apply for one on a system very like that of the census returns, cf. G. W. Clarke, Antichthon 3 (1969) 68-73, id., The Letters of St. Cyprian of Carthage, Vol. i pp. 21-39. The endorsement on this document calls it an  $\mathring{a}\pi\sigma\gamma\rho(\alpha\phi\acute{\eta})$ , which again suggests an analogy with the census, the κατ'οἰκίαν ἀπογραφή, see 22 n.

The papyrus is broken at the foot. There survive the address to the village commissioners from a male applicant (1-5), the usual profession of constant religious conformity (5-7), the request that the commissioners should subscribe the application confirming that they had seen the applicant, together in this case with his mother and sister, sacrificing, pouring libations and tasting the sacrificial meats (7-14), the date clause (14-18), and part of the subscription of an amanuensis for the illiterate applicant (19-21). The certification of the commissioners is lost at the foot.

A sheet-join running vertically about 2.5 cm from the left margin shows that the text is written along the fibres of the recto of the roll from which the piece of papyrus was cut. An endorsement in one line runs downwards along the fibres of the back.

```
τοῖς ἀναδοθεῖςι ἐπὶ θυςιῶ(ν)
   κώμης Θώςβεως
 παρά Αὐρηλίου Άμόϊτος χρη(ματίζοντος) μη-
 τρὸς Τααμόϊτος ἀπὸ κώμης
 Θώςβεως, ἀεὶ μὲν θύων
 καὶ επένδων τοῖς θεοῖς διε-
 τέλουν, έπὶ δὲ καὶ νῦν ἐνώ-
 πιον ύμῶν κατὰ τὰ κελευςθ(έντα)
 ἔθυςα καὶ ἔςπιςα καὶ τῶν ἱερί-
 ων έγευς άμην ἄμα τῆ μη-
 τρί μου Τααμόϊτι καὶ τῆ
 άδελφη μου Τααρπαήςιος,
 αὐτὸ τοῦτο ἀξιῶ ὑποςημι-
 ώς ας θαί μοι. (ἔτους) α΄
 Αὐτοκράτορος Καίςαρος
🖟 Γαίου Μεςςίου Κυΐντου
 Τραϊανού Δεκίου Εὐςεβού[ς
 Εύ] τυχούς ζεβαςτού, Έπειφ [
    (m. 2) Αὐρήλιος Ἀμόϊς
 έπιδέδωκα. Αὐρήλιος
 ....[...] ίων ἔγραψα ὑπὲρ
```

Back, downwards along the fibres:

(m. 1?) ἀπογρ(αφή) Ἀμοϊτά μητ(ρὸς) Τααμόϊτ(ος).

1 ςι rewritten; θυςιω 3 χρη 9-10 l. ἷερείων 12 l. Τααρπαήςει 21 ϋπερ 22 απογρζαμοιταμηττααμοιτ	7 l. ἐπεί	8 κελευς <sup>θ</sup> ?	9 l. ἔςπειςα
	13–14 l. ὑποςημειώςαςθαι	14 ∠α'	17 τραϊανου

'To the commissioners of sacrifices of the village of Thosbis, from Aurelius Amois officially known as the son of his mother Taamois from the village of Thosbis,'

'I have continued always to sacrifice and pour libations to the gods, and since now too in your presence in accordance with the orders I sacrificed and poured a libation and tasted the sacrificial meats along with my mother Taamois and my sister Taharpaesis, I request that (you) subscribe to this fact for me. Year I of Imperator Caesar Gaius Messius Quintus Traianus Decius, Pius Felix Augustus, Epeiph ...'

(2nd hand) 'I, Aurelius Amois, have submitted (the application). I, Aurelius ...ion, wrote on his behalf ...'

Back, 'Registration of Amoitas, mother Taamois.'

1 ἀναδοθεῖς. Cf. N. Lewis, The Compulsory Public Services 58. Lewis points out that ἀναδίδωμε is used only in connection with village liturgies. Note that IV 658 is addressed to τοῖε ἐπὶ τῶν ἱερῶν [καὶ (?)] θυειῶν πόλ[εως, apparently without a participle, while XII 1464 is addressed to τοῖε] ἐπὶ τῶν θυειῶν αἰρθεῖει τῆς [Ὁ]ξυργγγείτῶν πόλεως. The verb αἰρέομα is characteristic of curial liturgies, see Lewis, ibid. At first ἀναδίδωμι applied to nomination, but by the early second century had come to include appointment.

2 Θώςβεως. See P. Pruneti, I centri abitati 63. It was in the Upper, i.e. southernmost, toparchy.

6–7 διετέλουν, ἐπὶ  $(= \dot{\epsilon} n \epsilon i)$  δέ. The same wording and punctuation should probably be restored in IV 658 8, where ed. pr. has  $[\delta]_{i}$ ετέλ $[\epsilon c \alpha \, \dot{\epsilon}]_{T}$ , δέ; in consequence the full stop before  $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} i \dot{\omega}$  (658 16) will need to be changed to a comma. The whole of the body of the petition is comprised in one sentence. (I also considered improving the grammar of 1464 by reading  $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} i \dot{\omega}$   $\dot{\nu} \dot{\mu} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon}$  in place of  $[\epsilon a]_{i}^{2}$   $[\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} i]_{i}^{2}$ , but a photograph shows that the remains, scanty though they are, support the reading of the first edition.)

12 It looks as if the genitive was written by mistake for the dative. That could be adequately represented by  $Taapmahc(\epsilon)\iota$ , but there are definitely remains after that and they are definitely unsuitable

for rai

13 After ἀξιῶ **658** 16 has ὑμᾶς, but **1464** 10–11 seems to be like **3829** and to omit it, having κ[α]] [ἀξι]ῷ ὑποτημιώς ακθαί μοι, see above 6–7 n.

18 The low trace at the end of the line is not distinctive. The dates of well preserved examples all fall

Pavni or Epciph.

21 A possible reading might be Ἡρᾳκ[λει]δίων, but this has not led to an identification and remains very doubtful. It is clear that this man acted as amanuensis for the illiterate Amois: restore for example ἔγραμὰ υπὲρ [αὐτοῦ μὴ εἰδότος γράμματα. On such amanuenses see H. C. Youtie, 'ὑπογραφεύς', Scriptiunculae Posteriores i 179-199 (= ZPE 17 (1975) 201-221); on illiteracy id. 'ἀγράμματος', Scriptiunculae ii 611-627 (= HSCP 75 (1971) 161-176), 'βραδέως γράφων', ibid., 629-651 (= GRBS 12 (1971) 239-261).

22 ἀπογρ(αφή). This may be the Greek technical term equivalent to St. Cyprian's libellus, which in Greek is usually βιβλίδιον or βιβλίδιο. Both libellus and βιβλίδιον commonly mean 'petition', so it is easy to see why libellus would apply to the form of these certificates, which are petitions or applications to which the official certification has been added. Yet from another point of view the copies retained in official files would constitute an archive of registrations. It is interesting to find it actually called an  $\alpha \pi \sigma \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \dot{\eta}$ , as the term κατ'οἰκίαν ἀπογραφή is applied to the census in general as well as to the individual returns. M. Sordi (ANRW II 23. 1 p. 363) refers to 'il gigantesco consimento religioso che Decio aveva concepito'. Note that W. Chr. 125 has an item number at the top showing that it was part of a series of such declarations from the Arsinoite metropolis. Wilcken, Archiv 5 (1909-13) 280, confidently read the remains as υλγ = 433: 'Ich kann das, was ich auf der Photographie sehe, nicht anders deuten als υλγ = 433. Die hohe Zahl ist nicht erstaunlich, da der Text aus der Hauptstadt Arsinoë stammt, sie ist aber für das oben berührte Gesamtproblem doch von Interesse. 433 solcher Eingaben nebeneinandergestellt (à 8 cm) würden eine Rolle von ungefähr 3½ m. ergeben, wogegen nichts einzuwenden ist'. Dr Coles pointed out to me that this roll would have been 34.64 metres long! Literary rolls were usually in the range of seven to ten metres long (W. Schubart, Das Buch 2 52), but we know that documentary rolls could reach great sizes. According to N. Lewis, Papyrus in Classical Antiquity 55 n. 29, the longest extant roll, now in the British Library, measures about 132 feet or about 40 metres. XLIV 3205 5 (= 33P 16-17 (1971) 39) seems to refer to a record èv τόμ[ω] ένὶ κολ(λημάτων) ψλζ, 'in one roll of 437 items (or columns)'. This passage is reported by Lewis, op. cit. 81 n. 20, without the possibly crucial sublinear dot, but a new inspection of the papyrus left me with the impression that the reading is likely to be correct. Even so, the photograph of W. Chr. 125 in BSAA 9 (1907) 88 shows that the number is extremely doubtful, although it seems fairly certain that it had three

To sum up, the new title of  $d\pi o \gamma \rho (a\phi \dot{\eta})$ , taken together with the evidence for the registration of these certificates in official archives and with the number of the surviving examples, see above introd., implies that the requirement to sacrifice in the presence of the commissioners was universal.

Αμοϊτά (gen. of -τάc), cf. F. T. Gignac, Grammar ii 16-17, is evidently the same person as Αμόϊτ (3, 19). These variations are fairly common, see e.g. P. Mich. VIII 490. 1 n., citing especially P. Princ. II 65. 1, 18 (Διονυτάς = Διονύςιος) and P. Oslo II 47. 1, 20 (Θεωνάς = Θέων).

#### 3930. Notice to Serve as Exegetes

101/201(a)

9.5 × 23.5 cm

1 April 290

Documents similar to this are XLIV 3182 (gymnasiarch, AD 257), XLVI 3293 (cosmetes, c. AD 262-5), VI 891 (exegetes, AD 294), XLVI 3297 (cosmetes, AD 294?), and P. Ant. I 31 (cosmetes, AD 347). Perhaps its most interesting feature is that the notice was directed not to a single person, but to a group, the heirs of an exegetes called Heracleides son of Sarapion. It would be interesting to know how the details of the actual service were arranged, but this situation no doubt reflects the fact that financial support for the office was the essential requirement, cf. 3-4 n. For the office of exegetes in general see F. Oertel, *Die Liturgie* 325-332.

The sender of the notice to serve was once more the local governor, rather than the council or its president. Again in this case the element of abnormality may account for his intervention, cf. **3293** introd.

Lines 8–9 confirm the existence of the technical phrase  $\sharp \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \hat{\nu} \tau \hat{\eta} \epsilon$  ( $\mathring{a}\rho \chi \hat{\eta} \epsilon$ )  $\epsilon \tau \acute{e} \phi a \nu \nu$ , 'to hold the office and crown of the (magistracy)', which makes  $\mathring{a}]\rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$   $\tau \hat{\eta} \epsilon \kappa \rho \epsilon \mu \tau \epsilon \hat{a} \epsilon \hat{\rho} \epsilon \kappa \rho \epsilon \mu \tau \hat{\nu} \epsilon \hat{\nu}$  certain in **3297** 6–7.

There is a sheet-join running vertically very close to the left edge, showing that the writing runs along the fibres of the recto of the original roll. The right sheet overlaps the left, which means that it was used 'upside down', in the sense that if the roll had been used for a Greek text in many columns, it would have been placed the other way up so that the joins overlapped from left to right, cf. E. G. Turner, The Terms Recto and Verso (Pap. Brux. 16) 19–20. The back is blank.

(Read with Anne Kolb.)

Αὐρήλιος Ἀπολλώνιος γενόμ (ενος)

ύπομ (νηματογράφος) ςτρ (ατηγὸς) Ὁξυρυγχίτου
κληρονόμοις Ἡρακλείδου ζαραπίωνο]ς ἐξηγητοῦ τοῖς φιλ (τάτοις) χαίρειν.

ἡ κρατίςτη βουλή διὰ Αὐρηλίου Ἀςκληπιάδου γενο (μένου) ὑπομ (νηματογράφου) ἐνάρχου πρυ[τάνεως δι' ὧν μοι ἐπέςτειλεν
ἐδήλωςεν δεῖν ὑμᾶς ἄρχειν τ[ὸν
τῆς ἐξηγητείας ςτέφανον ἀπὸ .[

ὅ ἔως ιζ τοῦ ὅντος μηγὸς Φαρμοῦθι.
ὅν' οῦν εἰδῆται καὶ τῶν τῆ ἀρχῆ
διαφερόντων πρόνοιαν ποιήςηςθε, ἐπιςτέλλε[ται ὑ]μῖν. (m. 2) ἐρρῶςθαι
[ὑμᾶς εὕ]γ[ο]μαι, φίλτατοι.

(vac.) (ἔτους) ς τ[οῦ κυρίου]  $\mathring{\eta}[\mu]$ ῷν

(m. 1?) 15

Διοκλητιανοῦ καὶ εξ΄΄΄ τοῦ κυρίου ἡμῶν Μαξιμιανοῦ

Cεβαcτῶν, Φαρμοῦθις -.

'Aurelius Apollonius, former hypomnematographus, strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome, to the heirs of Heracleides son of Sarapion, exegetes, his dearest colleagues, greetings.'

The most excellent council, through Aurelius Asclepiades, former hypomnematographus, prytanis in office, in the letter which it sent me declared it necessary that you should hold the office and crown of exegetes from the nth to the 17th of the present month of Pharmuthi. Therefore for your information and so that you may make provision for the matters which concern the magistracy, this notice is being sent to you.

(2nd hand) 'I pray for your health, dearest colleagues.'
(1st hand) 'Year of of our lord Diocletian and year 5 of our lord Maximian, the Augusti, Pharmuthi 6th.'
(3rd hand) 'I, (Aurelius?) ...les, assistant, delivered (this notice). Year 6 and 5, Pharmuthi 6th.'

I Cf. G. Bastianini, J. E. G. Whitehorne, Strategi and Royal Scribes (Pap. Flor. XV) 102-3. Now that we see Aurelius Apollonius in office on I April 290 (lines 15-18), there is even more likelihood that he was the strategus whose name is lost in PSI V 461. I, since that document contains an account for the month of Phamenoth which must have been compiled soon after 26 March 290. In P. Laur. IV 157. 16 there is a mention of an unnamed strategus who might possibly be the same since the year is the same.

1–2 γενόμ(ενος) ὑπομ(νηματογράφος). Cf. 6. See J. E. G. Whitehorne, Λεgyptus 67 (1987) 101–125, esp. 106, 119.

3-4 An exceptes called Aurelius Heracleides is known from X 1252 16, 21, 44-5 of 15 September 289. Although the name is so common, he could well be the same.

Interesting in this connection, though not strictly parallel, is P. Harr. II 227, a contract of AD 221, by which three brothers agreed to hold their father's property in common  $(\mu \acute{e} \nu \langle \epsilon \rangle w \, \eta \acute{e} \nu \tau \, a \, \dot{v} \, \tau \, (a^2 \, l)$ , which three brothers agreed to hold their father's property in common  $(\mu \acute{e} \nu \langle \epsilon \rangle w \, \eta \acute{e} \nu \tau \, a \, \dot{v} \, \tau \, a \, \dot{v} \, \tau \, (a^2 \, l)$ , which there is a particular estate are magistracy to which one of them had already been appointed. Similarly here the heirs to a particular estate are nominated to office as a group to ensure that the expenses are covered, without regard, at least in this document, to the question of which person performs which duties.

5–7 In Pharmuthi of the previous Egyptian year, March–April, AD 289, the president of the council was the ἀξιολογώτατος Aurelius Asclepiades son of Achillion, former hypomnematographus, gymnasiarch, councillor, (XXVII 2477 2–3; see JEA 49 (1963) 181 for the correction of the date; cf. XLIV 3184 4–5, where the person called Asclepiades alias Achillion seems to be the same man as Asclepiades son of Achillion in 2477). It looks as if this may be another case of the same man serving as prytanis in successive years in spite of a general practice of annual service on any one occasion, see A. K. Bowman, Town Councils 63, cf. LI 3606 introd. We know that Asclepiades alias Achillion did serve a second term before October/November 206, since he is called διε προταγείναντ in 3184 5.

There was a former hypomnematographus called Aurelius Asclepiades, who served as a deputy strategus on 11 February 292 (I 59) and as an acting strategus sometime in AD 292/3 (XXXIV 2712), cf. G. Bastianini, J. E. G. Whitehorne, Strategi and Royal Scribes 103. It may be that all these documents illustrate the career of one man, although it might be argued that the use of the alias or patronymic of Achillion was intended to distinguish one from others. Asclepiades itself is a fairly common name.

44

8 · 9 ἄρχειν  $?[\delta v]$  ... crέφανον. Cf. introd. para. 3. A fee, the cτεπτικόν, was payable in respect of the crown of this magistracy, see XLIV 3177.

9 The trace at the end is tiny, so that the day cannot be recovered. As a rule very little time intervenes between the date of the notice and the date of commencement of office. In 3182, for example, the interval is two days, in P. Ant. 31 the notice is dated one day before, in 3293 on the same day.

9-10 The sharing of what was originally an annual office is well known in this period, see Bowman, Town Councils 109-113; on sharing the eutheniarchy see E. G. Turner, HSCP γ9 (1975) 24. In X 1252 two exegetae are named and we can compare XII 1413 of Δ0 270-5, where a group of exceptae take part in a council debate. The cause was financial; a few years later than this document, in Δ0 294, when a person was appointed to do the public duties of the office for a short period, the council resolved that the expenses should come from the resources of the whole board, see VI 1891 12-15.

19-20 On the office of assistant to the strategus see 3924 introd, para. 3, cf. 3926 18-20.

#### 3931. MAGIC

47 5B.33/K(1-4)c

20 × 9.5 cm

Third/fourth century

The best preserved section of this text (col. i 1–13) seems to be the beginning of a spell to make a person invisible,  $d\mu a\nu\rho\omega\tau\nu\kappa\dot{o}\nu$   $d\nu\theta\rho\omega\sigma\nu\nu$   $\pi\omega\dot{\eta}c\alpha\iota$  (1–2). The adjective is new. The structure of the spell is not clear, see notes, but for the moment I take it that lines 2–13 are all part of a threatening invocation of a powerful spirit who is to make the magician invisible. In column ii, which is damaged and broken to the right, we find a series of magic words, marked out by the usual overlining (ii 14–17), the remains of a recipe in six lines (17–22), and then more magic words (22–25). The overlining seems to stop in the middle of line 25, but that line and the next, which is the last to survive, are so badly damaged that nothing has been read.

On the back are four or five clumsy drawings of strange beasts, one of which seems to be mummified in bandages, and upside down to these and to the text on the front are two damaged lines of magic instruction in a script larger and clumsier than the one on the front. The hand on the front is a very competent cursive of the fourth, or possibly the late third, century and the other is likely to be contemporary. It could be by the same writer, unused to writing more slowly and on a larger scale.

The piece of papyrus is broken at each side. To the left of the writing on the front, although the edge is broken, there is a wide margin of c. 7 cm over most of which the fibres run perpendicularly. The writing stands to the right of a sheet-join and runs along horizontal fibres. The vertical fibres are presumably part of the first protective sheet of the roll, the protocollon, which was usually attached in this fashion, see E. G. Turner, The Terms Recto and Verso (Pap. Brux. 16) 20–21. On the back there is a similar contrast of fibre directions: the two lines of writing begin along one set of fibres belonging to the protocollon and then run across not one but two more sets of vertical fibres, because here there is the complication of a strengthening strip added over the original join. From this side the edge above the writing, although more damaged, looks like the top, although the opposite edge looks like the top from the

front. I guess that the magic was written on an oblong of blank scrap papyrus cut from a used roll which happened to have a first column short enough to leave a margin, whether at the top or at the bottom, of nearly 10 cm. If this is so, very little text will have separated the surviving foot of col. i from the top of col. ii, and the recipe in col. ii will relate to the invocation in col. i; if it is not so, a large amount of text, in the range of ten to twenty lines perhaps, may separate lines i 13 and ii 14, and the recipe may be quite unrelated to the invocation.

(Read with Tim Bateson.)

	i		ii
	άμαυρωτικὸν ἄνθρω-		$\ldots$ $]$ (v.) $\overline{\epsilon c}$ [
	πον ποιήςαι. ΄ἐπικαλοῦ-	15	] <u>.γε.</u> [
	μαι τὸ διῆκον πνεῦμα		] <u>-ρ</u> (vv.) <del>κου</del> [
	ἀπὸ γῆς εἰς οὐρανόν, θεῷν		$\ldots$ ] $\overline{\omega\rho}$ (vv.) $\lambda\epsilon$
5	πνευμάτων θεὸν παν-		] (ν.) εἰς ἔλεον χ.[
	τοκράτορα. <del>αετετουω</del> .		μετά κο.ρ[
	ἀμαύ `ρω΄ coν πάν `τα΄ ὀφθαλμ[ὸ] γ	20	διλοψ καὶ μα [
	άνθρώπου ἢ γυναικός.		λειων ὀλίγων [
	ἐπιπορεύομαι ἄχρι οδ ποι-		$τ$ ην ὄψιν. $\overline{\alpha\epsilon\rho}$ [
0	ήςω [ὄ]ςα θέλω, καὶ λέγω,		ατερβιακα [.][
	ωρείθ, ἄκουςόν μοι ἐπὶ	-	$\overline{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\nu\epsilon\eta}$ (v.) [
	τοῦ κότμου. αλκμε. θαλας-	25	$\overline{av}$ $\overline{\tau}$ [
	ς[οκ]ρά[τ]ωρ, ὁ ἐπὶ τῆς νυκτός		[

18 Ι. ἔλαιον 27 Ι. καρπάςου

Lines 1-13. 'To make a person invisible. I call upon the spirit that pervades from earth to heaven, almighty god of the spirit gods(?). Assessue. Dim every eye of man or woman. I shall press hard until I do all that I want, and I say (to you?), Choreith (?), listen to me, (you?) who are in charge of the universe. Alome. Ruler of the sea, you who are in charge of the night ...'

Lines 27-8. '... take a leaf of flax (white hellebore?) ... (and) bury it with (?) ...'

<sup>1</sup> ἀμαυρωτικόν. The adjective is new. On the suffix -τικός see L. R. Palmer, A Grammar of the Post-Ptolemaic Papyri 37-9.

It seems to mean simply 'invisible', to judge from the use of the cognates in the magical papyri, i.e. K. Preisendanz, Papyri Graecae Magicae i I 102 dµavpoi,  $\ddot{v}a$  µnδele [ $\kappa$ |aβόλον  $\epsilon a$  θεωρήςη, '(a spirit which) makes (you) invisible, so that none at all can see you', I 247 dµaúρω $\epsilon c < \delta \infty \ell \mu \eta$ , 'a tried spell for invisibility'; ii XIII 234 dµavpá...  $\tau o\ddot{v}\tau o$  φορῶν δθεώρητος  $\ddot{\epsilon}c\eta$ , 'a charm for invisibility'... if you carry this, you will be unseen'. Contrast ii P. 10. 39 (p. 219) πνεύματα... dµavpáω ποιοῦντα, '(a charm against) spirits ... which cause blindness'; this translation is recommended by the other things which the spirits cause—bad dreams, fright, madness, and thefts.

- 3 Cf. PGM i IV 1117-8 χαίρε τὸ πνεῦμα τὸ διῆκον ἀπὸ οὐρανοῦ ἐπὶ γῆν. The spirit may be Aion, see H. D. Betz, The Greek Magical Papyri in Translation 60 n. 159; cf. A. Festugière, La Révêlation d'Hermès Trismégiste iv 18s-6.
- $4^{-5}$  θεών πνευμάτων θεόν παντοκράτορα. Cf. P. Warren 21.  $24^{-6}$  έλθέ μοι δ τών θεών θεός, ..., δ κύριος τών πνευμάτων.
- 6 ατεξετούω. Magic words are overlined, cf. introd. This and most others here find no place in the indexes to PGM, see ibid. Register VIII-XV.
- 7 It is curious that the magician's invisibility is to be produced as much by affecting the sight of others as by altering himself.
- 9 The meaning of ἐπιπορεύομαι is obscure. I take it as a threat against the god or spirit he was invoking, 91 shall press (you) hard until I can do all that I want to do', rather than as an expression of his wish to do whatever he wants in the shelter of invisibility.
- 10 Again I take it that  $\lambda \acute{e}\gamma \omega$  is emphasizing the magician's power over the spirit, 'I say (to you), ... listen ...'
  - 11 ωρειθ. Cf. below 11-13 n. on αλκμε. The first letter is likely to be chi or lambda.

ἄκουκόν μοι. The dative after ἀκούω may be another indication that the tone is threatening, 'hear and obey', with the construction of  $\pi\epsilon i\theta$ ομαι οτ  $\dot{\psi}$ πακούω.

11–13 We expect rather  $\langle \delta \rangle$  ἐπὶ τοῦ κόςμου, cf. 13 ὁ ἐπὶ τῆς νυκτός and PGM i IV 1695 ὁ ἐπὶ τὸν κόςμου (which Preisendanz turns into the genitive) καὶ [ὑπο] τὸν κόςμου, ἄλκιμο θαλαςςοκράτωμ, which is clearly relevant here, as is IV 1600 τοὺ ἐπὶ τὸν κόςμου καὶ ὑποὶ τὸν κόςμου, ἄλκιμου θαλαςςοκράτωμ. Both are from an invocation of Helios. Here there is a blot obscuring the epsilon, but it does not look as if  $\delta$  ἐπί was ever written. On the other hand line 13 suggests that ἐπί with the genitive is really intended, in the sense 'in charge of, in command of', although in the parallel passages the preposition means 'above', as the contrast with ὑπό, 'below', shows.

 $\overline{\alpha \lambda \kappa \mu \epsilon}$ . The spacing and the overlining make it clear that the writer intended this as a magic formula, but it cannot be accidental that the related passages cited above have the Greek word  $\delta \lambda \kappa \mu \omega \epsilon$  next to  $\delta \alpha \lambda \kappa \epsilon \omega \epsilon$ . We could pursue this line further: It  $\overline{\chi \omega \rho \epsilon i \theta} = \chi \omega \rho \epsilon i \theta' = \chi \omega \rho \epsilon i \epsilon' = \chi \omega \rho \epsilon i \theta' = \chi \omega \rho \epsilon i \theta'$ 

i 13-ii 14 See introd. on the question of the extent of the loss at the foot of col. i. I incline to think that not very much is lost.

17-22 This looks like a recipe, with ingredients and instructions. We can only guess how wide the column was, but it is tempting to suggest a pattern of short restorations:

λεπτό[ν c. 4?
...] εἰς ἔλεον χα[λάςας
μετὰ κόπρου [ κροκοδίλου καὶ μαλ[αχῶν τελείων δλίγων [ χρίςον

3931. MAGIC

47

27 8 φύλλον καλπάς $\{c\}$ ου. Cf. PGM i IV 2046, 2073, 2103, 2117, 2141, ii XIXb.3. In all these the third letter is lambda, but LSJ has it under κάρπαcoc.

κατόρυξον. Cf. PGM ii XII 100. We can guess that the instruction will have been to write a magic formula on this plant leaf and bury it somewhere appropriate, but nothing specific has emerged from the damaged parts of the text.

## 3932. PRIVATE LETTER: PAUL TO MARY

101/203(a)

30.5 × 25 cm

Sixth century

Paul was a shorthand writer, an exceptor (16), probably on the staff of the provincial governor mentioned in line 6. During his absence from Oxyrhynchus he had received from Mary his mother only one letter, which was delivered by a singularis, an official messenger probably also on the staff of the governor. In this she said that she had sent him three letters without receiving an answer. Here he assured her that he had only received one and told her that he would be back in Oxyrhynchus within days, along with the governor, who no doubt had his headquarters there, since it was the capital of the province of Arcadia.

The letter is virtually undamaged. The writing, which is the best indication of the date, is a big sloping cursive, very flowing and practised, although it becomes more careless in the last three or four lines. Its general resemblance to W. Schubart, *Griechische Palaeographie* 93 (Abb. 59), dated AD 541, allows us to assign it with reasonable confidence to the sixth century.

The writing runs across the fibres on what was the recto of the roll from which the piece was cut. This is shown by a sheet-join which runs horizontally under line 10 about 16 cm from the top edge. The join overlaps from top to bottom of the letter, so that the right hand edge would have been the top of the roll.

When the letter was finished it was folded from right to left along a vertical line about 17 cm from the left edge, so that the narrower right hand part, about 13.5 cm wide, rested face down on the wider one. Then the folded letter was rolled up from the foot and squashed flat. The exposed top edge was tucked inside and the flattish package, 17 cm long and perhaps about 3 cm broad, was tied shut by a binding strip round the middle. The main part of the address, line 15, was written along one side of the package. On the other side the address was finished on the surface to the right of the binding and a design consisting of a circle filled with diagonal cross-hatching was inked over the binding on that side. The surface to the left of that remains blank. The removal of the binding, which was probably a strip of papyrus, by the recipient has removed the middle of the design and also much of the mu of  $\epsilon \mu \nu \sigma \rho (\epsilon m \epsilon c \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta)$  in line 15.

(Read with Jairus Banaji.)

🕂 ἐδεξάμην τὰ γράμματα τῆς ςῆς μητρικῆς εὐνοίας διὰ τοῦ θαυμαςιωτάτου Άνηλίου τοῦ ειγγουλαρίου—θεὸς οἶδεν ταῦτα πρῶτα τυγχάνοντα—καὶ πάνυ έχάρην

γνούς έξ αὐτῶν ὑγιαίνειν ὑμᾶς. καὶ ςὺν θεῷ ἐν ταύταις ἐπικαταλαμβάνομεν τὴν 'Όξυρυγχιτῶν μετὰ τοῦ κυρίου μου τοῦ μεγαλοπρεπεςτάτου καὶ

εὐδοκιμωτάτου

ἄρχοντος, καὶ ἐλπίζω, ἡμῶν καταλαμβανόντων τὰ ἐκεῖςε, ὡς ὅτιπερ περί έκάς του τύπος δοθής εται. οὐκ ὀφείλετε δὲ μέμψας θαί με ὡς ὅτιπερ

καὶ δεύτερον καὶ τρίτον ἐγράψατέ μοι καὶ τῷν ἀμ $\llbracket v \rrbracket$ ' οι 'β $\llbracket \epsilon \rrbracket$ ' αί 'ων οὐκ

έτύχατε. θεὸς γὰρ

οίδεν ὁ παντοκράτωρ, ἐξ ὅτου ἐξῆλθον τῆς Ὀξυρυγχιτῶν, εἰ μὴ ταύτην καὶ μόνην τὴν ἐπιςτολὴν οὐκ ἐδεξάμην ὑμῶν. ἔγνων δὲ ὡς ὅτιπερ Καλήμερος οὐ κατέχεται, καὶ παρὰ τοῦ ἐμοῦ ευμμάχου καὶ παρὰ ἄλλων

πολλών.

πολλά ύμας προςαγορεύω. προςαγορεύει δε και δ γλυκύτατος κοινός υίὸς Θέων καὶ Ενις, καὶ χάρις τῷ κρίττονι τῷ παραςκευάςαντι ὑμᾶς ταύτην την έπιςτολην πέμψαι την διά Ανηλίου τοῦ ειγγουλαρίου. (vac.) Back, along the fibres;

+  $\epsilon$ πίδ(οc)  $\tau \hat{\eta}$  κυρία μο $\dot{v}$   $\dot{v}$  π(αρὰ) Παύλου ἐξκ(έπτορος).

4 ϋγιαινειν 16 π', εξκ/ 13 κριτ'τονι; 1. κρείττονι 15 επιδί, τεμνοπρί, ευγενί

'I received your maternal kindness's letter through the most admirable Anelius, the guard-God knows, this (was) the first to arrive—and I rejoiced greatly on learning from it that you are well. And in these days, with God's help, we shall reach Oxyrhynchus with my lord the most magnificent and most respected governor, and I hope when we arrive in those parts that a ruling will be issued on every matter. You ought not to blame me that you wrote to me once and a second time and a third and did not receive returns. God Almighty knows, since the time I left Oxyrhynchus I received (nothing) except this one and only letter of yours. Yet I learned that Calemerus is not being detained, both from my messenger and from many others. I give you many greetings, and Theon too, the most sweet son of us both, greets you, as does ... And thanks (be) to the Greater Power that caused you to send this letter, the one (which came) through Anelius the guard.

Address. 'Deliver to my most revered and most well-born lady mother, Mary, from Paul, exceptor.'

2 The initial Christian symbol here and in line 15 is the 'monogrammatic cross', cf. LVI 3871 1 2 n. της εής μητρικής εθνοίας. No other example of this particular abstract style of address has been located, but cf. H. Zilliacus, Untersuchungen zu den abstrakten Anredeformen in general and the list on pp. 105-8.

θαυμαςιωτάτου. Cf. LVI 3869 14 n. It denotes a modest rank.

3 Arnhlov. Cf. 14. P. Princ. III 138. 2, mentioning a boat captain of the same name, is also assigned to the sixth century.

ctyyoulaploy. Cf. 14. The provincial singulares were originally the guards of high ranking Roman officers and governors. From at least the fourth century they were minor civil servants of the militia officialis rather than soldiers and are known mostly as dispatch riders, see M. P. Speidel, Guards of the Roman Armies 40-41, cf. CPR XIV 39, 13-17 n. This seems to be reflected again in our document. Anelius was probably on the staff of the praeses Arcadiae mentioned in line 6.

4 ἐπικαταλαμβάνομεν. The entry in F. Preisigke, WB I gives two wrong references (P. Tebt. I 17. 8, P. Lips. 35. 5), and in the only reference to the same meaning as here, ἐπικαταλήμψομαι τὴν πόλιν in P. Cair. Masp. I 67082. 3, the verb is middle only in the strictly formal sense. The same future occurs in P. Harr. I 157. 3, where the sense is not quite clear because of the damaged context; perhaps it means, 'I shall arrive later', cf. SB VI 9106. 6, 9396. 2. Add now P. Mich. inv. 490. 10 (ZPE 84 (1990) 40). Compare below 6 n. on καταλαμβανόντων τὰ ἐκεῖcε.

5 μεγαλοπρεπετάτου. Cf. O. Hornickel, Ehren- und Rangprädikate 28-9. It is usually applied to praesides from the end of the fifth century, having once been a mark of the highest rank.

εὐδοκιμωτάτου. Cf. LVI 3867 5 n. It is usually found applied to persons lower in rank than a praeses,

but it is clearly an expression of respect rather than a title of rank.

The same combination μεγαλοπρεπέςτατος καὶ εὐδοκιμώτατος is found in P. Flor. III 303. 2 referring to a τρακτευτής, cf. LV 3805 31 n. It is restored and made to refer to a comes in SB III 6270. 1, where ε[νδοξοτάτω might be at least more plausible. A photograph of P. Harr. I 157 verso shows ]φ( ) δεςπότη  $\epsilon$ μ $\hat{\psi}$  τὰ πάντα μεγαλοπρεπ $(\epsilon$ ςτάτ $\psi)$  καὶ εὐδοκιμωτ $(\epsilon$ τ $\psi)$ —instead of μεγαλοπρεπε $\hat{\epsilon}$  εὐδοκιμωτ $(\epsilon$ τ $\psi)$ —προςτάτη καὶ γεούχ(φ) Cτρατηγίφ. Strategius is likely to be one of the Apion family and therefore of very high rank.

6 ἄρχοντος. Since, according to Georgius Cyprius, Descriptio Orbis Romani (cd. H. Gelzer, Bibl. Teubn.) 745, Oxyrhynchus was the provincial capital of Arcadia, this is presumably the praeses Arcadiae. The earliest documentary reference to the province is in Stud. Pal. XX 117 of AD 411, see R. S. Bagnall, K. A. Worp, Mnemosyne ser. 4, 31 (1978) 287-93, and it survived into the Arab period, cf. e.g. CPR VIII 72-84. For its administration after Justinian's Edict XIII see J. G. Keenan, Museum Philologum Londiniense 2 (1977) 193-202, chiefly concerned with the lack of evidence for a dux Arcadiae. A new document, P. Prag. I 64, has at last revealed a dux, but the date is AD 636; it remains unknown whether the office was introduced by Justinian or under a later emperor, cf. Keenan p. 202.

καταλαμβανόντων τὰ ἐκεῖce. Cf. above 4 n., LI 3637 2 n.

ώς ὅτιπερ. Cf. 7, 10. There seem to be only two papyrological parallels, XVI 1831 2, 1833 2, cf. LSI

s.v. ὅτι Β.1.a, citing only Thuc. 4. 14. 2 (without ώc)

7 τύπος is a word of many shades of meaning. Here the general sense is evidently regulation or ruling, cf. WB s.v. 1(c), 2(b). The sentence seems to mean that the praeses will settle any legal or administrative matters still outstanding in the locality when he comes. It seems to be suggested that Mary had an interest in one of the matters to be settled.

8 ἀμυβέων is corrected to ἀμοιβαίων by a small clumsy hand, cf. 16 n. For the expression cf. P. Ant. I 44. 5, spelled ἀμοιβέων. For the phonetic spelling see F. T. Gignac, Grammar i 197-8 (οι >υ), 191-3 (αι >ε). έτυχατε. For second agrists with first agrist endings see F. T. Gignac, BASP 22 (1985) 49-54, cf. id.

Grammar ii 335-45, esp. 343.

11 Καλήμερος is not in F. Preisigke, Namenbuch, or D. Foraboschi, Onomasticon; W. Pape, G. E. Benseler, Wb. d. gr. Eigennamen cite only Sozomenus, Hist. Eccles. 9. 17; H. Solin, Die gr. Personennamen in Rom i 96 gives three epigraphical references, with sixteen instances of the feminine Καλημέρα, cf. SB V 8007. 4, 7. Cf. L. Robert, Hellenica 9 (1950) 65.

παρά τοῦ ἐμοῦ τυμμάχου. On the armed messengers called τύμμαχοι see A. Jördens, ZPE 66 (1986) 105-118. No uniform picture emerges from this thorough survey. Some were appointed under the liturgical system of public service. Payments to them in both money and kind are recorded. In this case έμοῦ could indicate that he was privately employed by Paul or, perhaps more probably, that he was employed by the state but assigned to serve as messenger to this particular exceptor.

Evidently Mary thought that Calemerus was being detained, whereas Paul had information that this was not so. It seems to be implied that Calemerus had something to do with Mary's undelivered letters to

I For the symbol in the top margin, of unknown meaning, see LVI 3867 In. It may be worth suggesting the possibility that it derives from the equally mysterious heading found in official Latin documents readable as f() l(), see CPR V 13. 1 n., with Tafel 25.

12–13 ὁ γλυκύτατος κοινὸς υίὸς Θέων. This is another clear example of a term of blood relationship used as an expression of respect or affection, cf. e.g. LV **3813–3815** introd. Theon stood in a filial relation to both Paul and Mary, being their 'common son', but he cannot have been the son by blood of both of them, if Mary was Paul's mother (2, 15), or even if she was a person of sufficient age and dignity to be addressed by Paul as if she were.

13 κρίττονι (= κρείττονι). See G. W. H. Lampe, Patristic Greek Lexicon s.v. κρείς cων 2 for this way of referring to God. Cf. SB V 8003. 3, P. Cair. Masp. I 67006 verso 12, 67064. 11?, 67089 verso 13 (duplicate

III 67294. 13), and perhaps SB VI 9218. 18, 21, where the context is badly damaged.

14 Cf. 2-3 and 3 n.

εὐγεν (εcτάτη). This superlative is used predominantly but not exclusively of women, see O. Hornickel,

Ehren- u. Rangprädikate 12.

16 ἐξκ(ἐπτορος). For an account of the importance and the rise of shorthand writers see H. Teitler, Notarii and Exceptores (Early Principate to c. 450 AD), esp. 73–5, on their presence in the provincial officia, cf. A. H. M. Jones, Later Roman Empire i 593; O. Seeck, Notitia Dignitation 100 (Or. XLIV). Although it seems overwhelmingly likely that Paul was on the staff of the governor of Arcadia, exceptores were not employed exclusively in the central and provincial officia: note the unusual ἐξκέπτορα τοῦ ἐκδίκου (VIII 1108 13; VI/VII) and those of the city of Ravenna in P. Ital. I 4–5B viii 6; 8 i 2; 10–11 i 7, ii 8, 14–15 iii 1, 3, 7, 13 (I 16. 81 and 22. 10, 52 are the usual state officers); II 31 iii 1, 2, 6, 15.

Paul's chief duty would have been to make a record in shorthand of proceedings in the governor's court and to produce a fair copy in ordinary writing soon after the session ended. The process is discussed at length by S. Lancel, Acts de la Conférence de Cartage en 411 i 342–53. Note also the presence of an exceptor and an officialis of the staff of a praess Thebaids at a hearing held by a curator ciuitatis in Panopolis at which a decision of the praesses was placed in evidence (P. Panop. 30. 4). Altogether unexpected is the petition directed to a raxwypáboc, the Greek for exceptor, of the staff of a praesse Arcadiae by a woman accusing her son-in-law, who threw her out, of theft and assault (SB VI 9239; AD 548), see the commentary of the first edition, J. Lallemand, CE 27 (1952) 205–9. The Greek title is rather rarer, see also XVI 1965 4 (AD 553), P. Berl. Zill. 7. 4 (AD 574), Stud. Pal. XX 247. 2 (VI/VII); all four are connected with praesides.

P. Mich. XI 624 is an interesting letter of the early sixth century addressed to an exceptor, mentioning an ἄρχων who was no doubt a praeses Areadiae, since Heracleopolis and Arsinoe are named, together with other members of his officium, an ab actis, a numerarius and officiales. P. Cair. Masp. III 67312 is the will of a member of the officium of a dux Thebaidos, possibly an exceptor, who had property in three nomes and a house

in Antinoopolis (AD 567).

To the references given in S. Daris, Lessico Latino there can be added P. Panop. 30. 4, SB XIV 12187. 2-7, P. Berl. Zill. 4. 28 (Latin), P. Herm. Landl. 1. 416, P. Laur. II 26. 26, IV 179. 2, P. Mich. XI 624. 31, XIV 683. 1, 3, 4, 5, P. Freer o8. 45 iv 124 = J. Gascou, L. S. B. MacCoull, Travaux et Mémoires 10 (1987) 122, CPR XIV 12. 1; 30, 11, 12.

Because of the nature of his work we might expect an exceptor to be perfectly capable of writing a letter himself, but in the ancient circumstances we might equally well expect him to make use of an amanuensis. Possibly the correction of  $\frac{\partial \mu \nu \beta \ell \omega \nu}{\partial \mu \nu}$  to  $\frac{\partial \mu \nu \beta \mu \omega}{\partial \nu}$  in line 8 shows Paul's superior education, in spite of the clumsy way in which it was done, while the fluent script denotes a professional scribe.

# II. DOCUMENTS OF THE LATE BYZANTINE PERIOD

# 3933-3962. General Introduction

These items have been brought together chiefly to illustrate the formulaic prescripts of contracts made during the reigns of Maurice, Phocas, and Heraclius. The stimulus to collect them came from Dr Zbigniew Borkowski, who made a great leap forward in the understanding of the prescripts of this period and used their evidence in his work on the inscriptions found in the ruins of a small auditorium in Alexandria, see Z. Borkowski, *Inscriptions des factions à Alexandrie* (Warsaw, 1981) App. I, pp. 127–136. Borkowski's work was followed and amplified by R. S. Bagnall, K. A. Worp, 'Christian Invocations in the Papyri', *CE* 56 (1981) 112–133, 'Christian Invocations in the Papyri: a Supplement', *ibid.* 362–5; add J. Gascou, K. A. Worp, 'P. Rain. Cent. 35 et SB I 4483; les invocations', *ZPE* 57 (1984) 105–110.

From AD 537 up to AD 591 the prescribed form was a dating clause by the regnal year of the emperor and/or by the year of his consulship, followed by the month, day, and indiction. This is sometimes referred to as the *intitulatio*. Maurice introduced a religious invocation (*invocatio*), which took up a position before the date. It first appears

in the papyri in AD 591.

Some of the changes in the formulas were linked with political changes: under Maurice the formula was an unvarying invocation of Christ, while Phocas introduced an invocation of the Trinity, of which there were several local variations, and under Heraclius the invocation of Christ was reintroduced in Lower Egypt only, Upper Egypt retaining Trinitarian formulas.

Borkowski was especially interested in the period of the revolt of Heraclius against Phocas, which led to the accession of Heraclius in October AD 610. He was clearly right to claim that some documents with an *invocatio* but lacking an *intitulatio* could be shown to belong to a period of uncertainty, during which the clerks realized that Phocas was no longer in power but had no authority to name Heraclius in his place. Borkowski made a further claim, however, that a strange sort of *intitulatio* in which the emperor is referred to as 'our same most pious master' (τοῦ αὐτοῦ εὖτεβεττάτου ἡμῶν δετπότου), without any previous specification of his name, also indicates uncertainty about the continuance of the reign of Phocas. This theory has already been contested by R. S. Bagnall in a review of Borkowski's book in *BASP* 20 (1983) 75–80. The fuller evidence now available, which shows that the nameless formula was used intermittently in Oxyrhynchus for Tiberius Constantine, Maurice, and Phocas over a long period, supports Bagnall, see 3933 2–3 n. Documents which foreshadow the downfall of Phocas by completely omitting the *intitulatio* cover only the comparatively short period from 27 February 610 (SB I 5270) to, probably, 23 September 610 (3953). Phocas was still

recognized, although unnamed, in Oxyrhynchus on 11 June 609 (see 3933 2-3 n., item 12 in the list). His name does appear in an Oxyrhynchite document of 9 June 609 (3948). Therefore the numismatic evidence which is taken to indicate that the rebels had control of the mint of Alexandria during the indiction year 11 = AD 607/8, see W. Hahn, Moneta Imperii Byzantini ii 84-7, iii 35, 78-9, Borkowski, IFA 137-143, receives no support from the papyri of Middle Egypt. This does not necessarily invalidate that evidence. There was prolonged resistance in Lower Egypt according to the account of John of Nikiou (R. H. Charles, The Chronicle of John, Bishop of Nikiou 167-75). Middle Egypt could hardly do otherwise than retain the official formulas as long as possible. It is remarkable enough that its confidence was shaken more than seven months before the official accession of Heraclius, cf. 3949 introd. Note the gap in our information between 11 June 609 and 27 February 610; we cannot know at what point inside this period the interregnum began.

Study of the prescripts is bedeviled by other chronological problems. The latest survey of the use of the consular and post-consular years of Maurice, see R. S. Bagnall, K. A. Worp, BASP 18 (1981) 35–38, makes them seem very complicated. At least as far as the papyri from Oxyrhynchus are concerned a simpler system seems to work. A convincing demonstration can be made by reproducing from BASP their tables of consular datings under Maurice with the addition of the actual dates as near as can be determined, mostly following their own conversions in Chronological Systems 127–8.

#### TABLE I

A.  $$\delta mar\epsilon(ac)$ consular reckoning, based on Mauricius' consulate in 583, i.e. with 583 = consular year 1.$ 

Ι.	P. Oxy. XVI 1988 (587), regnal 6, cos. 5	29.12.587
2.	P. Oxy. XVI 1989 (590), regnal [9], cos. 8	3.11.590
3.	P. Erl. 87 (592), regnal 10, cos. 10 [ἔτος δεκάτης(!) twice: no month, day, or	!
	indiction survives]	
4.	P. Amh. II 150 (592), regnal 11, cos. 10	20.10.592
5.	P. Oxy. I 201 desc. (593), regnal 12, cos. 11	27.9.593
6.	PSI I 60 (595), regnal 14, cos. 13	8.10.595
7.	P. Oxy. XXVII 2478 (595), regnal 14, cos. 13	. 27.11.595
8.	PSI I 59 (596), regnal [15], cos. 14	3.11.596
9.	P. Wash. Univ. 26 (596), regnal [15], cos. 14	1.10.596
	P. Oxy. XIX 2239 (598), regnal [17], cos. 16	10.10.598
II.	P. Oxy. XVI 1991 (601), cos. [1]9	18.9.601

B. μετὰ τὴν ὑπατείαν counted by postconsular reckoning, based on Mauricius' consulate in 593, i.e. with 584 = p.c. year 1.

	P. Erl. 67 (591), p.c. 8	17.9.591
2.	P. Stras. 318 (596), p.c. 13	19.9.596
	SB VI 9153 (596), p.c. 13	14 or 24.9.596
4.	P. Köln III 158 (599), p.c. 16	18(?).10.599

Φπατείας counted by postconsular reckoning, based on consulate in 583, i.e. counting 584 as consular year 1.

	P.O. IVI (1/0)	20,11.584!
Ι.	P. Oxy. VI 996 (584), regnal 3, cos. 1	20.9.585!
2.	P. Lond. V 1731 (585), regnal 4, cos. 2	
3.	P. Oxy. XX 2283 (586), regnal 4, cos. 3	7.2.586
4	P. Monac. 11 (586), regnal 5, cos. 3	7.10.586!
5.	P. Oxy. XVI 1987 (587), regnal 5, cos. 4	17.2.587
6.	P. Oxy. XVI 1993 (587), regnal 5, cos. 4	9.3.587
7.	P. Oxy. XVI 1898 (587), regnal 5, cos. 4	13.3.587
8.	P. Oxy. XLIV 3204 (588), regnal 6, cos. 5	2.1.588
g,	P. Köln III 157 (589), regnal 7, cos. 6	14.7.589
10.	P. Oxy. XVI 1990 (591), regnal 9, cos. 8	12.1.591
II.	P. Oxy. XVIII 2202 (593), regnal 11, cos. 10	27.3.593
12.	P. Monac. 14 (594), regnal 12, cos. 11	15.2.594
13.	P. Lond. V 1733 (594), regnal 12, cos. 11	6.3.594
14.	PSI III 244 (597), regnal 15, cos. 14	12.6.597
15.	P. Vatic. Aphrod. 1 (598), regnal 16, cos. 15	23.4.598?
	(N.B.: indiction points to 599, probably in error)	
16.	BGU I 255 (599), regnal 17, cos. 16	15.5.599
17	PSI III 239 (601), cos. 18, ind. 4	9.2.601

D. μετὰ τὴν ὑπατείαν counted by consular reckoning from Mauricius' consulate in 583, i.e. with 584 = p.c. year 2.

P. Lond. V 1897 (588), regnal 7, p.c. 6

2.10-11.588

(Several restored texts are left out of account, viz. P. Cair. Masp. I 67111, P. Warren 10, P. Genova I 32, PSI III 248, SB VI 9561.)

What strikes the eye immediately is that all the dates in section A fall in the last four months of the year, while almost all the dates in section C fall in the first seven months. If we accept that the so-called consular year began at some date between 14 July (C.9) and 17 September (B.1) and that consular year 1 was 583/4 and post-consular year 1 therefore 584/5, there are only six texts in this table where we need to assume any error or different calculation.

A.3 (Heracleopolite?) is plainly unreliable; the spelling is odd as well as the counting. C.15 (Aphrodito) looks like a simple slip. D.1 (Antinoopolis?) can perhaps be accounted for as Bagnall and Worp suggest, or it may be mere error too. That appears to leave only VI 996 (C.1), P. Lond. V 1731 (C.2) and P. Monac. I 11 (C.4), which may be explicable as a different count, see below pp. 55–6, but two more items of the same archive from Syene as C.2 and C.4 have been omitted from the table on the ground that they are mere errors, P. Monac. 10 and 13, see ibid. p. 37. From this archive only P. Monac. 14 (C.12) seems acceptable to us all. It looks as if clerks in Syene were failing to deal with or avoid the complications as efficiently as those in Oxyrhynchus. Two documents from Nessana suggest that there the scribes knew the correct system and were able to cope with the intricacies, see ibid. pp. 37–8.

At first it seems a shocking idea that a consular year might begin on some other date than I January, but it needs only a short study of the chaos of the dating clauses

of the previous reign to force the realization that there was good reason to seek a simpler system, cf. D. Hagedorn, B. Kramer, ZPE 42 (1981) 123-7, R. S. Bagnall, K. A. Worp, BASP 17 (1980) 22-3. It seems that at least some clerks or their authorities gave up trying to juggle with a regnal year beginning on 13 August, a consular year beginning near 1 January, and an indiction year beginning on 29 (or 30) August each year. They settled on a fixed relationship for the consular year and made its beginning coincide with one, or both, of the others. In P. Monac. III 98. 5 n. R. Hübner concluded that the consular year was equated with the Egyptian year, pointing out seven documents which show that the number of the consulship was raised near the end of the Julian year. My suspicion is that the consular, and indeed regnal, year may begin not with the alleged regnal year on 13 August, but rather with the old traditional calendar year on 29 (or 30) August, and at the same time as the indiction year. We can see that the writer of 3934 did not change the regnal and consular numbers on 13 August 588. This seems to support my suspicion, though it may be possible that Maurice chose some day later than that of his crowning for his dies imperii, cf. 3934 1-6 n.

It is not clear when the simpler system was introduced in Oxyrhynchus. The table below begins from 585/6, because **996** of 20 November 584 seems to show that an attempt was made to deal with all three types of year in 584/5, see below p. 55 and Table III, but the earliest document visibly to attest the simplified system is **1989** (A.2) of 3 November 590, since for **1988** (A.1) of 29 December 587 it is still possible in theory that the number of the consular year was advanced to 5 on the fourth anniversary of Mäurices's entry to the consulship, if that was on 20 or 25 December 583, see below ibid. This table should be adequate to deal with all documents from Oxyrhynchus with date formulas of the period:

T.	TOT	77	TT

			IABLE II		
585/6	regnal 4	consular 3	post-consular 2	indiction 4	era 262/231
586/7	r. 5	c. 4	р.с. 3	i. 5	e. 263/232
587/8	r. 6	c. 5	p.c. 4	i. 6	e. 264/233
588/9	r. 7	c. 6	p.c. 5	i. 7	e. 265/234
589/590	r. 8	c. 7	p.c. 6	i. 8	e. 266/235
590/I	r. 9	c. 8	p.c. 7	i. 9	e. 267/236
591/2	r. 10	c. 9	p.c. 8	i. 10	c. 268/237
592/3	r. II	c. 10	p.c. 9	i. 11	e. 269/238
593/4	r. 12	C. II	p.c. 10	i. 12	e. 270/239
594/5	r. 13	C. I2	р.с. 11	i. 13	e. 271/240
595/6	r. 14	c. 13	p.c. 12	i. 14	e. 272/241
596/7	r. 15	c. 14	p.c. 13	i. 15	e. 273/242
597/8	r. 16	c. 15	p.c. 14	i. 1	e. 274/243
598/9	r. 17	c. 16	p.c. 15	i. 2	e. 275/244
599/600	r. 18	c. 17	p.c. 16	i. 3	e. 276/245
600/601	r. 19	c. 18	p.c. 17	i. 4	e. 277/246
601/2	r. 20	c. 19	p.c. 18	i. 5	e. 278/247
602/3	r, 21	C. 20	p.c. 19	i. 6	e. 279/248

The stage before the adoption of this simplified system is represented by V1 996, C.1 above, of 20 November 584, expressed as Hathyr 24 of the 3rd indiction, regnal year 3, and consular year, according to ed. pr., 1 (ὑπατείας ... ἔτους α). The consular figure is seriously damaged, see now R. Pintaudi, Miscellanea Papyrologica (Pap. Flor. VII) Tav. II, but the interpretation of the remains as alpha by the first editors is supported by two documents from Syene, P. Lond. V 1731, C.2 above, where 20 September 585 is expressed as Thoth 23 of the 4th indiction, regnal year 4, and consular year 2, and P. Monac. I 11, C.4 above, where 7 October 586 is expressed as Phaophi 10 of the 5th indiction, regnal year 5, and consular year 3. These are correct according to the facts; therefore 996 suggests that there was an initial attempt in Oxyrhynchus to deal with all three years correctly.

Maurice came to the throne on 13 August 582 (Chron. Pasch. (SHB) i p. 690), so that his regnal year 1 was 13.8.582–12.8.583, year 2 was 13.8.583–12.8.584, etc. According to Theophylactus Simocatta I 12.12 he assumed his consulship in the winter of his second year, which specifies 583/4. The Chron. Pasch., pp. 690–1, says that indiction 1 = 582/3 was an *èviautò àvimatoc*, and that indiction 2 = 583/4 was consular year 1. Theophanes *Chronographia* gives the day of the consulship as 20 December (ed. J. Classen, SHB i 390) or 25 December (ed. de Boor, 253. 24–5) of indiction 2 = 583/4, i.e. December 583.

A correct scheme of significant dates for the first five regnal years would run as follows:

	TABLE I	II		
Julian Dates	Regnal	Indiction	Consular	Post-consular
13 August-28 August 582	r. ı	i. 15	_	p.c. Tiberius 4
20 August-31 December 582?	r. I	i, 1		p.c. Tiberius 4
I January?-12 August 583	r. I	i. 1	_	p.c. Tiberius 5
13 August-29 August 583	r. 2	i. 1		p.c. Tiberius 5
30 August-24 December 583?	r. 2	i. 2		p.c. Tiberius 5
25 December 583?-12 August 584	r. 2	i. 2	C. I	p.c. Tiberius 6
13 August-28 August 584	r. 3	i. 2	C. I	p.c. Tiberius 6
29 August-24 December 584?	r. 3	i. 3	C. I	p.c. Tiberius 6
25 December 584?-12 August 585	r. 3	i. 3	C. 2	p.c. I
13 August-28 August 585	r. 4	i. 3	C. 2	p.c. I
29 August-24 December 585?	r. 4	i. 4	C. 2	p.c. 1
25 December 585?-12 August 586	r. 4	i. 4	c. 3	p.c. 2
13 August-28 August 586	r. 5	i. 4	c. 3	p.c. 2
20 August-24 December 586?	r. 5	i. 5	c. 3	p.c. 2
25 December 586?—12 August 587	r. 5	i. 5	c. 4	p.c. 3

According to this scheme, therefore, VI **996**, P. Lond. V 1731, and P. Monac. II are correctly dated. P. Monac. 10 and 13 remain intractable, see *BASP* 17 (1980) 23–4, 18 (1981) 37; both are dated in January with regnal and indiction years correct by the scheme, but consular years one less than they should be: P. Monac. 10 has r. 4, i. 4, c. 2(!), Mecheir 3(?) = 28 January 586, P. Monac. 13 has r. 12, i. 12, c. 10(!),

Tybi 23 = 18 January 594. There are six other documents with contradictions which are so far inexplicable, but none of them comes from Oxyrhynchus and none of them includes a figure for the consular year, see Bagnall, Worp, BASP 17 (1980) 23-4.

The earliest Oxyrhynchite documents of the reign come from before Maurice's consulate or at least before news of it had arrived there. The formulas have regnal years of Maurice and post-consular years of the deceased Tiberius Constantine, as well as indiction, month, and day:

TABLE IV Reference Regnal Indiction Post-consular Month and day of Tiberius XVI 1976 r. I i. 1 Hathyr 27 = 22.11.582p.c. 4 I 136 i. 1 rr Pachon 29 = 24.5.583p.c. 5 P. Genova I 31 r. 2 [lost] [lost] [lost] = 583/4I 137 r. 3! i. 2 p.c. 6 Tybi 15 = 11.1.584

The first three of these agree with Table III and pose no problem, but in 137 the regnal year appears to be one more than we expect. It would be explained by an immediate return to the traditional system by which regnal year 2 began on Thoth 1 (=29 or, for a leap year, 30 August) following the accession, but all the other documents contradict this and documents of later years, beginning with XX 2283 (7 February 586), show that 585/6 was then considered regnal year 4, and so on throughout the reign.

A photograph of 137, now in Cairo, suggests that  $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\tau o \nu c} \bar{\beta}/$  rather than  $\bar{\gamma}$  is what we should read, see Plate IV. There is virtually no damage here. It is the cursive writing which causes the difficulty. In this hand beta is a small u-shaped letter, which we can see standing alone in the indiction number in line 4 and in five other contexts in these first four lines. We can also study the cursive connection between sigma and gamma close by in  $\lambda \dot{\eta} \dot{\xi} \epsilon \omega c$ ,  $\gamma \epsilon \nu o \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu o \nu (3)$  and  $\lambda \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu [0] c$ ,  $\gamma \epsilon \nu o \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu o \nu (6)$ . In these the cap of the lunate sigma arches over and plunges straight down below the baseline into the long descender of Byzantine gamma; in the regnal date the arched top of the sigma of erouc is carried down to the baseline and smoothly round and up to the level of the tops of the letters, then it descends sharply to a level slightly below the baseline and rises sharply and obliquely to the right. I interpret this as a u-shaped beta, the last stroke of which descends slightly below the baseline much as it does in the beta of the indiction number in line 4, followed by an oblique stroke marking the numeral. This writer treats the marking of the numbers inconsistently, and it may be worth stressing that inconsistency is what we should school ourselves to expect from papyri, The regnal year number here has a horizontal stroke above it, incidentally better placed for my beta than for ed. pr.'s gamma, with, according to my reading, an oblique to the right; the post-consular year number in line 4 has two rather shorter obliques to the right, although these are not recorded in the edition; the day number has a short horizontal over the second digit only; and the indiction number stands without any marker.

With the new reading in this document accepted as correct the calculations for the Oxyrhynchite consular date formulas of Maurice seem to resolve themselves into three types, the first using post-consular years of Tiberius Constantine and regnal years of Maurice (known from 22.11.582–11.1.584, see Table IV), the second using regnal and consular years of Maurice strictly (996 only, of 20.11.584), the third using a system which assimilates the years in some way not yet precisely definable, but probably by making regnal, consular, and indictional years correspond with the traditional calendar year beginning on Thoth 1 (first clearly indicated by 1989 of 3.11.590).

Although the items of evidence are still very few, the indications are that in Oxyrhynchus conflation of the regnal, consular and indictional years endured into the reigns of Phocas, see 3933 2-3 n., item 12 in the list, and Heraclius, see 3955 introd.

# **3933.** Goldsmith's Work Contract $10.5 \times 32.5$ cm

65 6B.33/D(7-8)a

13 April 588

The date clause refers to the fifth consular year of an unnamed emperor, see

The workman agreed to serve a master goldsmith for a year at the total wage of three gold solidi. For a summary of what is known about work contracts and a list of parallels see O. Montevecchi, La Papirologia 220–221. Her collection of texts, I contratit di lavoro (1950) is still convenient, though incomplete. Cf. A. Jördens, ZPE 64 (1986) 59–71, 75 (1988) 164–6; add from this volume 3942, 3952, 3958, and perhaps 3943–3946. For comparative material on wages see A. C. Johnson, L. C. West, Byzantine Egypt: Economic Studies 194–7.

Damage by abrasion is severe in places, but the sheet is complete and the text is recoverable where it consists of common form. The clause in lines 27–32, for which no good parallel has yet been found, remains incomprehensible.

+

+ ύπατίας τοῦ αὐτοῦ εὐςεβεςτάτου ἡμῶν δεςπότου ἔτους πέμπτου, Φαρμοῦθι ιη, ἰψδ(ικτίωνος) ς. τῷ θαυμαςιωτάτῳ Θεοδώρῳ χρυςοχόῳ υἰῷ τοῦ μακαρίου Cερήνου ἀπὸ ταύτης τῆς Ὁξυρυγχ(ιτῶν) πόλεως Αὐρ(ήλιος) Cερῆνος ἐργάτης τῷν χρυςοχόων υἰὸς τοῦ μακαρίου Ἀπολλ[ῶ ἀπὸ τῆς αὐτῆς πόλεως χαίρειν. ὁμολογῶ ἐκου]ςίᾳ γνώμη καὶ αὐθαιρέτῳ προαιρέςει c]υ[ντε]θεῖςθαί με πρὸς τὴν τὴν θαυμ(αςιότητα)

2 ϋπατιας; Ι. ὑπατείας 3 ϊνδ/? 6 οξυρυγχζ, αυρζ 7 υϊος 10 θαυμ.

```
3933. GOLDSMITH'S WORK CONTRACT
```

ἀπὸ | νεομηνίας τοῦ παρελθόντος μηνὸς Θωθ της παρούςης έκτης ινδ(ικτίωνος) έφ' ὧτέ με τὴν πᾶςαν χώραν τοῦ ἐργάτου τῶν χρυςοχόων παρ'αὐτη ἀποπληρώςαι άμέμπτως καὶ ἀόκνως καὶ ἀκαταγνώςτως καὶ δέξαςθαί με παρ' αὐτῆς λόγω μιςθού μου τού παντός ένιαυτού χρυςοῦ νομίςματα τρία ἰδιωτικώ ζυγφ, γί(νεται) χρ(υςοῦ) νο(μίςματα) γ μό(να), καὶ μὴ δύναςθαί με ἀποςτῆναι ἀπὸ τῆς ςῆς θαυμαςι(ότητος) καὶ ἀπὸ τῆς αὐτῆς χρείας πρὸ τέλους τοῦ εἰρημένου ἐνιαυτοῦ, εἰ δὲ τοῦτο ποιήςω, έπὶ τῶ ἐμὲ μηδὲν δέξαςθαι παντελῶς έκ τοῦ παντός μου μιςθοῦ, εἰ δὲ καὶ αὐτὴν ἐκβάλλους άν με ἄνευ αἰτίας τινός, έπὶ τῶ καὶ αὐτὴν ζημιοῦςθαι τὸν πάντα μου μιςθόν. ἔδοξεν δὲ κα[ί] το τ ς εις ν μή με ενε της έργαςιον οίονδήποτε της ημών τέχνης, έφ' ω' με καταςκευάςαι καὶ ἐπὶ τῷ αὐτὴν δέξαςθαι τὸ ημιςυ ... του μέρος μιςθόν. κύριον τὸ ςυνάλλαγμ[α άπλ]οῦν γραφὲν καὶ ἐπερ(ωτηθεὶc) ώμολ(όγηca) + (m. 2) Αὐρήλ[ιοc**C**[ερ] ήνος ἐργάτης τῶν χρυςοχόων υίὸς Α[πο]λλῶ ὁ προκείμενος πεποίημαι τοῦ]το τὸ ευνάλλαγμα ώς πρόκ(ειται). Ψέειος [ ] [ ] ἔγραψα ὑπὲρ αὐτοῦ ἀγραμμάτου ὄντος. + όμολογῶ δὲ ἐςχηκέναι παρὰ coῦ ἐντεῦθεν ήδη τὸν ἐμὸν μιςθὸν εἰς πλῆρες.

(m. 3) + di'em(u) Anast(asiu) eteliothh. + Back, downwards along the fibres:

(m. 1?) + ευνάλλαγμα ζερήνου έργάτ(ου) τῶν χρυεοχόων υἱοῦ
Απολλῶ ἀπὸ τῆς Ὀξυρυγγ(ιτῶν) πόλ(εως).

12 υδ// 18 ἴδιωτικω 19  $\gamma \iota/\chi p^{\nu}\gamma \mu o 5//$  20 θαυμαςι/ 33 επερ/ωμολ/ 36 προκ// 40 diemaṇaṣt§cteliothh 42 εργατ $\int$ , οξυρυγχπολ/

'In the consulship of our same most pious master year 5, Pharmuthi 18, indiction 6.'

To the most admirable Theodorus, goldsmith, son of the late Serenus, from this city of the Oxyrhynchites, Aurelius Serenus, workman of the goldsmiths, son of the late Apollos, from the same city, greeting. I acknowledge by willing resolve and voluntary choice that I have contracted with your admirableness from the first of the past month of Thoth of the present sixth indiction to occupy the full position of the workman of the goldsmiths to you without blame or hesitation or condemnation and to receive from you on account of my wage for the whole year three solidi of gold by the private standard, total 3 gold sol, only, and not to be able to absent myself from your admirableness or from the same service before the end of the said year, and if I do this to receive nothing whatsoever from my whole wage, but if you eject me without any cause, you are to suffer the loss of my whole wage. It was also resolved ..., ... on condition that I do the work and that you receive the half share of this?) as fee. The contract, written in a single copy, is binding and in answer to the formal question I gave my assent.\(^1\)

(2nd hand) 'I, Aurelius Screnus, workman of the goldsmiths, son of Apollos, the aforesaid, have made this contract as aforesaid. I, Pseeius ..., wrote on his behalf since he is illiterate.'

'And I acknowledge that I received from you on the spot already my wage in full.'

(3rd hand) 'The document was completed through me, Anast(asius).'

Back. (1st hand?) 'Contract of Serenus, workman of the goldsmiths, son of Apollos, from the city of the Oxyrhynchites.'

2-3 This formula by the consulship 'of our same most pious master' omits the emperor's name, but implies it and provides enough information to fix the date by the numbers of the consular year and indiction, with month and day. Formulas like this were said by Z. Borkowski, Inscriptions das Jactions 33-4, to indicate hesitation about the authority of the ruler. That this is not so can be proved from the increased number of examples with which it is now possible to augment the list of six, one false, given by R. S. Bagnall and K. A. Worp, CE 56 (1981) 129:

+ ύπατίας τοῦ αὐτοῦ εὐτεβ(εςτάτου) ήμῶν δεςπότου ἔτους Β ἐπαγωμένων (1. -ομένων) γ ⁻ ἰνδ(ικτίωνος) ιδ

P. Ant. II 103. 1-3, of 26 August 580. The conversion of the date derives from P. J. Sijpesteijn and K. A. Worp,  $\angle PE$  26 (1977) 271-2, but there is the difficulty, pointed out by B. Kramer and D. Hagedorn,  $\angle PE$  42 (1981) 125 n. 13, that this fourteenth indiction should not normally begin till three days later on 29 August 580. Nevertheless, I accept this inexactitude, because I cannot find a better explanation of the date clause, which I have checked again from the original. The emperor is Tiberius Constantine.

Sijpesteijn and Worp envisaged a longer formula, but the document is in fact complete or virtually complete at the top. This is clear from the cross with which line 1 begins and from the position of the beginning of the endorsement on the verso. See P. Laur. III 91 (Tav. LXVIII) for the typical placing of the endorsement, running downwards from near the top edge of the verso.

The first editors did not comment on the fact that this is a contract drawn up according to Oxyrhynchite formulas, though published in P. Ant., see R. S. Bagnall, K. A. Worp, Regnal Formulas 57. One party was by origin from Oxyrhynchus and the other lived there, his origin not specified. This item is no exception to the observation that this type of consular dating comes from Oxyrhynchus only.

. + ὑπατίας τοῦ αὐ[τοῦ εὐςεβεςτάτου ἡμῶν δες[πότου plus (ἔτους) β, month, day, indiction

P. Genova I 32 of AD 584/5. The correct formula was restored by Sijpesteijn and Worp, loc. cit., but again the cross in line 1 proves them wrong in saying that the document is substantially damaged at the top. The possible trace which they detect above line 1 on the plate (Tav. XX), if it is ink at all, may be from another cross above, just as in 3933 1. The endorsement of P. Genova 32 is not illustrated, but it is complete at the beginning, which suggests that the top edge is virtually complete. The year is given in line 10 by the Oxyrhynchite eras 261/230. The unnamed emperor is Maurice.

3. 3933 2-3 of 13 April 588 (Maurice).

P. Laur. IV 170. 4–6 of 26 or 27 October 591–602 (Maurice). Ed. pr. gives a different date range, but the invocation to Christ in lines 1–3 shows that the earliest possible year is 591, see R. S. Bagnall, K. A. Worp, CE 56 (1981) 115. Phocas introduced a Trinitarian invocation. He acceeded in November 602, so that it is certain that his new formula would have been in use in Oxyrhynchus by 26 October 603, cf. BGU II 365. 1–8 of 7 February 603, although that is from Arsinoc. The Christ formula returned to Lower Egypt under Heraclius, see Bagnall, Worp, art. cit. 120–1, but there are so far no examples from his reign of this sort of consular date.

5. 3936 of 5 May 598 (Maurice).

6. 3937 of 13 November 598 (Maurice).

7. PSI III 239 of 9 February 601 (Maurice). This is the first item in the list in CE 56 (1981) 129. A photograph has appeared in P. Laur. III Tay. XCI.

8. 3939 of 25 July 601 (Maurice).

9. XVI **1991** of 18 September 601 (Maurice). Cf. Borkowski, Inscriptions des factions 135 n. 31 for the correct date; item 2 in CE 56 (1981) 129. The clerk omitted αὐτοῦ in line 4: read ὑπατίας τοῦ ⟨αὐτοῦ⟩ εὐτεβ(εττάτου) ἡμῶν | δεςπότου. Ed. pr. has εὐτεβ[εττ(άτου)] but the papyrus seems to have had the usual ευτεβ(ημων. There is a split and some warping of the papyrus which makes the gap appear larger than it ought to be.

10. PSI III 179 of 25 December 602 (Maurice). Item 3 in CE 56 (1981) 129. In spite of P. Laur. III 91. 1-7 n. the indiction number seems to be perfectly acceptable as  $\varsigma = 6$ , with some damage to the foot, see ibid. Tav. XCI. The suggestion of  $\epsilon = 5$  is palaeographically far from likely, and the date implied, 25 December 631, in post-consular year 20 of Heraclius, falls years after the death of the addressee, Flavius Apion III, see 3959 introd. The suggestion of  $\gamma = 3$ , for which see also Borkowski, Inscriptions des factions 136 n. 33, cannot be reconciled with any known consular year 20. Cf. BASP 18 (1981) 38 n. 5. Moreover, P. Grenf. II 88, from Arsinoe, is dated to 20 December 602 by the titulature of Maurice, see Bagnall, Worp, Regnal Formulas 60, so that allusion to Maurice on 25 December seems less incredible. However, it does seem to conflict with SB VI 9403, with a new fragment in ZPE 35 (1979) 140 = SB XVI 12604, which is supposed to date from 21 December 602. The date clause names Phocas, who came to the throne less than a month before. According to the Chronicon Paschale (SHB ed. L. Dindorf Vol. i 693-4) he was crowned in the church of St John in the Hebdomon on Friday, 23 November, and entered the capital on Sunday, 25 November, from which day the dating formulas were changed to refer to his first year. The document comes from Hermopolis, neighbour of Oxyrhynchus on the south, therefore a little further away from Constantinople. A photograph of the Vienna papyrus (G.26270 + 20634), kindly supplied by Dr Harrauer, shows that the emperor's name and έτους π[ρ]ώτου Χοίακ are certain. Doubts about what follows, κε ς ινό (ικτίωνος) εν Έρ (μοῦ πόλει), are possible, but unlikely to be resolved. We will have to await further evidence before making up our minds whether  $\pi[\rho]$   $\dot{\omega}$   $\tau$  ov here might be a clerical error and the indiction number therefore different, or whether we must accept a rapid and uneven spread of the news of the accession of Phocas, cf. K. Worp, BASP 22 (1985) 360-1.

It should be added that Theophylactus Simocatta (VIII 13. 14) tells us that the news of the murder of Maurice (on 27 November 602: Chron. Pasch. i 694) reached Alexandria in about nine or ten days. He easys (VIII 13. 7–14) that a calligrapher whom he knew, returning in the night of the 27th from a celebration of the birth of a child, saw statues which had got down from altars and were calling out what had happened to Maurice. Next day the man told the Augustal prefect of Alexandria, Peter, a relative of Simocatta himself. The prefect ordered silence, and on the ninth day a messenger from Constantinople confirmed the fact of the murder, whereupon Peter published the story of the vision. A condensed and garbled version of the same tale is given by Theophanes, Chronographia (SHB ed. J. Classen Vol. i 450). We cannot place much trust in such a story. However, its author judged it plausible that news could make the journey in that interval, and there is other evidence that this was, or had been, the case, see LV 3803 1 n., referring especially to L. Casson, Travel in the Ancient World 152, cf. id. Ships and Seamanship 270–90 esp. 280.

It is not impossible that we should accept all these items of evidence as valid, in which case the news

- (a) reached Alexandria c. 6.12.602
- (b) not reached Arsinoe on 20.12.602
- (c) reached Hermopolis on 21.12.602
- (d) not reached Oxyrhynchus on 25.12.602.

Two general considerations—the slower spread of news in the later period, and the season of the year, unfavourable for sailing—prejudice me against (a) and (c) and in favour of (b) and (d).

11. P. Laur. III 91 (Tav. LXVIII) of 30 November 606 (Phocas). Item 4 in CE 56 (1981) 129.

(11a. PSI I 61 of 8 May 609, item 5 in CE 56 (1981) 129, needs to be considered at this point, but is an anomaly in the series. After a Trinitarian invocation the dating clause runs:

βαςιλείας τοῦ θειοτάτου καὶ εὐτεβ(εττάτου) ἡμῶν δεςπ(ότου) ἔτους ζ΄ Παχῶν ιγ΄ ἰνδ(ικτίωνος) ιβ΄.

Pachon 13 of regnal year 7 Phocas and of indiction 12 agree on 8 May 609. The normal regnal formula includes, after δεκπ(ότου) and before έτους, the words μεγίστου εὐεργέτου Φλ(αουίου) Φοικά τοῦ αἰωνίου Αὐγούστου καὶ Αὐτοκράτορος, cf. e.g. 3940–3948. The omission is puzzling, but since 3948 of 9 June 609 still mentioned Phocas and SB XII 10798 of 11 June 609 implies his reign in the consular date, see next item, there is no good reason to connect it with doubts about his imperial authority. The clerk may have omitted the passage accidentally or may have regretted that he had embarked on the longer formula and decided to abbreviate it in a way rather similar to the more common nameless consular formula. I am grateful to Dr R. Pintaudi for supplying me with a photograph of PSI I 61 and for improving my readings from it by reference to the original. Ed. pr.'s text of the invocation should be revised to read as follows:

 $[+\dot{\epsilon}]$ ν ὀνόματι τῆς ἀγίας ἀχράντου καὶ  $[\dot{\delta}_{\mu\nu}]$ ουςίου τριάδος πατρ(ὸς) καὶ υίοῦ καὶ ἀγ(ίου) πνεύμα(τος),

which should replace item 2E in Worp's formulary CE 56 (1981) 114. The same formula appears here in 3941, 3942, 3948.)

12. SB XII 10978 of 11 June 609, item 6 in CE 56 (1981) 129, cf. BASP 17 (1980) 111. There is an unfortunate conflict about the text of this papyrus, published first by R. A. Kraft and A. Tripolitis, Bulletin of the John Ryslands Library 51 (1968) 149–151, with Pl. I Opp. p. 144. Although they took the final letter of  $\tilde{\epsilon}$ rou]c in line 5 as an abbreviation symbol for  $(\tilde{\epsilon}$ rouc), they read the consular year figure correctly, in my opinion, as  $\sigma' = (\tilde{\epsilon}$ rrou). R. S. Bagnall and K. A. Worp, BASP 17 (1980) 111, recognised  $\tilde{\epsilon}$ rou]c, but claimed that they could resolve a conflict in the date, because they could read clearly  $[\tilde{\epsilon}$ rou]c  $\zeta''$ . Comparison with the zeta in the day number shows that this is impossible. The clause reads:

 $\dot{\psi}$ ]πατίας |\* τοῦ αὐτοῦ εὐςε $\beta$ (εςτάτου) ἡμῶν δες $\pi$ (ότου) |  $^5$  [ἔτου]ς  $\bar{\varsigma}$  Παῦν  $i\vec{\zeta}$  ὑνδ(ικτίωνος)  $i\vec{\delta}$ .

The nameless consular formula shows that the papyrus is from Oxyrhynchus. Payni 17 of indiction 12 is 11 June 609, in the seventh regnal year of Phocas. According to Theophanes, Chronographia A.M. 6096 incip. (ed. J. Classen, SHB i 451), Phocas took his consulship in December of the seventh indiction, that is, December 603, which is in his second regnal year. If the clerks of Oxyrhynchus followed the practice of assimilating the starts of the regnal, indictional and consular years of Phocas, as they did for Maurice, see above pp. 52–7, consular year 6 would be correct for this example too. We very probably have confirmation of this in 3948, which has a date by regnal year 7, consular year 6(?), Payni 15, indiction 12, i.e. 9 June 609. The damage to the consular figure is severe, but in my judgement s = 6 is correct. Moreover, better confirmation is available from P. Laur. III 91, item 11 above, the only other example of the unnamed consular formula from the reign of Phocas, dated consular year 4. Choeac 4, indiction s = 50 November

606. Therefore the following table is likely to cover ordinary date clauses from Oxyrhynchus:

	regnal	indiction	consular
602/3	I	6	
603/4	2	7	I
604/5	3	8	2
605/6	4	9	3
606/7	5	10	4
607/8	6	11	5
608/9	7	12	6
609/10	8	13	7

Borkowski's discussion of SB 10978 (Inscriptions des factions 135 and n. 30) is confusing and confused. At first he seems to accept the consular figure as 7, perhaps tacity following Bagnall and Worp, and then he compares the post-consular year  $2 = \Delta n 605$  in BGU I 3 ( $\mu er \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\gamma} h \psi^2 m er \epsilon \mu \kappa \pi \lambda$ ), with the consular year  $6 = \Delta n 609$  in SB 10978 ( $\delta | m \pi r i \alpha \kappa \kappa \lambda$ ). BGU I 3 is itself a problem; it is from Arsinoe and might possibly be counting by consular, that is, Julian years, with 603 correctly as the year of the consulship, 604 as year I after the consulship, and so on. This serves as another warning that the scheme above is valid for Oxyrhynchus only.

Now that there are so many examples of the nameless consular formula scattered over three reigns and interspersed with longer formulas which specify the emperors, see references in R. S. Bagnall, K. A. Worp, Chronological Systems 126–8, it is no longer plausible to take any of them as evidence of hesitation over the authority of the emperor in the way argued by Borkowski, op. cit. 17–18, 33–4, 127–136. The evidence for an interregnum is limited to other papyri in which no regnal or consular numbers are used and no emperor's name is specified, the year being identified by eras or indiction only; these indisputably reflect the absence or weakness of imperial authority, see 3949–53.

Some mystery still surrounds the nameless consular formula. Evidently the basic reason for it was to save the clerks much work, but the seeming illogicality of referring to 'our same ... master' without a preceding name still surprises us. My guess is that some records of contracts in Oxyrhynchus were organized in files by date and in such a way that it needed only a first item or a heading to specify the emperor's name.

Questions remain. Was this the practice of a public record office or of one notarial office or more? R. S. Bagnall, BASP 20 (1983) 79, tentatively asked, 'Could the Apion clan be in some way responsible?', and suggested that it might be so. Of the twelve documents listed above only four have an explicit Apion connection, nos. 5, 8, 9, 10, since 11a (PSI I 61) is not a genuine example; neither party is an Apion and there is no other indication of an Apion connection in five, nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, and 7; three are too damaged to be sure that there was no indication, nos. 6, 11, and 12, although it is clear that they were at least not addressed to an Apion. This distribution may tell against Bagnall's theory, but he could plausibly argue that the influence of the Apion household was so pervasive that it affected the practice of the notaries even in matters unconnected with the Apions. It remains an attractive theory, although at present it rests on probability only.

On the same page Bagnall brought into this context P. Rainer Cent. 125 (pp. 429–31), which seems to be complete and is dated at the foot  $\hat{\eta}$  adv)  $\hat{\eta}$  when  $\hat{\eta}$  (without an earlier definition)  $Mecop\hat{\eta} \kappa s$   $\hat{w}\hat{\theta}(\kappa \pi t \hat{\omega} w o c)$ , 'which', he said, 'must surely be 19, viii. 607'. In ed. pr. lines 1–2 run as follows:

+ Φλ(αουΐω) Cτρατηγίω τῶ ἐνδοξ (οτάτω) vacat [τῆς] ἀγία[ς ἐ]κκλ(ηςίας) Μέμφ(εως) ἐν κ(υρί)ω γαίριν.

"ενεκ(α)  $\tau$ [οῦ "δατος (?),]|27 α iνδ(ικτίωνος). The restoration is doubtful, but the doubt is not important for the point that the report of a group or organization begins with a first person singular verb without specification of any single representative. The provenance of the Rainer papyrus is given as Memphis. Bagnall says without discussion that the document is Arsinoite. He cannot have assumed that this Memphis was the Arsinoite village of that name, for a report on the progress of the rise of the Nile should come from a place on the main river; the Memphite metropolis, well known as the site of a Nilometer, is very likely as the source of the information, see D. Bonneau, Le fisc et le Nil 25-7, 47, but not at all likely as the archaeological provenance of the papyrus, because of its high water-table. The recipient of the report is quite likely to be the person known as 'pseudo-Strategius III', see K. A. Worp, ZPE 56 (1984) 114-116, and he is known chiefly from Arsinoite and Heracleopolite documents between AD 600 and 616 or 617, although there is now earlier evidence from Oxyrhynchus, see 3935 7 n. In the Heracleopolite nome information on the rise of the Nile would be available locally, whereas in the Arsinoite it would have to be sought from elsewhere, so that an Arsinoite provenance is at least plausible. An Oxyrhynchite provenance is not likely for a document in the Vienna collection, see CPR V 1 introd., and XVI 1830 itself shows that in Oxyrhynchus there was no need to seek news of the rise of the flood from Memphis. Bagnall's suggestions for the provenance and the date are therefore entirely plausible, but the datings by an unnamed imperial consul are so far confined to Oxyrhynchus, so that I am reluctant to associate the rather different use of  $\dot{\eta}$ αὐτὴ ὑπατεία here with them. It seems to me more likely that 'the same consulship' was connected with a date given in the first of a series of reports. The Nile normally began to rise in mid-July and this report covers 16-19 August. It may well have had about five or six predecessors.

(On this last point a similar conclusion was reached independently by G. Fantoni, CPR XIV p. 43. She also suggests that the ninth indiction might be AD 575/6 and the addressee therefore Strategius II. According to my view he was dead by AD 565/6, see LV 3804 introd. pp. 97–8, but the problems surrounding him are still tangled.)

4 θαυματωντάτω. Cf. LVI 3869 14 n. It usually indicates modest rank in officials. A master goldsmith would presumably be among the richest tradesmen.

6-7 ἐργάτης τῷν χρυςοχόων. Cf. 13-14, 34, 42. This odd form of words might suggest that the workman had some relationship with the guild of goldsmiths as well as with the individual master goldsmith for whom he was to work for one year. On the other hand there is a similar phrase in XVI 1894 12, μιθθου τῶν προνοητῶν, although the contract is between the hired clerk and a single estate steward. It seems unlikely that there was a guild of προνοηταί. Probably the plural is generalizing.

11-12 παρελθόντος μηνὸς Θώθ. Since the contract is dated in Pharmuthi, we might have expected to recognize here the immediately preceding month of Phamenoth, but Thoth seems to be rightly read. It seems that the contract was backdated by over six months to the beginning of the year. What exactly this signifies is not clear to me, but cf. CPR VII 51. 27–31 (An 629 or 644) for a lease backdated to run ἀπὸ νευμηνίας τοῦ παρελθόντος μην(ὸς) Φαρμοῦθι τῆς παρελθούς δεντ [(έρας)] ἶνδ(ικτίονος). Note the postscript (38–9), which acknowledges receipt of the agreed salary in full.

24–5 The grammar is defective, but this reading of the remains is supported by P. Med. II 48. 16–17 (AD 549, Oxyrhynchus) [ε] ὶ δὲ καὶ cè ἐκβάλλοντά με ἄνευ αἰτίας τωὸς καὶ καταγνώς εως, ὑποκεῖεθαι καὶ cè τῷ ἑςψ προστίμω (cf. BL VII 103). Similar sense with better grammar occurs in I **140** (= W. Chr. 438) 26–9.

27-32 This may have been a clause allowing the employee to undertake outside work. No good parallel

29 ἐργασιων seems clear to read; it may be a phonetic spelling of ἐργασιῶν, but that offers no immediate solution to the difficulties.

32 At the beginning a possible reading is τρότου, which suits the grammar, but in the damaged context it is not clear what it would mean.

36-7 The absence of the status indication Aurelius is noticeable. It is possible that the unread word in 37 was an ecclesiastical title.

38-9 This important clause seems to have been forgotten even till after the amanuensis had added his own declaration. The ink looks blacker than that in 33-7, but the sloping hand looks more like that of the amanuensis than that of the first clerk.

40 For the notary see J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, Notarsunterschriften im byzantinischen Ägypten 78 with Tafel 40 (Oxy. 1, 2, 2). The writing is extremely rapid. Luckily their listed examples of this Anastasius where the name is written in full show the same hand.

eteliothh. Cf. 3936 35, 3942 36(?), 3952 58-9, 3955 28, 3958 40. The process, called completio in Latin, is explained by M. Amelotti, Alle Origini del Notariato Italiano 34-5, 57. The notary had to read the text of the document to the parties and satisfy himself that it corresponded with their intentions before appending this subscription. by which he assumed responsibility for its correctness.

On the social status and pay of notaries see A. H. M. Jones, Later Roman Empire i 515-6, ii 1218

nn. 105-6).

41 These endorsements are usually written on a larger scale and in a style noticeably more formal than the body of the contract, but it has been tentatively assumed that in each case the same clerk wrote both the contract and the endorsement, as seems inherently likely.

#### 3934. Fragment of Lease

62 6B.53/C(1-2)b

10 × 11.5 cm

14 August 588

This papyrus has a full dating clause by regnal year and consular year of Maurice, together with month and day and indiction. Like XLIV **3204** of 2 January of the same year (see ZPE 26 (1977) 284) and P. Lond. V 1897 of October/November, it makes a good contrast with the short formula of **3933** of 13 April, helping to suggest that the short formula had no political significance, cf. **3933** 2-3 n. Another point of interest in the dating clause is that the clerk did not advance the regnal year number on the anniversary of Maurice's crowning, which was the previous day, see 1-6 n.

The lessee was a messenger, cursor, on the staff of the governor of Arcadia. Oxyrhynchus is listed as the metropolis of the province by George of Cyprus, Descriptio Orbis Romani (ed. Teubn.) 745, cf. LI 3636 2 n. Ît seems unlikely that a minor civil servant would take agricultural land on lease, so the property, of which the description is lost with the major part of the document, was probably in the city itself, cf. XVI 1958, 1965.

- βαειλείας τοῦ [θειο]τάτου καὶ εὖεεβ(εετάτου) ήμῶν δεεπό(του) μεγίετου εὖεργέτου Φλ(αουΐου) Τιβερίου Μαυρικίου τοῦ αἰωνίου Αὐγούετου καὶ Αὐτοκρ(άτορος) ἔτους ς⁻, ὑπατίας τοῦ αὐτοῦ εὐεεβ(εετάτου) ήμῶν δεεπό(του) ἔτους ε, Μετορή κα⁻, (ναc.) ἰνδ(ικτίωνος) ς. τῷ θαυματιωτάτῳ Άναττατίω υἱῷ τοῦ μακαρίου Γεωργίου ἀπὸ τῆς Ὀξυρυγχ(ιτῶν)

Back, downwards along the fibres:

15 (m. 1)? +  $\mu$ ί $\epsilon\theta$ (ω $\epsilon$ ι $\epsilon$ ) Θ $\epsilon$ οδώρου κο[ $\nu$ ρ] $\epsilon$ ο[ρος ...

$$1$$
 ευτεβ  $2$  δε $\frac{\alpha}{6\pi}$ , φλ  $4$  αυτοκρ $/$ , ϋπατιας;  $1$ . ὑπατείας  $5$  ευτεβ, δε $\frac{\alpha}{6\pi}$ 6  $\frac{\alpha}{6\pi}$ 0  $\frac{1}{15}$   $\frac{1$ 

'In the reign of our most godly and most pious master, greatest benefactor, Flavius Tiberius Mauricius, the eternal Augustus and Imperator, year 6, in the consulship of our same most pious master year 5, Mesore 21. indiction 6.

"To the most admirable Anastasius son of the late George from the city of the Oxyrhynchites, Theodorus courier of the presidial office of this province of Arcadians, son of the late Elias, from the same city, greeting. Willingly I offer to take on lease...'

Back. (1st hand?). 'Lease of Theodorus courier ...'

1 The Christian symbol is the 'monogrammatic cross', see LVI 3871 1-2 n.

1–6 Maurice became Caesar on 5 August 582 and Augustus on 13 August, see Chron. Pasch. (SHB ed. L. Dindorf i p. 690). This document, therefore, would appear to have been written on the second day of the tenth day of his seventh regnal year, but the clerk has not advanced the regnal year number. Tiberius Constantine did not die till 14 August, the day after he had crowned Maurice, and his funeral took place on the next day. It may be possible that Maurice chose to celebrate one of these or some later day as his dies imperii, as some sources suggest, see N. Lewis, AJP 60 (1939) 415 n. 3, but I suspect that the clerks or authorities of Oxyrhynchus returned to the traditional New Year's Day, 1 Thoth, for their dating formulas, see above pp. 52–7, esp. 53–4.

7 θαυμαειωτάτω Cf. 3933 4 n.

9 κούρεορι (Ι. κούρεωρ). Cf. A. H. M. Jones, Later Roman Empire i 582, where he takes them to be messengers, 590-1 and 593, where he classes them among 'subclerical grades'; cf. XVI 1901 58-74 for an interesting example of one representative's low standard of literacy. For papyrus references see S. Daris, Lessico latino 65; add CPR VII 26. 2, 39, 40, 43; XIV 39. 20, P. Vindob. Tandem 35. 12. In addition J. Diethart, ZPE 39 (1980) 190, has drawn attention to two places where the title has been misread or misinterpreted as a personal name, Stud. Pal. III 553. 2, P. Cair. Masp. III 67288 iv 31. He equates cursor with παραπομπός, but this is an escort for tax payments in money and kind, Latin prosecutor, see LI 3635 3 n.; note the association of παραπομποί with προεκεουτουρία in CPR VII 26. 17-22, 31-35. In this context the Greek for cursor is ταχυδρόμος, see CGL II 452. 19, III 444. 71; cf. XXXI 2561 20 (4th cent.), P. Cair. Masp. II 67131. 5, 14, 15, 17 (here τ. τῆς ἡγεμουνικῆς τάξεως; 6th cent.).

13 ... [. ἀπ[ό would suit, introducing the date from which the lease was to run, cf. XVI 1958, 1965.

#### 3935. Fragment of Contract

6 1B.23/B(d)

15 × 9 cm

6 March 591

This text becomes the latest dated example of a contract without an invocation, displacing XVI **1990** of 12 January 591, see *CE* 56 (1981) 115. The earliest invocation on papyrus remains P. Erlangen 67 of 17 September 591.

It is addressed to the estate banker of the household of a Strategius, who can only be the so-called 'pseudo-Strategius III', and this is the earliest mention of him by some nine years, see 7 n.

- βαςιλείας [τ]οῦ θειοτάτου καὶ εὐςεβεςτάτου ἡμῶν δεςπότου μεγίςτου [.] εὐεργέτου Φλαουίου Μαυρικίου Τιβερίου τοῦ αἰονίου Αὐγούςτου καὶ Αὐτοκράτορος ἔτους θ⁻, ὑπατίας τοῦ αὐτοῦ εὐςεβεςτάτου ἡμῶν δεςπ(ότου) ἔτους η⁻, Φαμενὰθ ι⁻, ἰνδ(ικτίωνος) θ⁻, (ἔτους) ςξζ ςλ̄ς. τῷ αἰδεςίμῳ Ἰούςτῳ τραπεζίτη τοῦ ἐνδόξου οἴκου τοῦ ὑπερφυεςτάτου ζτρατηγίου υίῷ τοῦ τῆς μακαρίας μνήμης Κυρίκου ἀπὸ ταύτης

Back, downwards along the fibres:

 $(m. 1?) + d\pi \delta \delta \tilde{\epsilon} \tilde{\iota} \xi (\iota c)$ 

2 φλαουΐου 3 l. αἰωνίου 7 υΐω 9 αποδειξς

4 θυπατιας; Ι. υπατείας

5  $\delta \epsilon c \pi$ ?,  $\bar{\eta}$ ,  $\bar{i}$   $i \nu \delta / \bar{\theta} \angle c \bar{\xi} \zeta c \bar{\lambda} s$ 

'In the reign of our most godly and most pious master, greatest benefactor, Flavius Mauricius Tiberius, the eternal Augustus and Imperator, year 9, in the consulship of our same most pious master year 8, Phamenoth 10, indiction 9, year 267 (and) 236.'

'To the worshipful Justus, banker of the glorious household of the most extraordinary Strategius, son of Cyricus of blessed memory, from this (city) ...'

Back. (1st hand?) 'Certificate ...'

I The Christian symbol is the 'monogrammatic cross', see LVI 3871 I-2 n.

I-5 All the data agree for 6 March 591, see General Introduction p. 54, Table II. On the order of Maurice's names see R. S. Bagnall, K. A. Worp, Regnal Formulas in Byzantine Egypt 64-5, cf. P. Lond. V 1727. In. This becomes the earliest Oxyrhynchite example of the change from Fl. Tiberius Mauricius to Fl. Mauricius Tiberius.

6 αίδεςίμω. Cf. O. Hornickel, Ehren- und Rangprädikate 1-2.

The estate cashier is new, see the list of Apion bankers by J. Gascou, CE 47 (1972) 244 n. 3. That list appears to give a straightforward succession into which Justus would fit after Phoebammon (XIX 2243(a) and (b); AD 590). However, several of these are attested only as bankers of an &boofco ofcoc. Now we begin to see that the series may not be a unit, because this Strategius seems to have had a 'glorious household' of his own, and he is attested now from 591 to at least 675, probably longer, see next note,

contemporaneously with Flavius Apion III. Phoebammon is linked with Flavia Praejecta and Apion III, so Justus is not necessarily his successor. This Strategius had a different banker, Belisarius son of Cosmas, in 604, but that document, P. Erlangen 73, relates to Heracleopolis, so that he is not necessarily, or even probably, a successor of Iustus.

7 τοῦ ὑπερφυεςτάτου Cτρατηγίου. Since there is no indication that this Strategius is dead and since 3936 of 5 May 598 is addressed directly to a Flavius Strategius πωτευφήμω καὶ ὑπερφυεςτάτω ὑπάτω γεουχοῦντι καὶ ἐνταῦθα, we must identify both with the 'pseudo-Strategius III', contemporary of Flavius Apion III, first distinguished by R. Rémondon, CE 41 (1966) 178–9, see K.A. Worp, ¿PE 56 (1984) 114–16, J. Gascou, Collège de France, Travaux et Mémoires 9 (1985) 70–71 and n. 392, G. Fantoni, CPR XIV 9 with Appendix pp. 41–44. He is mostly known from Heracleopolite and Arsinoite documents. Hitherto only XVI 1991 of AD 601 has attested his estate in Oxyrhynchus. He is now seen in action about nine years earlier than was previously known.

It may be worth suggesting that P. Wash. Univ. I 26 is probably not addressed to this Flavius Strategius, even though his name would fit the editor's estimate of the extent of the gap better than that of Flavius Apion III. The formula clearly indicates that the intermediary was Menas, olicéryc, as in all Apion contracts of the main branch from 523 to 620, cf. Gascou, op. cit. 71 n. 392. I doubt if we need even suppose that the line was indented, as suggested by K. A. Worp, Bibl. Or. 39 (1982) 565. Counting letters is useful and necessary, but it cannot account for everything in handwritten documents.

We do not know whether there really was a succession of ολκέται called Menas from AD 523 to 620, cf. 3959 6–8 n., or merely a fossilization of the formula, sec I. F. Fikhman, Aktın des XIII Palprologenkongresses 120, but the fact that this traditional formula continued in use for Flavius Apion III indicates that he was in the direct line of succession to the Apion estates centred at Oxyrhynchus. Oxyrhynchite texts addressed to this Flavius Strategius mention two intermediaries, each with the titles of κόμες and διοικητής, namely Flavius Apollos (3936; aD 598) and Flavius Dorotheus (XVI 1991; aD 601). This indicates that Strategius, although the present document suggests that he may have been the elder, was not in the direct line of succession at Oxyrhynchus. His parentage is still uncertain. Given his name, titles, and the distribution of his estates, we cannot reasonably doubt that he was a member of the Apion family, cf. R. S. Bagnall, BASP (1089) 79–80.

ο ἀπόδειξίω). Among these contracts the word is used particularly of receipts, cf. 3936 26, 28, 33, 36.

# 3936. PRIEST'S RECEIPT FOR SALARY

65 6B.38/C(9-10)a

9 × 34.5 cm

5 May 598

The dating formula is again of the short type by an unnamed imperial consul, see 3933 2-3 n., where it is item 5 in the list.

The addressee was 'pseudo-Strategius III', see **3935** 7 n. A priest who was the steward (οἰκονόμος) of a church dedicated to a St Alexandra acknowledges the receipt of eleven artabas of wheat as part of his salary. The eleven artabas also constituted part of a customary annual donation to the church by Strategius.

There is a sheet join close to the left edge of the document, showing that the writing runs along the fibres of the recto of the original roll. The well preserved top

and bottom edges seem to indicate that the roll was the same height as the document, c. 34.5 cm.

> + ἐν ὀνόματι τοῦ κυρίου καὶ δεςπότου Ίηςοῦ Χριςτοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ *cωτήρος ήμῶν. ὑπατίας τοῦ αὐτοῦ* εὐςεβ (εςτάτου) ἡμῶν δεςπό (του) ἔτους ιε,

Παχών ι, ἰνδ(ικτίωνος) α. Φλαουΐω ζτρατηγίω τῶ πανευφ(ήμω) καὶ ὑπερφυεςτάτω ὑπάτω γεουχοῦντι καὶ ἐνταῦθα τῆ λαμπρ(ậ) 'Οξυ[ρ]υγχιτών πόλει διὰ coῦ Φλ(αουΐου)

Απολλώ τοῦ μεγαλοπρε(πεςτάτου) κόμε(τος) καὶ διοικητοῦ αὐτοῦ. Γεώργιος πρεςβύτερος της άγίας έκκληςίας καὶ οἰκονόμος της άγίας ἐκκληςίας

Άλεξάνδρας ἔςχον παρὰ τῆς ὑμῶν ὑπερφυείας δια πων αὐτη προςηκόντων έκ τοῦ ἐμοῦ ὀψωνίου ἐξ ἔθους διδομέ(νου) ύπὲρ τῆς ἀγίας προςφορ(âc)

ύπὲρ τῆς ἐμβολῆς δευτέρας ἐπινεμήςεως ςίτου κανκέλλω ἀρτάβας ένδεκα, τὰς καὶ δοθείςας μοι

 $\dots$  [ . ]  $\dots$  [ . ]  $\mu$ ( ) Παμουθίου ( ) Άρποκρᾶ, γί(νονται) εί(του) καγκ(έλλω) (ἀρτάβαι) ια , καὶ πρὸς ἀπόδειξιν της ύποδοχης ταύτην

πεποίημαι την ἀπόδειξ(ιν),

ήτις κυρ(ία) οὖςα ἁπλη γραφ(εῖςα)

καὶ ἐπερωτηθ(εὶς) ώμολόγηςα.

 $+ \Gamma_{\epsilon} \dot{\omega} \rho \gamma [i]$ ος ἐλέ $\omega$   $\theta(\epsilon o) \hat{v}$  πρε $(\epsilon \beta \dot{v} \tau \epsilon \rho o \epsilon)$  καὶ οἰκο $(v \dot{o} \mu o \epsilon)$ (m. 2) της άγίας Άλεξάνδρας ετιχί με ή ἀπόδιξ(ιc) τῶν ια ἄρταβ(ῶν)

τοῦ cίτου ὡς πρόκ(ειται).

+ di'emu Helia eteliothh". (m. 3) 35

Back, downwards along the fibres:

(m. 1?)  $\pi [\delta \lambda] \epsilon \omega c$ .

8 λαμπρ/ 1 ευςεβς, δεςπς, ιε 28 αποδειξ/ 33 αποδιξ/; 32 1. ατοιχεί μοι 29 κυρί, γραφί 36 αποδειξ/, πρεςβυτερ/ 1. ἀπόδειξις; τααρταβ/

'In the name of the lord and master Jesus Christ, our god and saviour. In the consulship of our same most pious master year 15, Pachon 10, indiction 1.'

'To Flavius Strategius, the most renowned and most extraordinary consul, landowner here also in the splendid city of the Oxyrhynchites, through you, Flavius Apollos, the most magnificent comes and his

'I, George, priest of the holy church and steward of the holy church of Alexandra, received from your excellency through your people, from my salary delivered by custom in respect of the holy donation, in respect of the lading of the second indiction, eleven artabas of wheat by cancellus measure, which are those delivered to me ... Pamuthius ... Harpocras ..., total: wheat by cancellus measure art. 11, and as certification of the receipt I have made this certificate, which is binding and written in one copy, and in answer to the formal question I gave my assent.'

(2nd hand) 'I, George, by the mercy of god priest and steward of St Alexandra—the certificate of the 11 artabas of wheat as aforesaid is satisfactory to me.

(3rd hand) 'The document was completed through me, Elias.'

Back. (1st hand?) 'Certificate of George, priest, from the city of the Oxyrhynchites.'

3-5 Cf. introd. para. 1.

6 Cf. introd. para. 2.

9-11 Cf. 3935 7 n., A. H. M. Jones, The Later Roman Empire ii 789-90, according to which there would have been a number of dioecetae under an artiyeoûxoc (vice dominus, id. ii 1323 n. 47).

10 μεγαλοπρε(πεττάτου) κόμε(τος.). Cf. O. Hornickel, Ehren- und Rangbrädikate 28-9, LVI 3870 4 n.

13 οἰκονόμος. Cf. E. Wipszycka, Les ressources ... des églises (Pap. Brux. 10) 136-41.

14-15 Cf. 32. The church of St Alexandra is not known. The fact that it had an οἰκονόμος suggests wealth, see Wipszycka, op. cit. 137, and allows a presumption that it was in the city rather than in the country. The saint may be the fourth century Alexandrian virgin who shut herself in a tomb for ten years and died there, see Palladius, Hist. Laus. 5. I owe this suggestion to Dr Maria Sirivianou.

19 ὑπὲρ τῆς ἀγίας προςφορ(ᾶς). Cf. Wipszycka, op. cit. 64-92 (Ch. III. Les Oblations), esp. 78-85

(analysis of papyrus texts).

24-5 We expect a simple statement about the intermediary, cf. XVI 1898 26-9 τὰς καὶ δοθείςας μοι διὰ Ἰούςτου τοῦ αίδεςίμου χαρτουλαρ(ίου) τῆς ὑμῶν ὑπερφυείας. In 24 the mu with a mark of abbreviation rather suggests ὀνόμ(ατος), which might perhaps be introducing the name of one of George's predecessors. One might guess something on the lines of  $\dot{\xi}\xi\,\ddot{\xi}\theta\rho[v]\epsilon\,\dot{\delta}v[\delta]\mu(a\tau\sigma\epsilon)\,\Pi a\mu\sigma\upsilon\theta\delta\sigma\nu\,\delta\iota(\dot{\alpha})\,A\rho\pi\sigma\kappa\rho\dot{\alpha}$ , 'which are those delivered to me by custom in the name of Pamuthius by agency of Harpocras', but the meagre traces may not be compatible with this version. Αρποκρά might also be a place name, see P. Pruneti, I centri abitati 34; it is not ἀπό before it, but nor does ψί(οῦ) look suitable.

35 di' emu. There is one minim too few for diemu, and one too many for diem(u). There seems to be no mark of abbreviation.

Helia. Elias is a new notary, i.e. unknown to J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, Notarsunterschriften im Byzantinischen Ägybten.

eteliothh. Cf. 3933 40 and n., 3942 36(?), 3952 58-9, 3955 28, 3958, 40.

# 3937. Fragment of Contract

53 1B.26(D)/A(11)a

9.5 × 6 cm

13 November 598

For this further example of the short dating formula by an unnamed imperial consul see 3933 2-3 n., item 6 in the list. Note too that although it refers to the same Julian year as the previous item, the consular year number has increased by one, helping to confirm the view of the dating system under Maurice put forward above, see General Introduction pp. 52-7 and Table II (p. 54).

+ ἐν ὀνόματι τοῦ κυρίου καὶ δεςπό(του)

Ἰηςοῦ Χριςτοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ ςωτῆρος
ἡμῶν. ὑπατίας τοῦ αὐτοῦ εὐςεβεςτάτου
ἡμῶν δεςπό(του) ἔτους ις⁻, Ἀθὺρ ιζ⁻, ἰνδ(ικτίωνος)
δευτέρα[ς.] (vac.) [

Back, downwards along the fibres:

(m. i?)  $-\frac{1}{2} \gamma \rho \alpha (\mu \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon \hat{i} o \nu) C \alpha \rho \alpha [$ 

τ δες $\frac{0}{2}$ ς 2 ϊηςου 3 ϋπατιας; l. ὑπατείας

4  $\delta \epsilon c \frac{\sigma}{\pi}$ ,  $i \nu \delta / /$  6  $\gamma \rho$ 

'In the name of the lord and master Jesus Christ, our god and saviour. In the consulship of our same most pious master year 16, Hathyr 17, second indiction.'

Back, 'Contract of Sara ...

6 Perhaps  $\gamma \rho(\alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon i o v)$  Acàp. [ is not an impossibility, cf. P. Lond. IV 1556. 7, but the first alpha does not ligature to the sigma and this unique name is the only suitable one available from the dictionaries.

#### 3938. FRAGMENT OF LOAN

62 6B.53/C(1-2)a

12 × 15 cm

7 March 601

In this document of 7 March 601 the full dating clause makes a good contrast with PSI III 239 of 9 February 601 and with 3939 of 25 July of the same year and helps to indicate that the short formula in those documents had no political significance, see 3933 2-3 n.

+ ἐν ὀνόματι τοῦ κυρίου καὶ δεςπ[ (ότου) ] Ἰηςοῦ Χριςτο[ῦ] τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ τωτῆρος ἡμῶν. βατιλείας τοῦ θειοτάτου καὶ εὐτεβ(εςτάτου) ἡμῶν δεςπ(ότου) 〈μεγίςτου〉 εὐεργέτου Φλαουΐου Μαυρικίου Νέου Τιβερίου τοῦ αἰωνίου Αὐγο[ύ]ςτου καὶ Αὐτοκράτορος ἔτους ιθ⁻, ὑπ[α]τίας τοῦ αὐτοῦ εὐτεβ(ετάτου) ἡμῶν δετπ (ότου) ἔτους ιη¯, Φ[α]μενῶθ ια¯,
(νας.) ἰνδ(ικτίωνος) τετάρτης. + (νας.)
τῷ θαυμαςιωτάτῳ Θεοφίλῳ ἐγγόνῳ
τοῦ αἰδεςίμου Ἀπολλῶ χαρ [το]υλαρίου τοῦ
οἴκου τοῦ τῆς ἐνδόξου μυήμης Ἰούςτου
Εὐ]δαίμονος ἀπὸ τῆς Ὀξυρυγχ[ι]τῶν πόλεως.
Αὐρ]ήλιοι ἄπα Ϲίων (ι) ςταβλίτ [ης] υἰὸς Πετρωνίου
μητ]ρὸς Ἑλένης ἀπὸ . κ . . καὶ Θέκλα
ἡ α]ὐτοῦ σύμβιος θυγάτηρ Κῳ[νς]ταντίνου μητρὸς
Εὐς]ταθίας μετ΄ ἐγγυητρί[ας τῆ]ς καὶ ἀναδεχο[μ] (ένης)
αὐτοὺς εἰς ἀπόδοςιν τῶ[ν ἐξῆς] δηλουμένων
τριῶν νομιςμάτων ἐμοῦ Ἰ[ο]υςτίνας
θυγατρὸς ἐμοῦ Θέκλας ἐκ [πατρ]ὸς Δ[α]μιανοῦ
ἀπὸ τῆς αὐτῆς πόλεως [ὁμολογοῦ]μεν
20 ὀφεί]λειν []].....] χρεωςτεῦν

Back, downwards along the fibres:

 $(m. \ i?)$   $+ \gamma \rho (αμματείον) ἄπα ζίωνος εταβλ(ίτου) υίοῦ <math>Πε[τρωνίου ...$ 

c. 15 letters

c. 25 letters

25 Κωνςταντίνου μετ' έγγυη....[

'In the name of the lord and master Jesus Christ, our god and saviour. In the reign of our most godly and most pious master, \( \frac{1}{2} \) greatest \( \) benefactor, Flavius Mauricius, new Tiberius, the eternal Augustus and Imperator, year 19, in the consulship of our same most pious master year 18, Phamenoth 11, fourth indiction'.

'To the most admirable Theophilus(?), grandson of the worshipful Apollos chartularius of the house of Justus of glorious memory son of Eudaemon, from the city of the Oxyrhynchites. We, Aurelius Apa Sion, stableman, son of Petronius mother Helen, from ..., and Aurelia Thecla, his wife, daughter of Constantine mother Eustathia, with, as guarantor who undertakes the responsibility for them in respect of the repayment of the three solidi mentioned below, me Justina, daughter of me Thecla by Damianus, from the same city, acknowledge that we owe ... and are in debt ...'

Back. (1st hand?) 'Contract of Apa Sion, stableman, son of Petronius (from ... and his wife Thecla daughter) of Constantine with as guarantor ...'

<sup>3 (</sup>μεγίστου). The omission is clearly accidental.

<sup>3 - 4</sup> Φλαουίου Μαυρικίου Νέου Τιβερίου. Cf. R. S. Bagnall, K. A. Worp, Regnal Formulas 58-9. This form came in c. AD 588-90 and is the predominant one thereafter.

8 θαυματιωτάτω. Cf. 3933 4 n.

It seems that it was thought more polite to address Theophilus(?) as the grandson of Apollos rather than as the son of his father or mother, as is usual.

9 αίδεείμου. Cf. 3935 6 n.

On chartularii see E. R. Hardy, Large Estates 94-5.

10-11 A Justus son of Eudaemon occurs in XVIII 2195 98, 102. He was a comes and therefore could well have had the title of ἐνδοξότατος, see O. Hornickel, Ehren- u. Rangprädikate 8-11, corresponding to τῆς ἐνδοξον μνήμης here, cf. 3958 12-13 n., on εὐλαβέττατος/ τῆς εὐλαβοῦς μνήμης. The date of 2195 is very probably an 576/7, cf. LV 3804 introd. p. 97.

An ἐνδοξότατος Τοῦστος, who could also be the same man as here, occurs in XVI 2040 11, cf. 13. This text has been assigned to c. AD 560–570, sec J. Gascou, CE 47 (1972) 250–2. Heirs of an ἐνδοξότατος Τοῦστος appear in XVI 2020 18. This text has been assigned by Gascou, Τταιαικ et Mémoires 9 (1985) 48, to the 580s.

If all these data really belong together, this Justus son of Eudaemon, a gloriosissimus comes, flourished c. 560-580, dying in or after 576/7. After his death his property, or part of it, was not divided among his heirs but was administered as an economic unit, in whose interests we do not yet know. On the institution of the olkou see J. Gascou, Travaux et Mémoires 9 (1985) 1-89, csp. 5-19, 28-37, 60.

12 Apa Sion is a saint's name, cf. CPR IX 68. 3 n., J. Muyser, Bull. Soc. Arch. Copte 9 (1943) 79-92.

to reconcile Τακόνα with the rest; Τόκα will not suit.

15 ἐγγυητρε[αc. Cf. 25, which is even more damaged. This form was known only from Stud. Pal. XX 135. 6 (AD 511), see LS] s.v., where the reference is misprinted; add now SB VIII 9770. 10, P. Rainer Cent. 107. 4, cf. 95. 6, 10. The rarity of the form may show how rare it was for a woman to give surety, but it seems clear that the important point was financial standing and that women were not barred because of their sex.

15-18 If the readings in this much damaged passage are correct, the couple's guarantor was the daughter of the wife from a previous marriage.

16 αὐτούς. We expect ήμας.

20 Restore something like [τ] η cη [θ] α[υμαει (ότητι) καί].

24 At the end restore something like ἀπό και της ευμβίου αὐτοῦ Θέκλας θυγατρός, cf. 13-14, but the details are uncertain.

25 Some version of εγγυητρίας is required, but the traces are faint and confused. Possibly the word was abbreviated, e.g. to εγ'γυητρ $[\iota]/$ .

#### 3939. Fragment of Contract

53 1B.26(D)/F(5)a

12.5 × 5.5 cm

25 July 601

The dating is again in the short formula by a nameless consul, cf. **3938** introd. and **3933** 2-3 n., where it is item 8 in the list. The address is to Flavius Apion III, whose titles here lack *patricius*. Comparison with other dated documents indicates that he received the patriciate only later, under Phocas, see 4-5 n.

The papyrus breaks off before the nature of the contract appears. On the back only a few illegible traces of ink remain from the usual endorsement. Stuck to the back at the top left corner is a strip of coarse papyrus which has been tied into a knot. Somewhat similar strips were used to keep documents together, rather as we would use a paper-clip, but these pass through vertical slits near the top left corners, see XXXIII 2673, L 3574 introdd., LV pp. xvii–xviii (under 2855), cf. W. Schubart, Papyri Graecae Berolinenses 36a. In the present case the knotted strip is adhering to the document rather than passing through it, but it is not clear whether it was deliberately

stuck, although its position suggests that it was, and it is not clear how the knot functioned. Schubart suggested that his example was hung from a nail, but this does not seem to offer an attractive or plausible solution to the problem.

+ ἐν ὀνόματι τοῦ κυρίου καὶ δεεπότου Ἰητοῦ Χριττοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ τωτῆρος ἡμῶν. ὑπατίας τοῦ αὐτοῦ εὐτεβ (εττάτου) ἡμῶν δετπότου ἔτους ιη , Μετορὴ α , ἰνδ (ικτίωνος) τετάρτης.
Φλαουΐω Ἀπίων[ι] τῷ πανευφήμω καὶ ὑπερφυεττάτ[ω ἀπὸ ὑπά[των γεουχοῦντι] καὶ ἐνταῦθα τῆ λαμπ[ρῷ Ὀξυρυγχ (ιτῶν) πόλει δ[ιὰ Μηνᾶ οἰκέτου ἐπερ]ωτῶντος κα[ὶ . [

ι ϊηςου 2 ϋπατιας; l. ὑπατείας; ευςεβ $\S$  5 υπα[των

3 τη, αϊνδ/

4 φλαουϊω, ϋπερφυεςτατ[ω

'In the name of the lord and master Jesus Christ, our god and saviour. In the consulship of our same most pious master year 18, Mesore 1, fourth indiction.'

To Flavius Apion, the most renowned and most extraordinary consular, landowner here also in the splendid city of the Oxyrhynchites, through Menas, slave, putting the formal question and ...'

4-5 For Flavius Apion III see J. Gascou, Collège de France, Travaux et Mémoires 9 (1985) 68-71. Gascou says that he is 'toujours consul honoraire et patrice' (p. 70, n. 387), but it is fairly clear that πατρικίω is lacking here and examination of the references given in Gascou's note, together with the new items in this volume, shows that his titles vary in a significant way. The first document in which he appears as sole owner of his estates is XVIII 2202 (27 March 593: date as corrected in ZPE 26 (1977) 273; the document is incomplete at the top and will have had an invocation of Christ, sec CE 56 (1981) 116). There he is simply  $τ\hat{\psi}$   $\pi[a]$ νευφήμ $\psi$   $\mathring{a}$ π $\mathring{o}$   $\mathring{v}$ π $\mathring{a}$ των. He was of consular rank by descent. In a second stage he became  $τ\hat{\psi}$ πανευφήμω και υπερφυεστάτω από υπάτων: I 201 = P. Lond. III 779 (27.9.593), PSI I 60 (8.10.595), XXVII 2478 (27.11.595), P. Wash. Univ. I 26 (1.10.596; cf. 3935 7 n.), PSI I 59 (3.11.596), 3939 (25.7.601), PSI III 179 (25.12.602?, see 3933 2-3 n., item 10). It is not yet clear to me whether the addition of ὑπερφυέςτατος represents an increase in dignity. In an earlier period such ranks as the egregiate and the perfectissimate were conferred by imperial grants, see F. G. B. Millar, JRS 73 (1983) 90-91, and this may still have been the case here. However, even the precise Latin equivalents of  $\pi \alpha \nu \epsilon \dot{\nu} \phi \eta \mu o \epsilon$  and  $\dot{\nu} \pi \epsilon \rho \phi \nu \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \tau a \tau o \epsilon$  are not certainly known, see O. Hornickel, Ehren- und Rangprädikate 30-31, 32, P. Koch, Die Byzantinischen Beamtentiteln 89-93, 94-5, much less their exact hierarchical significance. Moreover, Apion III could be referred to as πανεύφημος πατρίκιος in a period when other documents also have ὑπερφυέςτατος, see below.

The next and final stage in Apion III's titles is τῷ πανευφήμω καὶ ὑπερφυεςτάτω ἀπὸ ὑπάτων καὶ πατρικίω: 3941 (27.12.664–25.1.666), 3943? (15.11.606): restored), 3944–3945 (both 16.11.16.66), 3946 (18.11.606), 3947 (28.10.–26.11.606), PSI I 61 (8.5.609), 3950 (25.6.610), 3951 (28.10.), 3952 (before 29.8.610: restored), 3953 (23.9.610?), 1 138 (610/11), 3956 (1.10.611), XVI 1981 (25.10.612), I 139 (26.10.612), PSI I 62 (27.9.613), XXIV 2420 (?.2–3.614; see 3954 3-7 n.; restored), 3958 (26.4.–25.5.614), VI 999 (+BL VII 133; 617), P. Iand. III 49 (5.7.619). Slightly anomalous items in this period, and 604–619, are 3954 (12.2.611: τοῦ ἐνδόξου οἶκου τοῦ πανευφήμου πατρικίου Ἀπίωνος ταύτης τῆς ἀνω Κυνοπολ()?) and 3957 (21.2.612?: ... οἶκω Ἀπίωνος τοῦ πανευφήμου πατρικίου). Seemingly more anomalous is XVI 1979 5 (19.8.614; Φλ(αουίω) Ἀπίωνι [ἀπὸ ὑπάτων] πατρικίω]). A photograph of 1979, now itself in the Cairo Museum, confirms that the space is too short for the usual long titulature. The two preceding items (3954, 3957) suggest that a more likely restoration would be [τῷ πανευφήμω], possibly abbreviated

as πανευφ with a stroke through the descender of the phi.

The patriciate was revived by Constantine and converted from an inherited status into a personal distinction, see A. H. M. Jones, Later Roman Empire i 528, cf. T. D. Barnes, Phoenix 29 (Toronto, 1975) 169. It seems very likely from the sequence of dates that it was Phoeas who conferred it on Apion III, especially if PSI III 179 is rightly assigned to 25 December 602, see 3933 2-3 n. item 10 in the list. It has been conjectured from a remark of pope Gregory the Great in a letter of June 603 to Eusebia, the wife of Apion III, that the two were hostile to Phoeas, see Cascou art. cit. p. 74 and n. 423. Apion's acquisition of the patriciate in precisely this period, sometime between late 602 and early 605, might be tentatively argued as a point against this view. At any rate Apion survived and retained his estates in Egypt under Phoeas and well into the reign of Heraclius, dying in Ab 619, see below 3959 and 3960.

6-7 On Menas cf. 3935 7 n. For the standard continuation of the formula see 3941 15-18. The initial trace in 7 is indeterminate and since abbreviation is often used it seems useless to guess where 6 may have ended and 7 begun.

#### 3940. FRAGMENT OF CONTRACT

67 6B.17/A(1-3)a

74

7.5 × 4.5 cm

6-14 April 604

This scrap, with only the beginnings of six lines and traces of a seventh, has part of an invocation of the Trinity and part of a regnal year formula of Phocas, year 2, Pharmuthi 11-19 inclusive. The trace of the second digit of the day number is tiny.

The back is mostly stripped of its vertical fibres, but there are scattered remains from an endorsement of the usual kind.

+ ἐν ὀνόματι τῆς ἀχράντου [καὶ ὁμοουςίου τριάδος πατρὸς καὶ υἱοῦ κ[αὶ ἀγίου πνεύματος. βαςιλείας τοῦ θειοτάτου κ[αὶ εὐςεβεςτάτου ἡμῶν δεςπό(του) μεγίςτου εὐ[εργέτου Φλαουΐου Φωκὰ τοῦ αἰωνίου Αὐγούς[του καὶ Αὐτοκράτορος ἔτους β⁻, Φαρμοῦθι ι ̞⁻, [ἰνδ(ικτίωνος) ζ. . . . ].[. . . ].[

2 viou 4  $\delta\epsilon c_{\pi}^{o}$  6  $\bar{\beta}$ ,  $\bar{\iota}$ 

'In the name of the immaculate and consubstantial trinity, father and son and holy spirit. In the reign of our most godly and most pious master, greatest benefactor, Flavius Phocas, the eternal Augustus and Imperator, year 2, Pharmuthi 1(1-19), [indiction 7].'

1-2 This formula is classified as type 2C by R. S. Bagnall, and K. A. Worp, CE 56 (1981) 114, cf. 118. It was known to them from only two documents, P. Laur. III 91 and SB XII 10798, both of them dated by the short formula referring to an imperial consular year without naming the emperor, see above 3933 2-3 n., items 11 and 12 in the list. It appears now also in 3940, 3943, 3946, 3947, 3950, 3951, 3952(?), and 3953. So far it is exclusively Oxyrhynchite, one of only two types of Trinity invocation used there, see 3941 1-3 and n. for the other.

7 The remains are minimal. Probably an initial phi, for Flavius (Apion), would have left a trace of the riser.

# 3941. Fragment of Contract

53 1B.26(G)/G(1)b

 $6.5 \times 16.5 \text{ cm}$ 

27 December 604-25 January 605

The invocation here is of a form unrecognized before, but now known from four examples, all from Oxyrhynchus, see 1-3 n. Although the nature of the contract does not emerge before the papyrus breaks off, the endorsement describes it as an  $\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial k} e^{i} \xi e^{i} \xi$ , which usually denotes a receipt for money or goods. It is addressed to Flavius Apion III by man called Aurelius Victor, described as a  $\pi \rho o a c r^i 17 \epsilon$ , cf. 19 n. The Apion family had an estate called  $\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial k} \rho a \epsilon r^i 18 \epsilon e^{i} 19 \epsilon$ 

+ έν ό]νόματι της άνίας άγρά[ντου καὶ ό] μοουςίου τριάδος πατ [ρὸς καὶ] υἱοῦ καὶ ἀγίου πνεύμ(ατος). βας ιλείας τοῦ θειοτάτου καὶ εὐς εβ (εςτάτου) ἡμῶν δεςπ (ότου) μεγίςτου εὐερ]γ(έτου) Φλ(αουΐου) Φωκά τοῦ αἰωνίου Αὐγο]ύςτου καὶ Αὐτοκράτορ(ος) (vac.)  $| \ \tilde{\epsilon} \tau o \nu c \ \gamma^-, T \hat{\nu} \beta \langle \iota \rangle \langle ? \rangle, \ i \nu \delta (\iota \kappa \tau i \omega \nu o c) \ \eta \dots [$  $\Phi \lambda (\alpha o v \dot{\tau} \omega) ] A \pi \dot{\iota} \omega v \iota \tau \dot{\omega} \pi \alpha v \epsilon v \phi \dot{\eta} \mu [\omega]$ καὶ ύ]περφυεςτάτω ἀπὸ ύπά]τω[ν] καὶ πατρικίω γεου χοῦντι καὶ ἐνταῦθα τῆ λ]αμπρο(τάτη) 'Οξυρυγχ(ιτῶν) πόλει, διὰ Μηνα οἰκέτου τοῦ έπ ερωτώντος καὶ προςπορίζ (οντος)  $\tau \hat{\omega}$ ]  $\delta \delta \omega \delta \epsilon c \pi (\delta \tau \eta) \tau \hat{\omega} \alpha \delta \tau \hat{\omega}$ πανε υφήμω ανδρί την άγω γην και ένοχήν. Αὐρ]ήλιος Βίκτωρ προαςτίτ (ης)

3 υΐου, πνευμί/ 13 λ]αμπρ/οοξυρυγχ§

5 ευς]εβ\$, δεςή\$ 15 προςποριζ/ 6 ευερ]γζφλ/ 16 δες<del>ή</del>ς

7 αυτοκρατορ/ 19 προαστιτς 8 γπυβινδ//

Back, downwards along the fibres:

(m. 1?) 
$$+ i\pi\delta\delta\epsilon\iota\xi(\iota c)$$
  $Bi\kappa\tau\rho\rho\rho\sigma$ ,  $\pi\rho\rho\alpha c\tau i\tau(\sigma v)$  . . . [ (vac.)

21 αποδειξ/ προαςτιτί

'In the name of the holy, immaculate, and consubstantial trinity, father and son and holy spirit. In the reign of our most godly and most pious master, greatest benefactor, Flavius Phocas, the eternal Augustus and Imperator, year 3, Tybi ..., indiction 8.'

'To Flavius Apion, the most renowned and most extraordinary consular and patrician, landowner here also in the most splendid city of the Oxyrhynchites, through Menas, slave, putting the formal question and supplying for his own master, the same most renowned man, the conduct of and responsibility for (the transaction). I, Aurelius Victor, proastites, ...

Back. (1st hand?) 'Certificate of Victor, proastites ...'

1-3 This form of invocation is new to the classification of R. S. Bagnall, K. A. Worp, CE 56 (1981) 114. It occurs in this volume in 3941, 3942, and 3948. It had appeared once before, in PSI I 61 (8 May 609, see 3933 2-3 n., where it is item 11a in the list), but it was misread there as formula 2E, which is now known exclusively from Upper Egyptian nomes, see CE 56 (1981) 120, 122, 125. The statement on p. 130 that 'ζωοποιός is largely limited to Upper Egypt' can now dispense with 'largely' and ζωοποιόs is now not known in these formulas before the reign of Heraclius. The present formula is exclusively Oxyrhynchite. one of only two types of Trinity invocations used there, see 3940 1-2 and n. for the other,

8 It might be guessed that the day was one between 10 and 19 inclusive and the clerk lost his way among the iotas of  $\tau \nu \beta \mu (+\alpha - \theta?) \nu \delta / /$ . The signs at the end of the line are puzzling, but it is more likely that they simply mark the numeral than that they are an attempt to correct the omission. They are not compatible with era date figures.

9-11 Cf. 3939 4-5 n.

13  $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho / 0 = \lambda a \mu \pi \rho o (\tau a \tau \eta)$ . It is usual to find either  $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho \hat{q}$  or  $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho \hat{q}$  καὶ  $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o \tau a \tau \eta$ . The omicron is on a small scale and therefore, although it does not rise above the normal level of the tops of the letters, gives the impression of being raised. It looks like part of the abbreviation and not like a first attempt at the first letter of the next word.

14-18 Cf. 3935 7 n., 3939 6-7 n.

19 προαστίτ(ης), cf. 21. LSJ refers only to St. Byz. s.v. ἄστυ, where he quibbles about the form, saving that προάςτιον ought to produce προαςτιεύς or προάςτιος, although ἀςτίτης comes legitimately from ἄςτυ through ἀςτός. The word was supposed to have appeared in XVI 1925 42: εκάλη ξυλ(ίνη) τοῦ προαςτίτου immiκ(οῦ). G. Husson, Rech. Pap. 4 (1967) 198-9 = BL VI 104, suggested that this should be read as c, ξ. τοῦ προαετί(ου) τοῦ ἱππικ(οῦ), i.e. 'a wooden ladder of the προάετιον of the circus (or stable)': 'le proastion serait alors une partie des constructions du cirque ou d'une écurie'. Examination of the original confirms that the iota is cut by a horizontal bar at a low level to indicate abbreviation; "ayı(ov) in line 6 is marked for abbreviation in the same way. Since 1925 is a list of goods in the προάστιον "Εξω της Πύλης, see line 44, cf. 1, it seems perverse to suppose that  $\pi poacri(ov)$  here refers to another edifice. I suggest that the words should be translated, 'the villa's wooden ladder (i.e. stairway, drawbridge?) to the circus'. By that I mean that we might, tentatively, imagine that the circus adjoined the Apion villa and could be reached from it. rather in the way that the grand circuses at Constantinople and other capital cities adjoined imperial palaces with private means of access, see J. Humphrey, Roman Circuses 579-80. The Apion family's private box at the circus is mentioned in PSI VIII 953. 62, τοῦ γεουχικ(οῦ) θεωρίου τ[οῦ] ἱππικ(οῦ). Again we could imagine that a stairway in the form of a wooden bridge, which could be removed when not required, would be a practical provincial substitute for the imperial galleries and passageways. The word εκάλη (cf. G. W. H. Lampe, Patristic Greek Lexicon s.v. cκάλα) has occurred again, obviously referring to an ordinary ladder, in P. Vatic. Aphrod. 1. 11-12 οἰκ[ιδίω μετὰ ξυλίνης] cκάλης (AD 598). On the circus at Oxyrhynchus see

Humphrey, op. cit. 516-9; add now the early Byzantine archive of ostraca recording the issue of wine to circus personnel, O. Ashm. Shelton 83 190, see J. C. Shelton, Greek Ostraca in the Ashmolean Museum (Pap. Flor. XVII) pp. 73-138.

20 We expect here the filiation, but perhaps it was already the origin, i.e. ἀπὸ (place) τοῦ αὐτοῦ νομοῦ. More correct would be τοῦ 'Οξυρυγγίτου νομοῦ, but occasionally αὐτοῦ is used when only the city has been mentioned previously. Or perhaps it was an amplification of προαστίτ (ης) in the form καὶ ] [ . ] . σοῦ αὐτ οῦ πανευφήμου ἀνδρός.

# 3942. POTTER'S WORK CONTRACT

65 6B.35/G(1-2)a

10.5 × 35 cm

22 February 606

In this well preserved contract a secretary and riparius of a large estate, probably the Apion estate, was given an acknowledgement by a potter of the receipt of three gold solidi, which had been paid in advance as the price of one thousand standard wine jars, plus six large vessels and six two-chous pots, to be delivered in Mesore, that is in about six months time. Although I have entitled it a 'work contract', because that seems more in keeping with our modern concepts, the document is formally a receipt for money received in advance of the delivery of goods, compare 3954 introd. para. 3. On work contracts in general see 3933 introd. para. 2.

For the manufacture of pottery in Roman Egypt see H. Cockle, 7RS 71 (1981) 87-97, cf. L 3595-7. Some of the terminology here echoes that of those third century contracts.

It is not clear if the jars were for use on the large estate. In that case one might expect a contract addressed directly to the landowner. The Apion estate's stewards recorded expenditure for the purchase of jars in their accounts, e.g. LV 3804 218-19, XVI 1911 181-92, 1913 29-35, 51-3.

A sheet-join running vertically close to the right edge shows that the contract is written along the fibres of the recto of the original roll from which the piece was cut. The height of the document was the height of the roll.

> + ἐν ὀνόματι τῆ[ς ἀγ]ίας ἀχράντο[υ] καὶ ὁμοουςίου τριάδος πατρός καὶ υίοῦ καὶ ἁγίου πνεύμ[ (ατος). βαςιλείας τοῦ θειστάτου καὶ εὐςεβ(εςτάτου) ἡμῶν  $\delta \epsilon c \pi (\acute{o} \tau o v)$  μεγίς του  $\epsilon [\mathring{v}] \epsilon \rho \gamma (\acute{e} \tau o v)$   $\Phi \lambda (aov \mathring{t} o v)$   $\Phi \omega \kappa \hat{a}$  το  $\mathring{v}$   $\alpha \mathring{t} \omega \nu [\acute{o} v]$ Αὐνούςτου καὶ Αὐτοκρά(τορος) ἔτ[ο]υς δ΄΄, Μεχείρ (vac.) '' κη, ἰνδ(ικτίωνος) ἐνάτης ''. (vac.) τῷ αἰδεςίμω ζεργίω χαρτουλαρίω καὶ ριπαρίω του ἐνδόξου ἡμῶν οἴκου υίῶ τοῦ τῆς μακαρία[ς] μνήμης Βίκτορο[ς

4 δεcπς, ε[υ]εργςφλς 5 αυτοκρ/α

9 υϊω

-P di'em(u) +

```
γενομένου νομικαρίου ἀπὸ ταύτης
της Όξυρυγχ(ιτών) πόλε(ως). Αὐρήλιος
Παμούθιος κουφοκεραμεύς
υίδο Άπολλω μητρός Άννας ἀπὸ
έποικίου Παλιτίου τοῦ Ὀξυρυγχ(ίτου) ν[ο]μοῦ
```

δμολογώ ἐςχηκ(έναι) παρὰ τῆς ὑμετέρ(ας) αίδες μι (ότητος) έντεῦθεν ήδη χρυςοῦ νομίςμ(ατα) τρία ἰδ(ιωτικώ) ζυγώ εἰς τὴν ςυναρέςαςάν μοι τιμήν πλήρες καινοκούφ(ων) γεουχικ(ῶν) χιλίων καὶ μεγάλων εκευῶν ξξ

καὶ διχονίον έξ, γί(νεται) χρ(νεοῦ) ν(ομίτματα) γ ἰδ(ιωτικῶ)

 $\zeta v \gamma(\hat{\omega}), \dot{v}(\pi \epsilon \rho?)$ 

 $\kappa[\alpha]\iota[\nu]$ οκού $\phi(\omega\nu)$  γεουχ $(\iota\kappa\hat{\omega}\nu)$   $_{|}\alpha$  $(\kappa\alpha\hat{\iota})$  μεγά $\lambda(\omega\nu)$   $_{}$  κευ $\hat{\omega}[\nu]$  $s'(\kappa \alpha i)$  διχον $(i\omega \nu)$  s', ἄπερ  $\kappa[\alpha]$ ι $[\nu]$ όκου $\phi(\alpha)$ έπιδήτια πεπιςςοκοπημένα

ἀπὸ πυθμέ(νων) ἄχρι χιλῶν ἀπὸ χειμερ(ινῆς) πλάςεως ἀςινῆ ται καὶ ἀδιάπτωτα δμολογῶ παραςχεῖν τῆ ὑμετέρα αίδετιμ (ότητι) ἐν τῶ Μετορή μη (νὶ) τῆς παρούςης ἐνάτης ἐνδ(ικτίωνος) πρὸς κατα (γ) γιςμον οίνου ρύς εως της ς ευν θ(εω)

δεκάτης ἐπινεμή(ςεως) ἀνυπερθ(έτως). κύρ(ιον) τὸ γραμ(ματεῖον) ἀπλ(οῦν) γρ[α]φ(ἐν) (καὶ) ἐπερ(ωτηθεῖο)

ώμολ(όγηςα).

(m. 2) + Αὐρ(ήλιος) Παμούθιος υίὸς Ἀπολλῶ *cτοιχε*ι μοι τούτο τὸ γραμμ(ατείον) ώς πρόκ(ειται). Παπνούθιος ἔγραψα ὑ(πὲρ) αὐτοῦ ἀγραμμ(άτου)

ΙΙ οξυρυγχ (πολ/ε 14 οξυρυγχί 16 αιδετιμζ, νομιτμζ

17 185 21 κ[α]ι[ν]οκουφ/γεουχζ/αζμεγαλ 22 (διχον), κ[α]ι[ν]οκουφ 1. χειλών; χειμερ/

26 ϋμετερα 30 επινεμήανυπερθς? 31 κυρ/, γραμ/απλ/γρ[α]φζεπερ/ωμολ/ 33 γραμμί

34 υ/, αγραμμ\$

ὄντος.

(m. 3?)

Back, downwards along the fibres:

37 γρ/α; Ι. κουφοκεραμέως; υϊου, χρ/ος ιδ/ζυγξκαινοκουφ/γεουχξ

+ γρα (μματείον) Παμουθίου κουφοκεραμεύς υίοῦ Ἀπολλῶ ἀπὸ  $\dot{\xi}\pi[o]\iota\kappa(iov)\, \Pi a\lambda\iota\tau\dot{\iota}(ov); \chi\rho(vco\hat{v})\, vo(\mu\dot{\iota}c\mu a\tau a)\, \gamma^-\dot{\iota}\dot{\delta}(\iota\omega\tau\iota\kappa\dot{\phi})\, \zeta v\gamma(\dot{\phi});$  $\kappa \alpha \iota \nu [\sigma] \kappa \sigma \dot{\psi} (\omega \nu) \ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \sigma \chi (\iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu)$ 

μα καὶ cκεῶ(ν) ς (καὶ) διχ(ονίων) ς .38

'In the name of the holy, immaculate and consubstantial trinity, father and son and holy spirit. In the reign of our most godly and most pious master, greatest benefactor, Flavius Phocas, the eternal Augustus and Imperator, year 4, Mecheir 28, ninth indiction.'

'To the worshipful Sergius, chartularius and riparius of our glorious household, son of Victor of blessed memory former nomicarius, from this city of the Oxyrhynchites. I, Aurelius Pamuthius, potter of wine jars, son of Apollos, mother Anna, from the hamlet of Palitiu of the Oxyrhynchite nome, acknowledge that I have received from your Worship now on the spot three solidi of gold by the private standard for the price agreed with me in full of one thousand new wine jars of the landowner's pattern and six large vessels and six two-chous jars, total 3 sol. of gold by the private standard in respect of 1,000 new wine jars and 6 large vessels and 6 two-chous jars, which new wine jars, of proper quality, lined with pitch from bottoms to lips, of winter manufacture, without damage and without defect, I agree to provide for your Worship in the month of Mesore of the present ninth indiction for containing the wine of the vintage of the tenth-God willing!-indiction without delay. The deed is binding, written in one copy, and in answer to the formal question I gave my assent.'

(2nd hand) I, Aurelius Pamuthius son of Apollos-this deed is satisfactory to me as aforesaid. I, Papnuthius, wrote on his behalf because he is illiterate.

(ard hand) 'Through me ...

Back. (1st hand?) 'Deed of Pamuthius, potter of wine jars, son of Apollos, from the hamlet of Palitiu: 3 sol. of gold by the private standard; 1,000 new wine jars of the landowner's pattern, and 6 (large) vessels, and 6 two-chous jars.

1-2 Cf. 3941 1-3 n.

7 αίδετίμω. Cf. 16, 28. See O. Hornickel, Ehren- und Rangprädikate 1-2, citing XVI 1898 27 for an alδέςιμος χαρτουλάριος and P. Flor. III 313. 4 for a riparius, the earliest use of this honorific (AD 449).

7-8 E. R. Hardy, The Large Estates 94-5 distinguishes three types of chartularius. The word has the general sense of 'secretary', but in itself does not explain the holder's status or function. The post is a private one, whereas riparii, although appointed by the large landowners for senior police work, were in origin and function state officials, see J. Gascou, Travaux et Mémoires 9 (1985) 5-6, 43, 45-6, 61 and n. 339.

9-10 A nomicarius called Victor son of the late John appears in I 136 10, 45 (autograph), 52. The date of 136 is 24 May 583, so he could well be the same man.

The nomicarii seem to have been specially concerned with the transport of goods for the state, see LV

12 κουφοκεραμεύς. Making large wine jars was a separate branch of the potter's craft, cf. P. Apoll. 75. 13 n. (οη λεπτοκεραμεύς)

14 ἐποικίου Παλιτίου. This place is new, i.e. not in P. Pruneti, I centri abitati.

18-20 By comparison with L 3595-7, where the sizes are 2-chous, 4-chous and double (i.e. 8-chous), we can guess with some probability that the καινόκουφα here were 4-chous and μεγάλα εκεύη 8-chous jars.

20 διχονίον (= -ίων). Add. lexx. The beginning of the word is clear in 22 and 38. For δίχοα cf. L 3595 12, 48; 3596 12, 18, 30; 3597 9, 42, with H. Cockle, JRS 71 (1981) 95-6.

 $\psi(\pi\epsilon\rho^2)$ . The symbol is very close to the edge and is obscured by xi from the line above, but  $\epsilon\kappa\epsilon\nu\hat{\omega}[\nu]$ 

(21) makes  $\psi(\pi \epsilon \rho)$  the most likely guess.

23 πεπιεcοκοπημένα. Cf. H. Cockle, JRS 71 (1981) 94-5, L 3596 19 n.

24-5 ἀπὸ χειμερ(ινῆς) πλάςεως. Cf. JRS 71 (1981) 93.

36 Cf. 3933 40 and n., 3936 35, 3952 58-9, 3955 28, 3958 40. The notarial subscription is written in a very compressed Latin hand with several tall risers sloping to the right and some long descenders sloping to the left. Scripts of this type are illustrated in J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, Notarsunterschriften im byzantinischen Agypten Taf. 50 (c.g.). In view of 34 here and Diethart and Worp p. 84 it is worth stating that the name Papnuthius will not suit the pattern of risers. The end is too damaged to allow me to distinguish even among the standard possibilities, see ibid. p. 19.

#### 3943. Fragment of Contract

53 1B.26(F)/C(4)a

12 × 9 cm

15 November 606

This and the next three items (3943–6) are all addressed to Flavius Apion III, on whom see 3939 4–5 n., and all bear endorsements describing them as the  $\gamma\rho(\alpha\mu\mu\alpha\tau\epsilon\hat{\iota}o\nu)$   $\pi\rho\sigma\chi\rho(\epsilon\hat{\iota}ac)$  of an individual. The dates are 15, 16, 16 and 18 November 6o6. The one after these (3947), datable less precisely (28 October–26 November 6o6), may have been similar even though the endorsement omits  $\pi\rho\sigma\chi\rho(\epsilon\hat{\iota}ac)$ , as we can see from XVI 1975 (AD 496), a loan of money  $\lambda\delta\gamma\psi$   $\pi\rho\sigma\chi\rho\epsilon\hat{\iota}ac$  endorsed  $\gamma\rho(\alpha\mu\mu\alpha\tau\epsilon\hat{\iota}o\nu)$   $A\pi\sigma\lambda\hat{\iota}ac$   $A\pi\sigma\lambda\hat{\iota}ac$  The fact that 3945 and 3947 are in the same hand may strengthen the case. At any rate the closeness of the dates of 3943–6 and their similar endorsements suggest that they were together in some filing system, probably in a city office belonging to the Apion estate.

There is a sheet-join running vertically just over 1 cm from the right edge, showing that the front was the recto of the roll from which the piece was cut. Abrasion of this join has caused some minor damage near the ends of lines 1–5. It has the additional interest of having been made carelessly enough to reveal its three-layer construction, cf. LI p. 61, E. G. Turner, Recto and Verso (Pap. Brux. 16) 20, P. Harr. II 212 introd.

+ ἐν ὀνόματι τῆς ἀχράντου καὶ ὁμοουςίου τριάδος πατρὸς καὶ υἱοῦ καὶ ἀγίου π[ν]εὐματος. βαςιλείας τοῦ θειοτάτου καὶ εὐςεβ (εςτάτου) ἡμῶν δεςπότου μεγίςτου εὐεργέτου Φλ(αουΐου) Φωκᾶ τοῦ αἰωνίου Αὐγούςτου καὶ Αὐτοκρ (άτορος) ἔτους ε΄΄, Άθὺρ ιθ, ἰνδ(ικτίωνος) ι. Φλ(αουΐω) Ἀπίωνι τ[ῷ] πανευφήμω καὶ [ὑπ]ε[ρφυεςτάτω κτλ.

Back, downwards along the fibres

(m. 1?)  $+\gamma\rho\alpha(\mu\mu\alpha\tau\epsilon\hat{\imath}o\nu)\pi\rho\sigma\gamma\rho(\epsilon\hat{\imath}a\epsilon)$ 

2 υ $\bar{i}$ ου 3  $\epsilon$ υ $\epsilon$ ε $\beta$ ς 4  $\phi$ λ $\varsigma$ ? 5 αυτοκρ/ 6  $\bar{i}$ θ $\bar{i}$ υδ/ $\bar{i}$  7  $\phi$ λ $\varsigma$ ? 8  $\gamma$ ρ $^{\alpha}/\pi$ ροχρ/

'In the name of the immaculate and consubstantial trinity, father and son and holy spirit. In the reign of our most godly and most pious master, greatest benefactor, Flavius Phocas, the eternal Augustus and Imperator, year 5, Hathyr 19, indiction 10.'

'To Flavius Apion, the most renowned and most extraordinary (consular and patrician) ...'

Back. (1st hand?) 'Deed for an advance to ...'

7-8 The wording of the titles is likely to be the standard one for this period, including the patriciate, see 3939 4-5 n., para 2.

9 προχρ(είαc). Gf. L 3589 13-17 n. on advances of money or grain to a lessee at the beginning of a lease to enable him to start work on cultivation; add XVI 1975, and probably 1912 142-4. In XVI 1890 (AD 508) the lessee of a bakery and grain mill received an advance of 12 solidi; 1913 69-70 record an advance of sol. 8 less car. 40 to estate tenants for irrigation work on the orchards and gardens of the Apion villa. In P. Grenf. II 87 (Hermopolis, AD 602) a group of dyers received a money advance when they undertook to work for a year. More material on advances paid in connection with work contracts is collected by A. Jördens, ZPE 75 (1988) 164-6.

#### 3944. Fragment of Contract

52 1B.26(C)/D(4)c

15 × 7 cm

16 November 606

The surviving eight lines of the front begin with the date clause. The loss above was probably of two lines, to fit an invocation of type 2C, see **3940** i-2 n., since this is the formula clear in **3943** and **3946**, as well as **3947**, which is probably part of the group, see **3943** introd. The unclassified formula with  $\frac{dy}{dx}$  before  $\frac{dx}{dx}$  before  $\frac{dx}{dx}$  before  $\frac{dx}{dx}$  introd.  $\frac{dx}{dx}$  1-2 n.

There is a sheet-join running vertically c. 5 cm from the left edge, showing that the front is the recto of the roll from which the piece was cut.

βαςιλ[ε]ί[α]ς τοῦ θει[ο]τάτο, καὶ εὐτεβ(εττάτου) ἡμῶν δετπ(ότου) μεγίττου εὐεργ(έτου) Φλ(αουΐου) Φωκᾶ τοῦ α[ί]ωνίου Αὐγούττου καὶ Αὐτοκράτορος ἔτους ε¯, Άθὺρ κ¯, ἰνδ(ικτίωνος) δεκάτης. Φλ(αουΐω) Ἀπίωνι τῷ πανευφήμω καὶ ὑπερφυ(εττάτω) ἀπὸ ὑπάτω` ν΄ καὶ πατρικίου γεωχοῦντι καὶ ἐνταῦθα τῆ λαμπρὰ Ὁξυρυ[γ]χ(ιτῶν) πόλ(ει) διὰ Μηνᾶ οἰκέτ[[η]]`ου΄ τοῦ ἐπερωτῶντ[ος] καὶ προςπορ[ίζ]οντος τῷ ἰδίω δετπό(τη) τῷ [αὐτῷ πανευ]φή[μω ἀνδρὶ τ]ὴ[ν ἀγωγ]ἡ[ν

Back, downwards along the fibres:

(m. 1?) +  $\gamma \rho (\alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon \hat{i} o \nu) \pi \rho o \chi \rho (\epsilon i \alpha \epsilon) I \epsilon \rho \eta [\mu i o \nu ...$ 

1 ευτεβζ, δεςπζ 2 ευεργζφλ΄ · 3 ε̄αθυρκωδ/ 4 φλ΄, ὑπερφ $^{0}$ /, ὑπα $^{r}$ ω 5 1. πατρικίο γεουχούντι 6 οξυρυ $[\gamma]χ$ ζπολ/ 7 ιδιω 8 δες $^{0}$ ζ 9  $\gamma$ ρ/προχρ[ίερη[

"... In the reign of our most godly and most pious master, greatest benefactor, Flavius Phocas, the eternal Augustus and Imperator, year 5, Hathyr 20, tenth indiction."

'To Flavius Apion, the most renowned and most extraordinary consular and patrician, landowner here also in the splendid city of the Oxyrhynchites, through Menas, slave, putting the formal question and supplying for his own master, the same most renowned man, the conduct ...'

Back. (1st hand?) 'Deed for an advance to Jeremiah ...'

4-6 Cf. **3939** 4-5 n. 6-8 Cf. **3939** 6-7 n.

# 3945. Fragment of Contract

53 1B.26(D)/B(10)b

5

12 X 10.5 cm

16 November 606

The hand of **3945** is the same as that of **3947**, which strengthens the case for **3947** to be taken as belonging to this group, see **3943** introd.

There is a sheet-join running vertically about 2 cm from the left edge, showing that the front is the recto of the roll from which the piece was cut.

[+ ἐν ὀνόματι τῆς ἀχράντο] ψ
κ[α] ἐ ὁμοουςίου τριάδος πατρὸς
καὶ υίοῦ καὶ ἀγίου πνεύμ(ατος). βαςι(λείας)
τοῦ θειοτάτου καὶ εὐτεβ(εςτάτου) ἡμῶν
δεςπ(ότου) μεγίςτου εὐεργέ(του) Φλ(αουΐου) Φωκᾶ
τοῦ αἰωνίου Αὐγούττου καὶ Αὐτοκρ(άτορος)
ἔτους ε, Άθὺρ κ, ἰνδ(ικτίωνος) ι ⁻.
Φλ(αουΐω) Ἀπί[ωνι] τῷ πανευφή[μ] ῳ κα[ὶ
ὑπε[ρ]φ(υεςτάτω) ἀπὸ ὑπάτων καὶ πατρικίω
γεουχοῦντι καὶ ἐνταῦθα τῆ λαμπρ(ᾳ)
'Οξυ[ρυ]γχ(ιτῶν) πόλ(ει) διὰ Μηνὰ οἰκέτου τοῦ
ἐπ[ερ]ωτ[ῶντος] κᾳ[ὶ κτλ.

Back, downwards along the fibres:

(m. i?)  $+ \gamma \rho(\alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon \hat{i} \circ \nu) \pi \rho \circ \chi \rho(\epsilon i \alpha \epsilon)$  [ ]  $\circ \nu \theta i \circ \nu$  [

3 υἴου, πνευμ $\beta$ ακι 4 ευκεβ $\zeta$  5 δεκπ $\zeta$ , ευερ $\chi$ ες $\phi$ λ $\zeta$  6 αυτοκρ 7  $\omega$ δ $\tilde{\iota}$  8  $\phi$ λ $[\tilde{\zeta}^2]$  9  $\omega$ πε $[\rho]\phi$ /? 10 λαμπρ 11 οξυ[ρυ] $\chi$  $\chi$ {πολ 13  $\chi$ ρ(προχρ)

"(In the name of the immaculate?) and consubstantial trinity, father and son and holy spirit. In the reign of our most godly and most pious master, greatest benefactor, Flavius Phocas, the eternal Augustus and Imperator, year 5, Hathyr 20, indiction 10.

'To Flavius Apion, the most renowned and most extraordinary consular and patrician, landowner here also in the splendid city of the Oxyrhynchites, through Menas, slave, putting the formal question and ...'

Back. (1st hand?) 'Deed for an advance to Pamuthius(?) ...'

1-2 Formula 2C is restored here, because that is the one used in 3943 and 3946, cf. 3944 introd. The unclassified formula which adds δγίας before ἀχράντου is also possible, see 3941 introd. and 1-3 n., and 3944 introd.

8-11 Cf. **3939** 4 5 n. 11-12 Cf. **3939** 6-7 n.

13 [.] ουθίου [. The space and such traces as there are favour Π[a]μουθίου over the other possibilities, see F. Dornseiff, B. Hansen, Rückläufiges Wörterbuch der griechischen Eigennamen 233.

#### 3946. Fragment of Contract

53 1B.26(D)/B(2)a

13 × 10 cm

18 November 606

Cf. 3943 introd. for the general context.

There is a sheet-join running vertically about 2.5 cm from the left edge. The sheet on the left was attached with its fibres vertical on the contract side, so that each line of the document begins with one or two letters written across the fibres of the left hand sheet and continues along the fibres of the right hand sheet. This indicates that the left sheet was the first one in the original roll, the so-called protocollon, see E. G. Turner, The Terms Recto and Verso (Pap. Brux. 16) 20–21. In confirmation of this there are scanty remains of the large, thick, stylized, usually indecipherable script in which late Byzantine protocols were written, cf. 3958 introd. and 1–3 n. However, 3958 is written across the fibres of the recto parallel with the short sides of the roll and parallel with the protocol writing, while 3946 is written parallel with the long sides of the roll in the classical manner and at right angles to the protocol writing.

- ἐν ὀνόματι τῆς ἀχράντου καὶ ὁμοουςίου τριάδος πατρὸς καὶ υἰοῦ καὶ ἀγίου πνεύματο `ς΄.
 βαςιλείας τοῦ θειοτάτου καὶ εὐςεβ(εςτάτου) ἡμῶν δεςπό(του) μεγίςτου εὐεργέτου Φλ(αουΐου) Φωκᾶ τοῦ αἰωνίου Αγούςτου καὶ Αὐτοκράτορος ἔτους ε⁻, Αθὸρ κβ⁻, ἰνδ(ικτίωνος) ι΄΄.
 Φλαουΐῳ Απίω[ν]ι τῷ πανευφήμω καὶ ὑπερφυες[τά]τῳ ἀπὸ ὑπάτω` ν΄ καὶ πατρικίῳ γεου[χοῦ]ντι καὶ ἐνταῦθα τῆ λαμπρῷ 'Ο[ξυρυγ]χ(ιτῶν) πόλει διὰ Μη[ν]ᾶ οἰ[κέτου] τοῦ [ἐπερ(ωτῶντος)] καὶ [προς]πο[ρί]ζοντ[ος τ[ῷ κτλ.

Back, downwards along the fibres:

(m. ι?) + γρ(αμματεῖον) προχρ(είας) Βίκτο[ρος ...

2  $\bar{u}iou$  3  $\epsilon uce \beta$  4  $\delta \epsilon c \pi^0$ ,  $\phi \lambda$  5 1.  $A \dot{v} \gamma o \dot{v} \epsilon \tau o v$  6  $\bar{\epsilon} a \theta u \rho \bar{\kappa} \beta \dot{u} \delta / \bar{\iota}''$  8  $u \pi \epsilon \rho \phi u \epsilon (\tau a) \tau o$  10 o  $(\xi u \rho u \gamma) \chi$  13  $\gamma \rho / \pi \rho o \chi \rho$ 

'In the name of the immaculate and consubstantial trinity, father and son and holy spirit. In the reign of our most godly and most pious master, greatest benefactor, Flavius Phocas, the eternal Augustus and Imperator, year 5, Hathyr 22, indiction 10.

To Flavius Apion, the most renowned and most extraordinary consular and patrician, landowner here also in the splendid city of the Oxyrhynchites, through Menas, slave, putting the formal question and providing for a second consultance.

Back. (1st hand?) 'Deed for an advance to Victor(?) ...'

1-2 Cf. 3940 1-2 n.

5 Άγούςτου for Αὐγούςτου is a well known phonetic spelling, see F. T. Gignac, Grammar i 226-8, csp.

13 Βίκτο[ρος. Βικτω[ρίνου is conceivable, but not likely.

#### 3947. Fragment of Contract

53 1B.26(D)/B(10)a

10

13 × 8.5 cm

28 October-26 November 606

This item is in the same hand as **3945** and its date, although the day number does not survive, must be within about two weeks of those in **3943–6**. These facts encourage the supposition that the document belongs to that group of contracts for advances, even though the word  $\pi\rho\sigma\chi\rho(\epsilon\ell\alpha\epsilon)$  is absent from its endorsement, see **3943** introd.

There is a sheet-join running vertically just at the middle of the fragment, showing that the contract is on the recto of the roll from which the piece was cut.

+ ἐν ὀνόματι τῆς ἀχράντου καὶ ὁμοουςί(ου)
τριάδος πατρὸς καὶ υἱοῦ καὶ ἀγίου
πνεύμ(ατος). βαςιλείας τοῦ θειοτάτου καὶ
εὐςεβ(εςτάτου) ἡμῶν δεςπό(του) μεγίςτου εὐεργέ(του)
Φλ(αουΐου) Φ[ωκ]ᾶ τοῦ αἰωνίου [Αὐ]γούςτου καὶ Αὐτοκρ(άτορος)
(νας.) ἔτου[ς] ε, Αθὺ[ρ 1-2], ἰνδ(ικτίωνος) ι -.
Φλ(αουΐω) Απίωνι [τῷ] πανε[υφήμ]ω κα[ὶ] ὑπερφ[(υεςτάτω)
ἀπὸ ὑπάτ[ων καὶ πατρικίω γεουχοῦντι
καὶ ἐντ[αῦθα
..].[

Back, downwards along the fibres:

(m. 1?)  $+ \gamma \rho (\alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} o \nu) A_{\bullet} [\dots] v \hat{\imath} o \hat{\imath} A \pi \alpha [$ 

Ι ομοουςι<br/>/ 3 πνευμ $\zeta$  4 ευτεβ $\zeta$ , δετή $\zeta$ , ευτργε $\zeta$  5 φλ $\zeta$ , αυτοκρ<br/>/ 6  $\ddot{u}$ δ $)\ddot{t}$  7 φλ $\zeta$  11 γρ $/\zeta$  v rewritten

'In the name of the immaculate and consubstantial trinity, father and son and holy spirit. In the reign of our most godly and most pious master, greatest benefactor, Flavius Phocas, the eternal Augustus and Imperator, year 5, Hathyr ..., indiction 10.'

'To Flavius Apion, the most renowned and most extraordinary consular and patrician, landowner here

Back. (1st hand?) 'Deed of ... son of ...'

1-2 Cf. 3940 1-2 n.

9-10 The wording is well known, cf. e.g. 3950 4-5, but the extent of abbreviation in the writing of it is variable and the trace in 10 is not distinctive.

# 3948. Fragment of Contract

97/10(f)

13.5 × 10 cm

9 June 609

This is the last dated document of the reign of Phocas to mention his name, although in SB XII 10798 we seem to have a date of 11 June 609 which implies the continuance of his rule. His name is not mentioned there and at first sight the consular year figure goes against our ideas of a strict reckoning, but 3948 has the correct regnal year and seems to agree with SB 10798 on the figure for the consulship, see 5–6 n. and 3933 2–3 n., where it is item 12 of the list. After these we have no more dated documents till 27 February 610 (Stud. Pal. XX 209 = SB I 5270), by which time the intitulatio is omitted, indicating that the rule of Phocas was no longer acknowledged, see Z. Borkowski, *Inscriptions des factions* 133–4. Heraclius was not enthroned till 5 October 610, see 3949 introd.

The document is addressed to a comes, but the damage is so great that nothing can be said about the nature of the contract. There seems to be a sheet-join about 3.5 cm from the left edge, but this may be an illusion induced by the abrasion. On the back there are a few faint and scattered traces of an endorsement running downwards in the usual position.

 $\div$  ε  $^{\dagger}$   $^{\dagger}$  ν όνόματι $^{\dagger}$  της άγίας  $^{\dagger}$   $^{\dagger}$   $^{\dagger}$  χράντου καὶ  $^{\dagger}$   $^{\dagger}$ 

 $2 \cos^2 4 \phi M^2 = \frac{1}{5} \overline{V} \overline{v} \pi a \tau u c; 1. \dot{v} \pi a \tau \epsilon (ac 6 \frac{3}{5} \pi a \ddot{v} v u \frac{1}{6} \ddot{v} \delta / |u\bar{\beta}| 8 \ddot{v} u u^2$ 

'In the name of the holy, immaculate, and consubstantial trinity, father and son and holy spirit. In the reign of our most godly and most pious master, greatest benefactor, Flavius Phocas, the eternal Augustus and Imperator, year 7, in the consulship of our same most pious master year 6, Payni 15, indiction 12.

'To Flavius ..., uir spectabilis, comes (and? ...), son of ... of splendid memory ...

1-2 Cf. 3941 1-3 n. for the formula.

2  $\beta a c i d \langle i \rangle \epsilon / \alpha \epsilon$ . The end has been rewritten, perhaps with  $-\epsilon_i \alpha \epsilon$  over  $-\alpha \epsilon$ , in a bungled attempt to correct  $-\epsilon \alpha \epsilon$  to  $-\epsilon_i \alpha \epsilon$ .

5–6 Regnal year 7 should be 25 November 608 to 24 November 609; indiction 12 is 29 August 608 to 28 August 609, leaving no doubt that Payni 15 is 9 June 609 in this case. Phocas took his consulship in 603, the year after his accession (25 November 602). Although he took it in December (!) 603 (Theophanes, Chronographia AM 6096 incip.; ed. J. Classen SHB i 451), by our ideas 609 should be consular year 7. The figure here is abraded, especially the lower part, but in my judgement it is  $\mathfrak{s}=6$ . This agrees with SB XII 10798 (again in my judgement, see 3933  $2 \cdot \mathfrak{g}$ n., item 12 in the list), where Payni 17 of the 12th indiction is ascribed to year 6 of the consulship of 'our same most pious master', unnamed. The only feasible interpretation is that this is 11 June 609 and that the Oxyrhynchite clerks had established a fixed relationship between regnal and consular years to avoid the complications that the different beginnings of the regnal and consular years should have imposed, cf. pp. 61–2. This is what they did in the reign of Maurice, see 3933–3962 General Introduction pp. 52–7, and probably in that of Heraclius, see 3955  $2 \cdot \mathfrak{g}$ n.

7 It is clear that the addressee had the status indication Flavius, appropriate to his title and rank of uir spectabilis and comes, see J. G. Keenan, ZPE 11 (1973) 57-8. In this position it may be either abbreviated

or given in full

8 κα[l introduced his function; see S. Daris, Lessieo latino 59–60, listing a number of combinations. We might expect something on the model of XVI 1970  $\gamma$ –8 Φλαουίω ἄναςτας[ $\ell$ ] $\psi$  τῷ περιβλέπτ $\psi$  κ[όμετ], καὶ τραπεζίτη τοῦ ἐνδόξου οἴκου (AD.554), but I have been unable to confirm even τοῦ ἐνδόξου οἴκου, much less read the traces of the name and the function.

9 λ[α]μ[πρᾶς μνήμης. Cf. I 140 4-5 for another spectabilis comes νίῷ το[ῦ] τῆς λαμπρᾶς μνήμης Μαρτυρίου
 (AD 550).

#### 3949. Fragment of Contract

97/17(a)

7.5 × 12.5 cm

4 June 610

This item is unique as the only document of the interregnum between Phocas and Heraclius to bear an invocation of Christ, which is the form first introduced by Maurice in AD 591 and the one to which Lower Egypt returned under Heraclius, see above 3933-3962 General Introduction. Under Phocas various forms of invocation of the Trinity were used. These are retained in all the other surviving documents of the interregnum, which dispense with the regnal and consular dating clauses of Phocas.

It is difficult to see why the writer here returned to the Christ formula. It is not likely to have been mere whim on his part and it must indicate some antipathy to Phocas, but the exact implications are obscure. This is the earliest Oxyrhynchite document of the interregnum yet recognised. It may be that an initial radical change in the formulas, consisting in the abolition of the regnal and consular clauses as well as the change of invocation, was later modified to retain the Trinity invocation.

The documents which can now be attributed to the interregnum are:

	Julian date	Era	Invoc.	Month and day	Indiction
1. SB I 5270	27.2.610		зC	Phamenoth 3	13
2. 3949	4.6.610	286/255	I	Payni 10	13
3. 3950	25.6.610	none	2C	Epeiph 1	13
4. 3951	21.8.610	none	2C	Mesore 28	13, 14 incip.
5. 3952	before 29.8.610	286/255	?C	[lost]	13
6. <b>3953</b>	23.9.610	286/255?!	2C	Thoth 26	14

Heraclius makes his first well dated appearance in Egyptian dating formulas on 12 February 611 (3954), having been enthroned on 5 October 610 (Chron. Pasch. ed. L. Dindorf, SHB i p. 700, with E. Stein, Mélanges ... Bidez 890–1 n. 2). The slight problem, treated by Stein, is that the date given by Chron. Pasch. 700. 14 is 6 October, but this is said to be a Monday, both here  $(\dot{\eta}\mu\epsilon\rho\alpha\epsilon\beta')$  and later, 701. 11  $(a\dot{\nu}\tau\hat{\eta}\epsilon\tau\hat{\eta}\epsilon\delta\epsilon\nu\tau\epsilon\rho\alpha\epsilon\tau\hat{\eta}\mu\epsilon\rho\alpha\epsilon)$ , and Monday was the fifth. That the figure 6,  $\epsilon$ , is just a mistake for 5,  $\epsilon$ , is indicated by the preceding narrative. Heraclius' fleet appeared off Constantinople on 3 October, a Saturday  $(\dot{\eta}\mu\epsilon\rho\alpha\xi')$ , 699.21); the next day was a Sunday  $(\tau\hat{\eta}\epsilon\xi\hat{\eta}\epsilon\eta\epsilon\eta)$ ,  $\tau$ 00. 3–4). These equivalences are correct according to the table for calculating the days of the week given by V. Grumel, La Chronologie 316, so that we can accept that the coronation was on a Monday, but not that Monday was the sixth.

The contract is written along the fibres of the recto, as is shown by a sheet-join running vertically very close to the right edge.

+ ἐν ὀνόματι τοῦ κυρίου καὶ δεςπότου Ἰηςοῦ Χριςτοῦ τ]οῦ θεοῦ καὶ ςωτῆρος ἡμῶν. Παῦνι ι -, ἰνδ(ικτίωνος) ιγ,

(νας.) (ἔτους) ςπ̄ς ςν̄ε. (νας.) τῷ αἰδεςίμῳ Ἐνὼχ ρίπαρίῳ, λογιςτῆ καὶ βοηθοῦ τοῦ λουτροῦ υίῷ τοῦ μακαρίου

Γεωργίου ἀπὸ τῆς Ὁξυργχ(ιτῶν) πόλ(εως). Αὐρήλιος Ἀνοῦπ υίὸς Παμουθίου

 $= \bar{u}v\delta //$  5  $\angle c\bar{\pi}sc\bar{v}\epsilon$  8  $\delta$  8  $\delta$  8  $\delta$  9  $\delta$  9  $\delta$  11  $\delta$  12  $\delta$  12  $\delta$  12  $\delta$  12  $\delta$  12  $\delta$  12  $\delta$  13  $\delta$  14  $\delta$  15  $\delta$  15  $\delta$  16  $\delta$  16  $\delta$  17  $\delta$  18  $\delta$  18  $\delta$  18  $\delta$  18  $\delta$  19  $\delta$  10  $\delta$ 

μητρὸς Ἡραΐδος ἀπὸ
ἐπ[ο|ικίου Φατεμῆντ
τοῦ Ὁξυ]ρψγχ(ίτου) νομοῦ
c. 12 letters].[

Back, downwards along the fibres:

(m. i?)  $+ \gamma \rho(\alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon \hat{i} o \nu) A \nu \hat{i} o \hat{\nu} \pi \nu \hat{i} o \hat{\nu} \Pi \alpha [\mu o \nu \theta \hat{i} o \nu \dots$ 

13 ηραϊδος

15 οξυ]ρυγχς

17 γρ/, υϊου

'In the name of the lord and master Jesus Christ, our god and saviour. Payni 10, indiction 13, year 286/255.'

<sup>4</sup>To the worshipful Enoch, riparius, curator and assistant of the bath, son of the late George, from the city of the Oxyrhynchites. 1, Aurelius Anup son of Pamuthius, mother Herais, from the hamlet of Phatement of the Oxyrhynchite nome...

Back. (1st hand?) 'Deed of Anup son of Pamuthius ...'

1-4 Invocation formula 1, see R. S. Bagnall, K. A. Worp, CE 56 (1081) 113.

4-5 Payni 10 = 4 June (R. S. Bagnall, K. A. Worp, The Chronological Systems of Byzantine Egypt 100); indiction 13, era year 286/255 = 609/610 (ibid. 92, cf. 36-42).

6 alδεcίμω. Cf. 3935 6 n.

14. Φατεμήρτ. See P. Pruneti, *I centri abitati* 213. The end is too damaged to be sure whether there was any sign of abbreviation or not.

# 3950. Fragment of Contract

53 1B.26(D)/A(10)a

17.5 × 10 cm

25 June 610

For the place of 3950 among the documents of the interregnum between Phocas and Heraclius see 3949 introd.

The contract is written along the fibres of the recto. There are two sheet-joins, one c. 4.5 cm from the left edge, the other c. 1.5 cm from the right edge. The central sheet is c. 11.5 cm wide, not counting the strip, perhaps c. 2 cm wide, hidden under the left hand join.

	+ ἐν ὀνόματι τῆς ἀχράντου καὶ ὁμοουςίου τριάδος πατρὸς καὶ υίοῦ καὶ ἁγίου πνεύμ(ατος). Ἐπεὶφ α, ἰνδ(ικτίωνος) ιγ.
	$\Phi \lambda (aoυ \mathring{\iota} \omega)  A \pi \mathring{\iota} \omega \nu \imath  \tau \mathring{\omega}  \pi a \nu \epsilon \upsilon \phi \mathring{\eta} \mu \omega  \kappa a \mathring{\iota}  \mathring{\upsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho \phi (\upsilon \epsilon c \tau \acute{a} \tau \omega)  \mathring{a} \pi \mathring{o}  \mathring{\upsilon} \pi \acute{a} \tau \omega \nu  \kappa a \mathring{\iota}$
	πατρικίω γεουχοῦντι καὶ ἐνταῦθα τῆ λαμπρᾶ Ὀξυρ(υγχιτῶν) πόλ(ει)
5	δι{ι}ὰ Μηνᾶ οἰκέτου τοῦ ἐπερ(ωτῶντος) καὶ προςπορίζ(οντος) τῷ ἰδίῳ
	$\delta\epsilon\epsilon\pi(\delta au\eta)$
	τῷ αὐτῷ πανευφ(ήμῳ) ἀνδρὶ τὴν ἀγωγὴν καὶ ἐνοχήν.
	Αὐρήλιος Πέτρος υίὸς Ἰωςὴφ μητρὸς Εἰρήνης ἀπὸ ἐποικ(ίου)
	Νεκόνθεω[c τ]οῦ Ὁξυρυγχ(ίτου) ν[ο]μοῦ διαφέ[ρο]ντος τἢ ὑμετέρ(ᾳ)
	[][.][][]
	Back, downwards along the fibres:
0 1	(m. ι?) + χειρογρα[φία

(m. 1?) + χειρογρα[φία ...

2 ἀγιού: dot accidental?; πνευμ $\vec{\zeta}$ ,  $\vec{w}$ θ $\vec{l}$  $\vec{v}$   $\vec{v$ 

'In the name of the immaculate and consubstantial trinity, father and son and holy spirit. Epeiph 1, adiction 13.'

'To Flavius Apion the most renowned and most extraordinary consular and patrician, landowner here also in the splendid city of the Oxyrhynchites, through Menas, slave, putting the formal question and supplying for his own master, the same most renowned man, the conduct of and responsibility (for the transaction). I, Aurelius Peter son of Joseph, mother Irenc, from the hamlet of Necontheos of the Oxyrhynchite nome belonging to your ...'

Back. (1st hand?) 'Cheirograph ...'

1-2 Invocation form 2C, cf. 3940 1-2 n.

3-4 Cf. 3939 4-5 n. for Flavius Apion III.

5-6 Cf. **3935** 7 n. for Menas.

8 Νεκόνθεω[c (= Νεκώνθεως). Cf. P. Pruncti, I centri abitati 113–114.

g As the first word we expect  $\vartheta \pi \epsilon \rho \psi \nu i q$ , cf. e.g. XVI 1896 13, 1979 10, 1988 15, 1990 15. The initial traces do not seem to conform exactly with what we see in  $\vartheta \pi \epsilon \rho \phi (\nu \epsilon \epsilon \tau \delta \tau \phi)$  in 3, but there is perhaps a diaeresis present, which suggests that this word is to be accepted.

10 χειρογρα[φία. Although the edge is very discoloured, there is no sign of abbreviation, as in XVI 1984 10, χειρογρ(αφία) κτλ., but cf. 1987 33 χειρογραφ(ία), and 3951 7.

## 3951. FRAGMENT OF CONTRACT

53 1B.26(D)/B(4)a

 $18.5 \times 9$  cm

21 August 610

For the place of 3951 among the documents of the interregnum between Phocas and Heraclius see 3949 introd.

The contract is written along the fibres of the recto. There are two sheet-joins, one c. 4.5 cm from the broken left edge, the other c. 2 cm from the right edge, which

3952. STEWARD'S WORK CONTRACT

is intact. The central sheet is c. 12 cm wide, not counting the strip, perhaps c. 2 cm wide, hidden under the left hand join. The original roll must have been very like the one which produced **3950**, although the joins seem less carefully made. The formats of the documents differ; **3951** must have been wider than **3950** by perhaps 5 cm.

+ ἐγ ὀνόματι] τῆς ἀχράντου καὶ ὁμοους (ου τριάδος πατρὸς καὶ νίοῦ καὶ ἀγίου (vac.) ] πνεύματος. Μεςορὴ κη⁻, ἐνδ(ικτίωνος) ιγ, ἀρχ(ῆ) ιδ⁻. (vac.) Φλ(αουτω) Απίω]νι τῷ πανευφήμω καὶ ὑπερφυες τάτω ἀπὸ ὑπάτων καὶ πατ]ρικίω γεουχοῦντι καὶ ἐνταῦθα τῆ λαμπρῷ ᾿Οξυρυγχ(ιτῶν) πόλει δι]ὰ Μηνᾶ οἰκέτ[ο] ν τοῦ ἐπερωτῶντος καὶ προςπορίζοντος τῷ ἰδί]ω δεςπότη τ[ῷ] αὐτῷ πανευφήμω ἀνδρὶ τὴν ἀγωγὴν

Back, downwards along the fibres: (m. 1?)  $+ \chi[\epsilon]\iota\rho[\sigma\gamma\rho\alpha]\phi(i\alpha)[...$ 

'In the name of the immaculate and consubstantial trinity, father and son and holy spirit. Mesore 28, indiction 13, beginning of 14.'

'To Flavius Apion the most renowned and most extraordinary consular and patrician, landowner here also in the splendid city of the Oxyrhynchites, through Menas, slave, putting the formal question and supplying for his own, master, the same most renowned man, the conduct ...'

Back. (1st hand?) 'Cheirograph ...'

2 ἀρχ(ĝ). See R. S. Bagnall, K. A. Worp, The Chronological Systems 17-19, 55-62.

7 The remains are of the feet of letters on the broken edge, the left edge viewed from the front.

#### 3952, Steward's Work Contract

53 1B.26(F)/B(6)-(9)

16.5 × 135 cm

before 29 August 610

A similar contract, I 136 (=W. Chr. 383), of 24 May 583, almost perfectly preserved, is of great help in the understanding of this much damaged specimen, but the general similarity leaves many particular problems in restoring the wording unsolved. Such restorations as are printed should be treated with caution and regarded as aids to the presentation.

The end of line 1 shows part of a Trinity invocation, the end of 2 an era date, 286/255 = AD 609/10. The era date reappears in the last line alongside the notarial signature and the number 13, which is that of the indiction of 609/10, see 59 n. This is reinforced by a reference to the coming fourteenth indiction in line 16. Lines 3-6 contained an address to Flavius Apion III through Menas, all in a standard form of

words which gives a good idea of how much is missing at the left. We can see, therefore, that this is a document of the interregnum between Phocas and Heraclius dating between 29 August 609 and 28 August 610, without an *intitulatio*, dated by a month and day now lost and by indiction and era. From **136** we can see that it was a contract for a steward of a portion of the Apion rural estates for one year, beginning after the receipt of the taxes due in summer 610, which are attributed to the coming fourteenth indiction according to the usual practice, see R. S. Bagnall, K. A. Worp, *The Chronological Systems* 17–29, esp. 26.

An extra point of interest is the occupation of the guarantor, described as γραμματοδιδάςκαλος τοῦ Νοτίνου Cyoλ(ε)ίου, see II n.

Like 136, and many other long Byzantine documents from the fifth century on, this one is set out to be read with the roll opening in the vertical direction instead of being held in the classical horizontal position, see E. G. Turner, The Terms Recto and Verso (Pap. Brux. 16) 26–53, esp. 47–50 on the late period. The text is written across the fibres of the recto. There are seven sheet-joins which overlap downwards. The width of the observable sheets is fairly regular at c. 21–22 cm, with c. 3.5 cm above the first join and c. 2 cm below the last. The 'height' of the roll, that is the width of this document, would probably have been about 32 or 33 cm, cf. e.g. I 135–138, but the greatest width preserved is c. 16.5 cm. Only the ends of lines survive, with parts of the top and bottom margins. The broken left edge is rather irregular and the papyrus is extremely brittle and in places badly discoloured. On the back near the top written horizontally along the fibres of the verso are the beginnings of three or four lines of an endorsement very much damaged and faded.

+ ἐν ὀνόματι τῆς (ἀγίας?) ἀχράντου καὶ ὁμοουςίου τριάδος πατρὸς κα]ὶ υίοῦ καὶ ἀγίου

πνεύματος. (month, day, indiction 13) ἔτο]υς ςπς ενε .

Φλαουτω Άπίωνι τῷ πανευφήμω καὶ ὑπερφυε]ςτ[άτω] ἀπὸ ὑπάτων καὶ πατρικίω γεουχοῦντι καὶ ἐνταῦθα τῆ λαμπ]ρὰ 'Οξυρυγχιτῶν πόλει διὰ Μηνᾶ οἰκέτου τοῦ ἐπερωτῶντος καὶ προςπορί]ζοντος τῷ ἰδίω δεςπότη τῷ αὐτῷ πανευφήμω ἀνδρὶ τὴν ἀγωγὴν καὶ ἐ]νοχήν, ἐγὼ Φοιβάμμων πρε(ςβύτερος) τῆς ἀγίας ἐκκληςίας(?) υίὸς τοῦ μακα]ρίου Φίβ, μετ' ἐγνυητοῦ

τοῦ καὶ ἀναδεχομένου αὐτὸν εἰς ἣν ποι]εῖται ὑποδοχὴν τῆς καταπιστευομένης αὐτῷ προνοηςίας τῶν ἑξῆς δηλουμένων] κτημάτων καὶ ἀπόδοςιν τῶν
c. 35 letters ] κινδύνῳ αὐτοῦ καὶ τῆς αὐτοῦ

ύποςτάςεως c. 15 letters γραμματοδι]δαςκάλου τοῦ Νοτίνου Cχολίου

Ι Ινών ο ενέ ο υπητών 5 ίδιω 8 υποδογην 11 Ι. Οχολείου

35

DOCUMENTS OF THE LATE BYZANTINE PERIOD υίοῦ τοῦ μακαρίου Ἰούςτου ἀμφότερ |οι έξης ὑπογράφοντες ἰδίοις γράμμαςιν, δρμώμενοι ἀπὸ τῆς αὐτῆς Ὀξ |υρυγχιτῶν πόλεως χαίρειν. δμολονῶ έκουςία γνώμη καὶ αὐθαιρέτω προαιρές ει] συντεθεῖς θαί με πρὸς τὸν ἔνδοξον ύμῶν οἶκον διὰ τῶν ὑμῖν διαφερόντων] ἐπὶ ἔνα ἐνιαυτὸν λογιζόμ (ενον) ἀπὸ τῆς τριςκαιδεκάτης ἐνδ(ικτίωνος), καρπῶν τῆς ς ζὺν θεῷ τεςςαραςκαιδεκάτης ἐπινεμ(ήςεως), ἐπὶ τῷ μὲ τὴν χώραν τοῦ προνοητοῦ ἤτοι ἡ ποδέκτου παρὰ τῶ αὐτῶ ένδόξ(ω) οἴκω  $d\pi o\pi \lambda \eta \rho \hat{\omega} cai$  c. 25 letters ] [ ] Πτολέμας καὶ Τανταπῆ c. 35 letters Τις έξωτικών τόπων τῶν διαφερόντων τῆ ὑμῶν ὑπ]ερφυεία καὶ κατὰ τὸ παρεχόμενόν μοι ἀπαιτήςιμον παρὰ τῶν χαρτουλαρίων τοῦ αὐτοῦ ἐνδόξου οἴκου τὴν μεθοδίαν τρέψαι κατά τῶν ὑπευθύνων γεωργῶν] κ[αὶ] πάντα εἰςπράξαι καὶ καταβαλεῖν έπὶ τὴν ὑμῶν ὑπερφυείαν ἀκολούθως το ]ῖς ἐνταγίοις τοῖς παρεχομένοις  $\pi \alpha \rho' \dot{\epsilon} \mu o \hat{v}$  c. 20 letters  $\kappa \tau \eta \mu \alpha \tau i \kappa o \hat{i} c \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \hat{i}$  ο ίοις δήποτε c. 20 letters καὶ μετὰ τὴν γι]νομένην παρ' ἐμοῦ ςπουδὴν καὶ ἣν ἐνδείκνυμι μεθοδίαν περὶ τὴν εἴcπραξιν, εἰ] δὲ [c]υμβῆ ἔχθεςιν γενέςθαι ἐν μόνοις τοῖς κτήμαςιν, ἐμὲ ταύτην ἀποςυμβιβάςα]ι, τὸν δὲ γεουχικὸν λόγον ταύτην έαυτῷ καταλογίτατθαι ἐν τοῖς ἐμοῖς] λόγοις ἐν τῷ καιρῷ ἐν ῷ μέλλω ] ..., τὰ δὲ ἐξωτικὰ τῆς αὐτῆς προνοηςίας έμε είς πλήρες λημματίςαι και είςπράξαι] και είςενεγκαι τῷ γεουχικῷ λόγω. προςομολογώ δὲ λημματίς αι τῷ εἰρημέν] ω γεουχικῶ λόγω ύπὲρ παραμυθίας τοῦ παραλημπτικο δι μέτρου τῶν ἀρταβῶν ἑκατὸν άρτάβας η τὰ δὲ η νομίςματα ] Άλεξανδρείας καὶ τὸ χορτόςπερμον τὰ παρεχόμενα ὑπὲρ παραμυθίας τῆς αὐτῆς π]ρονοηςίας ἐμὲ ληματίςαι πρὸς τὸ ἔθος

c. 30 letters ]ε [ ] τὸν ἐμὸν μιςθὸν ἤτοι οψώνιον c. 20 letters ] της αὐτης προνοηςίας καθώς c. 25 letters δώ ] τω δὲ τοὺς λόγους πάςης τῆς ἐμῆς ύποδοχῆς c. 15 letters τοῦ τ] ε λήματος καὶ ἀναλώματος καὶ τὰ ἀπὸ τῶν λογοθεςιῶν ἀποπλ]ηρώςω δίχα τινὸς ὑπερθέςεως. προςομολογώ δὲ κάγώ 10-12 ] ος γραμματοδιδάςκαλος ἐγγυητής

έγγυᾶςθαι καὶ ἀναδέχεςθαι τὸν προγεγ] ραμμένον θαυμαςιώτατον Φοιβάμμωνα προνοητήν έν τούτω τῷ cuν αλλάγματι καὶ εἰ λοιπαδάριν φανείη ἐν τοῖς αὐτοῦ ἐνταγίοις | ἐμὲ οἰκόθεν καὶ ἐξ ἰδίων ἀποδοῦναι καὶ ἀποπληρώς αι τὸν αὐτὸν ἔνδοξον οἶκο]ν, ἀποταττόμενος τῆ νεαρά

διατάξει ] κατὰ πρώτην τάξιν καὶ εἶθ' οὕτως c. 35 letters 45 ενέχες θαι διδοῦντα ύπερ αὐτοῦ c. 30 letters ύποθ ξικνοι αμφότεροι είς τὸ δίκαιον τούτου c. 25 letters τοῦ ευναλλάγματος πάντα ἡμῶν τὰ ὑπάρ]χοντα καὶ ὑπάρξοντα ἰδικῶς καὶ γενικῶς ἐνεχύρου λόγω καὶ ὑποθήκης δι]καίω. κύριον <τὸ> ςυνάλλαγμα διςςον γραφέν καὶ ἐπερωτηθέντες ώ]μολογήςαμεν. + (m. 2) Φοιβάμμων πρε(εβύτερος) υίὸς τοῦ μακαρίου Φὶβ πεποίημαι τοῦτο] τὸ cυνάλλαγμα τῆς προνοηςίας . . . καὶ ἀποδώςω τοὺς λόγους μου καὶ τὰ ἀποc. 30 letters ώς πρόκ(ειται). ὑπογράφ(ων) χειρεὶ ἐμῆ c. 30 letters  $\dot{a}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\eta\epsilon a. +$ (m. 3) c. 15 letters γραμματοδιδάς καλος υίδς τοῦ μακαρίου Ἰούςτου ό προγεγραμμένος έγγυῶμαι κ]αὶ ἀναδέχομαι Φοιβάμμωνα πρε(ςβύτερον) καὶ προνοητὴν ἐν τούτῳ τῷ ςυναλλ]άγματι κινδύνῳ ἐμῷ καὶ ύποςτάς εως μου ἀπάςης καὶ ὑ πέγραψα χειρὶ ἐμοι καὶ ἀπέληςα. +  $+\delta i' \dot{\epsilon} \mu o \hat{v} \dots \epsilon v \mu \beta o \lambda \alpha i ] o \gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \phi(o v) \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \dot{\omega} \theta \eta$  (vac.) Back, downwards along the fibres: (m. ι?) [+ ευν]άλλ(αγμα) Φ[οιβάμμωνος ... Νίκης καὶ [... *Cερήνου τ θ* [...

12 ϋπογραφοντεςίδιοις 15 λογιζομ diaeresis belongs to upsilon but is partly vis			17 υ]ποδεκτου (the Ι. ἔκθεςιν 28 ώ
30 ειςενεγ'και 34 Ι. λημματίςαι	38 Ι. λήμματος	39 ψπερθεςεως	42 Ι. λοιπαδάριον
43 ϊδιων 44 αποτατ'τομενος	46 υπερ .	48 υπαρξονταϊδικως	50 πρ/ <sup>€</sup> υϊος
53 προκ/υπογραφ/; Ι. χειρί, ἀπέλυςα	54 υϊος	57 Ι ἐμῆ, ἀπέλυςα	58 ]ογραφ/
6ο τυν αλλί?			

<sup>&#</sup>x27;In the name of the (holy?) immaculate and consubstantial trinity, father and son and holy spirit. (Month, day, indiction 13), year 286/255.

To Flavius Apion the most renowned and most extraordinary consular and patrician, landowner here also in the splendid city of the Oxyrhynchites, through Menas, slave, putting the formal question and supplying for his own master, the same most renowned man, the conduct of and responsibility (for the transaction), I, Phoebammon, priest (of the holy church?), son of the late Phib, with as guaranter, who accepts responsibility for him (me!) for the work which he does (I do!) as receiver for the stewardship entrusted to him (me!) of the holdings specified below and for the delivery of ... at his own risk and that of his property ..., teacher of the South School, son of the late Justus, both subscribing below in their own handwriting, originating from the same city of the Oxyrhynchites, greeting. I acknowledge by willing resolve and voluntary choice that I have come to an agreement with your glorious household through the persons who belong to you for one year reckoning from the thirteenth indiction, for crops of the fourteenth— God willing!-indiction, on condition that I fill the place of the steward or receiver to the same glorious household for ... Ptolemas and Tantape(?) ... and places outside which belong to your excellency and in accordance with the list of exactions delivered to me by the secretaries of the same glorious household carry out the proper procedure upon the tenant farmers who are liable and that I make all exactions and pay to your excellency according to the vouchers issued by me ... (to the tenants) of the holdings and to whichever ... and, after the care taken by me and the proper procedure which I demonstrate in the exaction, if it comes about that there are arrears in the holdings only, that I make these good, and that the landlord's account credit them to itself in my accounts at the time when I am about to ..., but the outside (revenues) of the same stewardship I am to credit in full and exact and deliver to the landlord's account. And I agree further to credit to the said landlord's account in respect of the consideration for the receiving measure, for every one hundred artabas, n artabas. And the n solidi on the Alexandrian standard and the hayseed provided in respect of the consideration for the same stewardship I am to credit according to the custom ... my wages or salary ... of the same stewardship just as ... And I shall deliver the accounts of all my receivership ... both receipts and expenses, and what remains from the audit of account I shall pay in full without any delay. I, too, ..., teacher, guarantor, acknowledge in addition that I guarantee and take responsibility for the aforewritten most admirable Phoebammon as steward in this contract and if a deficit appears in his vouchers I am to deliver it from my household and private resources and pay the same glorious household in full, waiving the claim to the new edict ... in(?) the first rank and then thus ... to be obliged to give on his behalf ... both of us pledging to the right of this contract all our possessions now and in future in particular and in general by way of pledge and by right of mortgage. The contract, written in two copies, is binding, and in answer to the formal question we gave our consent.'

(2nd hand) 'I, Phoebammon, priest, son of the late Phib, have concluded this contract for the stewardship of ... (Ptolemas and Tantape) ... and I shall render my accounts and the ... as is aforesaid. Subscribing in my own handwriting I delivered (this contract).'

(3rd hand) 'I, ..., teacher, son of the late Justus, the aforewritten, guarantee and undertake responsibility for Phoebammon, priest, as steward in this contract at my own risk and that of all my property, and I subscribed in my own handwriting and delivered (this contract),'

(4th hand) 'Through me, ..., contract writer, the agreement was completed.' 'Through me, ..., the agreement was completed.... (indiction) 13, (year) 286/255.'

Back. 'Contract of Phoebammon ... Nikes ... Serenu ...'

- I The invocation is clearly one of the Trinity. The form 2C, without dylac, is statistically more likely, see the table in 3949 introd. para. 3. The other possibility is the unclassified formula including aviac, see 3941 1-3 n. The restoration of 2C would fill the gap with 47 letters, the alternative with 52 letters. Lines 3-6, where the wording is standard, are restored with 34, 37, 39, and 33 letters; this argument tends very slightly in favour of 2C. These formulas usually occupy less space than the number of letters would suggest.
- 2 Year 286/255 = 609/610, cf. 59. On Oxyrhynchite eras see R. S. Bagnall, K. A. Worp, The Chronological Systems 36-42.
  - 3-4 Cf. 3939 4-5 n. for Flavius Apion III.
  - 5-6 Cf. 3935 7 n. for Menas.
- 7 For πρε(εβύτεροε) see 50. In I 136 7 the steward is a διάκονος and this word is followed by τῆς ἀχίας έκκληςίας, which may also be the case here.
- 7-11 The wording from μετ' ἐγγυητοῦ to κτημάτων is the same as in 136 8-9, where there follows only καὶ τῶν ἐξωτικῶν τόπων (cf. 19 here) ἐμοῦ before the name of the guarantor. There is no reference there to the guarantor's risk, which reappears here in his subscription (56). In 8 ποι είται seems unavoidable in spite

of the damage, although  $\pi o \iota o \hat{\nu} \mu a \iota$ , with  $\mu \epsilon$  and  $\mu o \iota$  in place of  $a \dot{\nu} r \hat{\sigma} \nu$  (8) and  $a \dot{\nu} r \hat{\phi}$  (9) would be expected. In 136 the guarantor's name is preceded by ἐμοῦ, which seems unacceptable here because the guarantor is referred to as aυτοῦ twice in 10. The name seems to have occupied space for about fifteen letters here and in lines 40 and 54. Part of this may have been the status indication Aurelius (8 letters).

11 γραμματοδι δαςκάλου τοῦ Νοτίνου ζχολίου (= ζχολείου), cf. 40, 54. The phonetic spelling of the guarantor's autograph subscription (57),  $\hat{\upsilon}$ ]πέγραψα χειρί έμοι καὶ ἀπέληςα (Ι. ἐμŷ, ἀπέλυςα), seems to suggest that he was an elementary schoolteacher. Note Theophanes, Chronographia AM 5794 (ed. J. Classen, SHB i 12-13), on Theotecnus, a pagan adviser of Galerius: τὰ δὲ ὑπομνήματα τοῦ εωτῆρος ἐπλάεατο καὶ πανταχόςε εφ' ύβρει ἀπέςτειλε, καὶ τοῖς γραμματοδιδαςκάλοις τοὺς παίδας διδάςκειν προςέταζεν, ὡς διαγελάςθαι τὸ καθ'

ήμας μυστήριον παρασκευάζων. Cf. Eusebius, HE 9. 5. 1, using the same term. In the papyri the word γραμματοδιδάςκαλος is rare and occurs mostly in unhelpful contexts. SB I 680 (AD 108); a y. built a wall for Leto out of picty; P. Mert. III 113. 8 (II): a bare mention in a private letter; XXIV 2421 48 (early IV): a y. received wheat, barley, and money; Stud. Pal. XX 117. 18 (AD 411): a y. subscribed for an illiterate person. More interesting is SB III 7268, a letter on the back of a document of the reign of Trajan. The address is given in the following form:

> [Π]το[λεμ]αίω είς τὸ Μελανκόμου aπ[ò] Caραπί[ωνος]. γραμματοδιδαςκαλ(είον)

I suspect that we should read γραμματοδιδακάλ(ω) to agree with  $[\Pi]$ το[λεμ]α[ω], cf. III 529 18 ε[α] τὰ Παυτανίου; ΧΙΙ 2980 14 εἰς τὰ Θέωνο(ς) Άπολλω() τραπεζίτου; perhaps also P.IFAO II 11. 5 ἀπώδως Λουκιία μητρί εἰς Τααμ[, where ed. pr. suggests e.g. Τααμ[πεμού, which is possible, but where εἰς τὰ  $A_{\mu}$  [μωνίου vel sim. is perhaps as likely, and X 1293 43 είς Ta  $\pi$ ( ) [ $\tau$ ]οῦ  $A_{\pi o}$ λλωνο $\pi$ (ολίτου), where είς  $\tau a$ followed by a personal name may be suspected. However, the interesting point is that the writer asks for help ἐπεὶ χειμάζομαι προτάςεςί τιςι τιθεμέναις μοι γεομετρικαῖς (l. γεωμ-), 'because I am tempest tossed over some problems in geometry that have been set for me'. Ptolemaeus was competent in mathematics as well as elementary Greek.

The remaining two references are from the second century BC, P. Ryl. IV 572. [10], BGU VI 1214. 4, and show that Egyptian γραμματοδιδάκκαλοι wrote contracts in Egyptian. The well preserved one, BGU 1214, has this wording: A[I] γυπτίων γραμματοδιδαςκάλων των εἰωθότων γράφειν τὰ ευναλλάγματα κατὰ τον

τής χώρας νόμον.

However, the most interesting feature here is the mention of 'the Southern School' in Oxyrhynchus in AD 609/10, especially because it clearly indicates the presence of at least one other school from which this one had to be distinguished. More debatable, perhaps, is the possible implication of the name that it was in some sense a public institution, cf. A. H. M. Jones, The Later Roman Empire ii 997-1004, W. V. Harris, Ancient Literacy 306-12. We might well have been less surprised by a reference to a school named after some particular person at this date, although a δημόσιος γραμματικός is known at Oxyrhynchus in the mid third century, see P. Coll. Youtie II 66. The word εχολείον does not otherwise occur in the papyri.

12-13 For the late Justus see 54. The wording is slightly different in 136 10-11: υίοῦ τοῦ μακαρίου Ίωάννου, έξης ὑπογράφοντες ἰδίοις γράμμαςιν, ἀμφότεροι ὁρμώμενοι ἀπὸ της αὐτης πόλεως, χαίρειν.

14 For the restoration cf. 3958 14-15; 136 11-12 has this phrase too, preceded by ὁμολογῶ ἐνῶ ὁ πρωτότυπος ζερήνος διάκονος.

15-16 The wording of 136 13-14 is much longer: λογιζόμενον ἀπὸ λοιπάδος χρυςικών τῆς δευτέρας ινδ(ικτίωνος) και καρπών και χρυτικών και προτόδων τής τὸν θεῷ δευτέρας ἐπινεμήτεως.

16 τεςςαραςκαιδεκάτης = τεςςαρεςκαιδεκάτης, cf. 3954 32. Cf. F. T. Gignac, Grammar ii 203(2).

18-19 Each of the stewards of the Apion estates had under his control an area taking in several hamlets, cf. LV 3804 introd. (p. 96). This combination is not otherwise known. It is possible that the damaged endorsement gives us the names of two more of the places concerned, Νίκης and Cερήνου, sec 60-62 n.

Both the places here are unknown to P. Pruncti, *I centri abitati*, although there is a place called ἐποίκιον  $\Pi_{\tau}$ ολεμ $\hat{a}$ . A photograph of VI 989 shows that that is the correct form there. The same is definitely implied by the printed version of SB XIV 12130. 33. In XIX 2233 8 Πτολεμα could be Πτολεμά, Πτολέμα c, or Πτολεμα[ίδος.

In 136 15–16 the list of place names is followed by καὶ τῶν ἐξωτικῶν αὐτῶν τόπων τῶν διαφερόντων τ $\hat{y}$ ύμῶν ὑπερφυεία; here perhaps it was καὶ τῶν ἐν αὐτο]ες ἐξωτικῶν τόπων, if that is not a contradiction in terms; or αμα, ἐπί, εὐν αὐτο]ι̂ε?.

3953. FRAGMENT OF CONTRACT

22 From 136 19 we expect  $\epsilon i \epsilon \ \tau \hat{\sigma} \ \pi \hat{\omega} r \alpha \ \epsilon i \epsilon m \hat{\rho} \hat{\alpha} \hat{\omega} \ \kappa \tau \lambda$ , and  $\epsilon [i \epsilon \ \tau \hat{\sigma}]$  is a possible reading in place of  $\kappa [\alpha \hat{\epsilon}]$ , but it leaves an isolated tall riser before  $\epsilon [i \epsilon \ which cannot be the expected nu of <math>\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ . The tall riser and the following diagonal riser together suit kappa.

26 ἔχθεςιν = ἔκθεςιν. The spelling with chi is in fact more frequent in the papyri, sec F. T. Gignac,

28 ἐν τῷ καιρῷ ἐν ῷ μέλλω. No parallel is found in **136** 26, which has nothing between ἐν τοῖc ἐμοῖc λόγοιc and τὰ δὲ ἐξωτικά, cf. 29 here. The clause may refer to the end of the period of office.

31-4 In 136 the wheat premium was fifteen artabas per hundred, perhaps related to the cancellus measure, cf. LV 3804 p. 128. The rest consisted of money only, twelve solidi on the Alexandrian standard. Hayseed is not mentioned. It does appear in the stewards' accounts, cf. 3804 244 n.

35 For the steward's wages or οψώνιον cf. LV 3804 154 n.

38 There is nothing between ὑποδοχῆς and τοῦ τε λήμματος in 136 32. Add here perhaps ήτοι προνοηςίας, cf. 17 προνοητοῦ ήτοι ὑποδέκτου, cf. 136 15.

42 λοιπαδάριν = -άριον, cf. F. T. Gignac, Grammar ii 25-9. This is the normal neuter noun meaning 'arrears'; **136** is unique in its use of λοιπαδάριος as an adjective agreeing with the person (33, 36) and meaning 'in arrears'.

44-6 On the waiver of Justinian's Novella 4 see A. Amelotti, G. I. Luzzatto, Le costituzioni giustinianee nei papiri e nelle epigrafi 64. What follows is without a parallel so far.

46 διδοῦντα. Cf. F. T. Gignac, Grammar ii 383; 136 35.

53  $dm \ell \lambda \eta \kappa a = dm \ell \lambda \nu \kappa a$ . Cf. **3958** 39. This is the equivalent of Latin absolut; on the process and problem of absolutio see M. Amelotti, Alle origini del notariato italiano 35–8, 56. In the West the business of handing the copies of the contract to the parties was the responsibility of the notary, who sometimes wrote complexit (cf. **3933** 40 n.) et absolut. In the East, including the papyri, the party who makes the acknowledgement delivers a copy to the other and it is he who writes  $dm \ell \lambda \nu \kappa \alpha$  at the end of his subscription, cf. below 57 n.

55 ἐγγνώμαι. A photograph of **136**, now in Cairo, shows in 46 εγγνωμαι = ἐγγνωμαι, cf. **136** 35, not ἔπομαι as printed. In the next line the same man wrote ἐν τουτο το (= τούτη στῷ) ευναλλόγμα(τι) and ευμφονει

 $(=\epsilon v\mu\phi\omega\nu\epsilon\hat{\imath}).$ 

57  $d\pi \ell \lambda \eta \epsilon a$ . Cf. 53 n. For the guarantor also to subscribe in this way seems rare, but cf. I **136** 45, 48. 58–59 Cf. **9933** 40 and n., **3936** 35, **3942** 36, **3955** 28, **3958** 40. I have not succeeded in recognizing the hand of this notary among the photographs of the notarial subscriptions from Oxyrhynchus published in J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, Notarsunterschriften im byzantinischen Agypten Taff. 40–52.

59 Since cy = 13 is the indiction number, cf. 16, and 286/255 is the Oxyrhynchite era for 609/10, see 2, cf. introd. para. 2, we would like to read some form of induction of before cy and after it some form of

(ἔτους), but I cannot recognize the first at least, see Plate VI. On (ἔτους?) see 3960 3 n.

60–62 This endorsement is very much faded and doubtfully read. The beginning of 61 may give us the place name  $N(\omega_{PC}, \sec P. Pruncti, I centri abitati 122$ . The beginning of 62 certainly has Cephyou, which in this position is likely to be a place name too, cf. ibid. p. 171. If these are correct, they will have appeared already in line 18–19 of the contract.

# 3953. Fragment of Contract

53 1B.26(F)/D(11)a

17 × 11.5 cm

23 September 610?

The combination of the Trinitarian formula of the invocation, which is of type  ${}_2\mathrm{C}$ , cf. **3940**  ${}_{1-2}$  n., with the absence of a regnal or consular date clause makes it clear that this is a document of the interregnum between Phocas and Heraclius. A difficulty arises in the contradiction between the indiction number,  ${}_{14}=610/611$ , and the era numbers,  ${}_{286/255}=609/610$ . Since the day is Thoth  ${}_{26}={}_{23}$  September, the twenty-sixth day of the Egyptian year, it is likely that the error lies in a failure to advance the era numbers correctly near the beginning of the year. This contrasts with the statistical observation that the Oxyrhynchite era numbers are the most reliable of all

the dating methods of the period, see R. S. Bagnall, K. A. Worp, BASP 17 (1980) 21, but the alternative is to suppose that the clerk wrote indiction 14 at the beginning of the thirteenth indiction, more than eleven months before the beginning of the fourteenth indiction, which is clearly less likely. If by any chance, however, this were what in fact happened, the date here would be 23 September 609 and this would be the earliest surviving document of the interregnum, and fall in the tantalizing gap between 11 June 609 (SB I 10798. 1–5, cf. 3933 2–3 n., item 12 in the list), when the reign of Phocas is last acknowledged, and 27 February 610 (SB I 5270), at present the earliest certain date in the interregnum, cf. 3949 introd., but also against this view are the signs that an attempt has been made to correct the second of the era numbers, see 2 n.

The contract is written along the fibres of the recto of the original roll, as shown by a sheet-join running vertically about 8 cm from the left edge.

+ ἐν ὀνόματι τῆς ἀχρ[ά]ντου καὶ ὁμοουςίου τριάδος πατρὸς καὶ υἰοῦ καὶ ἀγίου πνεύματος, Θῶθ κς, ἰνδ(ικτίωνος) ιδ, ἔτους ςπς ςνε. Φλ(αουῖῳ) Ἀπίωνι τῷ πανευφήμῳ καὶ ὑπερφυεςτάτῳ ἀπὸ ὑπάτων καὶ πατρικίῳ γεουχοῦντι καὶ ἐνταῦθα τῆ λαμπρὰ Ὀξυρυγχ(ιτῶν) [πόλει δ] ιὰ Μηνὰ οἰκέτου τοῦ ἐπερωτῶντος καὶ προςπορίζο[ντος τῷ] ἰδίω δεςπότη τῷ αὐτῷ πανευφ(ήμῳ) ἀνδρὶ τὴν ἀγωγὴν [καὶ ἐνο]χήν. Αὐρήλιος Πα. [.]. ὑθιος υἰὸς Ἀνοῦπ μητρὸς....... ἀπὸ ἐποικίου Νοτίνου Χωρίου τοῦ Ὀξ[υρ]ψγχίτου νομοῦ διαφέροντος τῆ ὑμῶν ὑπερφ(υεία) c. 18 letters ]....[.....

Back, downwards along the fibres:

(m. 1?)  $+ \chi \epsilon \iota \rho o \gamma \rho a \phi(ia) \ldots [$ 

'In the name of the immaculate and consubstantial trinity, father and son and holy spirit. Thoth 26, indiction 14, year 286 (and) 255.'

To Flavius Apion the most renowned and most extraordinary consular and patrician, landowner here also in the most splendid city of the Oxyrhynchites, through Menas, slave, putting the formal question and supplying for his own master, the same most renowned man, the conduct of and responsibility (for the transaction)?

'I, Aurelius Pa ... thius son of Anup, mother ..., from the hamlet of Notinu Choriu of the Oxyrhynchite nome belonging to your excellency ...'

Back, 'Cheirograph ...'

1-2 On the invocation see introd.

<sup>2</sup> On the contradictory elements of the dating see introd. There are some fairly substantial remains of added ink around the final epsilon, and especially what looks very like the backwards curving tail of s.

This could well be an attempt to change the number to  $\epsilon_{PS}$ , which I take to be correct, but there are no signs of a corresponding change to the preceding  $\epsilon_{RS}$ , which I take to be an error for  $\epsilon_{RS}$ . The solid black dot above nu is not immediately comprehensible; we expect another horizontal, like the one above the previous number.

3-7 On Flavius Apion III see 3939 4-5 n. and on Menas see 3935 7 n.

7 What is printed seems to imply Παη[ν]ούθιος, but Παμούθιος is not excluded.

8 Nortίνου Χωρίου. Cf. P. Pruneti, I centri abitati 124, where it is registered as NOTINON χωρίου; this new reference shows that it is analogous with other ἐποίκια, which are usually registered there with the name in the genitive form. The point which she makes against the incorrect form Νοτίνου χωρίου is well justified.

#### 3954. RECEIPT FOR THE PRICE OF WINE

64 6B.56/F(1)a

98

12.5 × 35 cm

12 February 611

This is the earliest exactly dated document of the reign of Heraclius. I 138 refers at three places, lines 14, 20, and 43, to Choeac 15 of the same Egyptian year, equivalent to 11 December 610, as the starting date of that contract. There the month and day are lost from the date clause, but it is very doubtful that they would have been the same; the unusual insistence on the starting date suggests that the document was drawn up later, P. Lond. V 1736 is dated 25 February 611.

The contract is addressed to Flavius Georgius, administrator (διοικητής) of the household of Flavius Apion III at Cynopolis. A dioecetes called Georgius plays a prominent part in a group of letters described in XVI 1844 introd. There are some indications in the correspondence which may encourage us to identify him with his namesake in this document. The clearest is in 1855, addressed to Georgius dioecetes, which begins, 'When I learnt that your magnificence had arrived at Cynopolis, I resolved to write'. Another is in 1854 7-8, where Victor the landlord's representative (ἀντιγεοῦχος), after complaining that Georgius was detaining three estate employees, says, 'I on my side could have (BL VII 141 ἐδυνόμην for ed. pr. ἐδεχόμην) made the people who come from Cynopolis to Oxyrhynchus disappear from sight, and yet I did nothing of the sort'. It is implied that Georgius detained travellers from Oxyrhynchus in Cynopolis. Other indications are slighter: 1860 is a letter to Georgius from an ἔκδικος of Cynopolis; the Cynopolite village of Φερετνοῦϊς/Περετνοῦϊς is mentioned in XVI 1937, cf. XLIX 3489 3 n. The correspondence was assigned to the sixth or seventh century, and connected with a series of receipts dated to 618, see XVI p. 28. This document gives us a slightly earlier fixed date, if the identification of Georgius is correct. Compare also 3957 8 n., on Flavius Victor.

The contract acknowledges receipt of an unspecified sum of money by five Cynopolite villagers in full payment for two hundred Samian jars of wine. The fact that the sum is not stated recalls the class of documents lately called 'sales on delivery', which are viewed as concealed loans with illegal interest converted into kind, see R. S. Bagnall, *GRBS* 18 (1977) 85–96, esp. 95–6, cf. J. Modrzejewski, *Archiv* 34 (1988)

133 4, with the group of examples where wine is the product sold published by H. Harrauer in R. Pintaudi, *Miscellanea Papyrologica* (Pap. Flor. VII) 109 126, and by H. Harrauer, P. J. Sijpesteijn, *CE* 57 (1982) 296–302. Here, however, there is no promise to deliver the wine, suggesting that it had been delivered already. Moreover, the wine is linked with the crops of the current indiction (31–2), that is the vintage of AD 610, whereas 'sales on delivery' usually refer to the coming summer and the vintage of the coming indiction, which in this case would be the summer of AD 611. The seventh century Oxyrhynchite receipt for part of the price of wine soon to be delivered, which was published by P. J. Sijpesteijn, ZPE 33 (1979) 250–3, is different both from the usual 'sales on delivery' and from this seemingly simpler transaction.

A sheet join running vertically about 3 cm from the left edge shows that the contract is written along the fibres of the recto of the original roll from which the piece was cut. The endorsement running vertically along the fibres of the back, the original verso, is very much abraded.

l . [

+ έν δνό[μα]τι τοῦ δεςπότου ήμῶν Ἰηςοῦ Χριστοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ σωτήρος. βασιλ(είας) τοῦ θειστάτου καὶ εὐςεβ(εςτάτου) ἡμῶν δεςπότου Φλ(αουΐου) Ἡρακλείου τοῦ αἰωνίου Αὐγούςτου καὶ Αὐτοκράτορος ἔτους πρώτου, (vac.)  $M \in \chi \in i\rho$  in,  $i\nu \delta(i\kappa \tau i\omega \nu o c)$  iδ. (vac.) Φλαουΐω Γεωργίω τῶ λαμπροτ(άτω) διοικητή του ένδόξου οἴκου τοῦ πανευφήμου πατρικίου Άπίωνος ταύτης της Άνω Κυνοπολ(ιτῶν πόλεως) ἐκ πατρὸς τοῦ μακαρίου (vac.) ἀπὸ τῆς λαμπρᾶς Ὀξυρυγχιτῶν πόλεως (ν.) Αὐρήλιοι Μακάρις μείζων υίὸς Ίερεμίου μητρός Μαρίας καὶ Ίωάννης υίὸς 'Ωριγένους μητρός Μαρίας καὶ Μηνάς υίὸς Άνοῦπ μητρὸς Μαρτυρίας καὶ Άβραὰμ υίὸς Φουνςίου μητρὸς

2 *ϊη*ςου 11 κυνοπολ? 3 βαειλς 15 υϊοεϊερεμιου 4 ευςεβ\$ 16 ϊωαννης 7 ϊνδ/ 18–19 υΐος 8 φλαουϊω; λαμπροτ/?

20 Ἐρεβέκκας καὶ Μηνᾶς υίὸς Γεωργίου μητρὸς Cοφίας καὶ Ὠριγένης υίὸς Cτεφάνου μητρὸς Ἐρεβέκκας, οἱ πάντες ἀπὸ κώμης Αψέμψεως τοῦ Άνω [Κ]υνοπολίτου νομοῦ, χ(αίρειν).

25 δμολ[ο]γοῦμεν εἰληφέναι καὶ δεδέχθαι καὶ πεπληρῶςθαι παρὰ τῆς ὑμῶν λαμπρότητος τὴν τιμὴν τοῦ διαπραθέντος αὐτῆ παρ' ἡμῶν οἴνου ἄχρι ἐνὸς ὀβολοῦ,

30 τουτέςτιν οἴνου Cάμια διακόςια,
ἐπὶ καρπῶν τῆς παρούςης
.τεςςαραςκαιδεκάτης ἰνδ(ικτίωνος)
ἄχρι ἐνὸς ὀβολοῦ, τουτέςτιν ζοἴν⟩ου Cάμια
διακόςια. ὁμολογοῦμεν τοῦ λοιποῦ οὐδένα

λόγον ἔχειν πρὸς τὴν ὑμῶν μεγαλ(οπρέπειαν) διὰ τὸ ἡμᾶς εἰληφέναι καὶ δεδέχθαι καὶ πεπληρῶςθαι παρ' αὐτῆς τὴν τιμὴν τοῦ διαπραθέντος αὐτῆ παρ' ἡμῶν ζοἴνου⟩ ἄχρι ἐνὸς ὀβολοῦ

40 καὶ πρὸς ἀπόδειξιν τῆς ⟨τῆς ⟩τιμῆς
τοῦ εἰρημένου οἴνου καταβολῆς
τήνδε τὴν πληρωτικὴν
ἀποχὴ[ν] ἐξεθέμεθα αὐτῆ, ἥτις

......[.], καὶ ἐπερ(ωτηθέντες) ὡμολογ(ήςαμεν), γί(νεται)
(ἔτους) ςπζ ςνς΄΄, ιδ ἰνδ(ικτίωνος), (m. 2) Αὐρήλιος Μακᾶρις
μείζ(ων) κα[ὶ Ἰωά]γνης καὶ Μ[η]νᾶς κ[α]ὶ Ἀβραὰμ
καὶ Μηνᾶς] κ[αὶ Ὠ]ρ[ι]γέν[ης οί] προγ[ε]γραμμ[ (ένοι)

c. 20 letters ]..... c. 20 letters ]..[..]. c. 20 letters ]..[...]..

Back, downwards along the fibres:

20–21 υῖος 24 χ- 32 l. τεςςαρεςκαιδεκάτης; ἴνδ/ 35 μεγαλζ 44 επερ/ωμολογζην/ 45  $\angle$  , ϊνδ/; l. Αὐρήλιοι '46 μειζ/

'In the name of our master Jesus Christ the god and saviour. In the reign of our most godly and most pious master Flavius Heraclius, the eternal Augustus and Imperator, first year, Mecheir 18, indiction 14.'

To Flavius Georgius the most splendid administrator of the glorious household of the most renowned patrician Apion in this city of the Upper Cynopolites, whose father was the late (blank) from the splendid city of the Oxyrhynchites, the Aurelii Macaris, headman, son of Jeremias mother Maria, and John son of Horigenes mother Maria, and Menas son of Anoup mother Martyria, and Abraham son of Funsis mother Erebecca, and Menas son of Georgius mother Sophia, and Horigenes son of Stephanus mother Erebecca, all from the village of Apsempsis of the Upper Cynopolite nome, greeting. We acknowledge that we have taken and accepted and received in full from your excellency the price of wine sold to you by us to the last obol, that is, two hundred Samian jars of wine of the produce of the present fourteenth indiction to the last obol, that is, two hundred Samian jars of wine. We acknowledge for the future that we have no claim against your magnificence because we have taken and accepted and received in full from you the price of wine sold to you by us to the last obol and in certification of the payment of the price of the said wine we have issued to you this full receipt, which (is binding, written in one copy?), and in answer to the formal question we gave our assent. The transaction took place in year 287/256, indiction 14.

(2nd hand) 'We the Aurelii Macaris, headman, and John and Menas and Abraham and Menas and

Horigenes, the aforesaid persons, ...'

Back, (1st hand?) 'Certificate of the persons from Apsempsis of the Upper Cynopolite nome.'

1 The trace is probably from a cross or some other Christian symbol in the top margin, cf. 3933 1, 3957 1; alternatively, it could be part of a correction added above line 2, see 2-3 n, but this could not have added the expected  $\hat{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$  after  $\hat{c}\omega\tau\hat{\eta}\rho\sigma$  in line 3.

2–3 This unique form of the Christ invocation, which is rarely varied, see CE 56 (1981) 113, 115–118, omits κυρίου καὶ before δεςπότου and has ήμών after δεςπότου instead of after εωτήροε. At present these seem

to be errors without significance, perhaps due to the recent return of the old formula.

3-7 This is the earliest extant date by the regnal year of Heraclius, cf. introd. The formula, which also occurs in 3957 4-6, is new. It differs from the only one hitherto attested for the Oxyrhynchite nome, see R. S. Bagnall, K. A. Worp, Regnal Formulas 68, in that it omits μεγίστου εὐεργέτου after δεςπότου, cf. ibid. p. 85 (foot). One possible explanation might be that this phrase, though common in titulatures from Justin II onwards, had not yet been introduced for Heraclius. It does appear in I 138, which could be earlier than this, but see above introd. para. 1 for the uncertainty. A new inspection of XXIV 2420, supposed to date from February/March 611, shows that it has ἔτους δ in the date, not ἔτους a as in ed. pr., and therefore dates from 614. That leaves 3955 of 23 September 611 as the earliest regnal clause of Heraclius to contain μεγίστου εὐεργέτου, which occurs again in 3956 of 1 October 611, but is omitted in 3957, here assigned to 21 February 612, but possibly of 20 February 611. An alternative explanation might be that these two documents are influenced by the scribal customs of neighbouring nomes. 3954 is a contract between Cynopolite villagers and an inhabitant of Oxyrhynchus, and 3957 was drawn up in Sephtha, a village known to have connections with the Heracleopolite nome, see 3957 6 n. Unfortunately there are no surviving dates for the reign from Cynopolis and only one from Heracleopolis, which is of 630, from the joint reign of Heraclius and his son, who are in fact called μεγίστων εὐεργετών, BGU I 314, see Bagnall, Worp, Regnal Formulas 72. This is too late to be of much help, so that the reason for the omission in these cases remains doubtful.

8-9 Cf. introd. para. 2. Add perhaps P. Laur. II 47. 9, SB V 7655. 11, VI 9107. 6, all texts where a

dicoecetes of the same name is mentioned.

Flavius is the usual indication of higher status, derived from the dynastic name of the house of Constantine, cf. J. G. Keenan, ZPE 11 (1973) 33-63, 13 (1974) 283-304. For dioecetae so titled cf. ZPE 11 (1973) 57-8, n. 106.

9 διοικητή. Cf. E. R. Hardy, The Large Estates 80–81, 85–7, A. H. M. Jones, Later Roman Empire ii

789-90.

10-11 On Flavius Apion III sec 3939 4-5 n.

11 ταύτης τῆς  $\overline{A}$ νω  $\overline{K}$ υνοπολ(ιτῶν πόλεως). The contract is between Cynopolite villagers, see 23–4, and an inhabitant of Oxyrhynchus who was administrator of the Apion household in Cynopolis. It seems to be a private transaction, not estate business.

On Άνω, which distinguishes this Cynopolis from another in the Delta, see XLVII 3345 50 n.

Perhaps we should assume an omission and print  $Kuvo\pi o\lambda(ir\hat{\omega}v) \langle \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega c \rangle$ . At the end it looks as if  $o\lambda$  was crowded into a small space and no further mark of abbreviation was added.

12 Georgius' patronymic was evidently unknown to the clerk, who did, however, know that his father was dead. Possibly the clerk had an exemplar which was illegible at this point.

14 Μακάριε (=-4ριοε). Cf. F. T. Gignac, Grammar ii 25–6, 28–9, on this new type of declension.

15 μεζέφει. In this case, as often but not always, the term evidently means village headman, cf. P. Mich. XIV 683, 1 n. LVI 3871 γ n.

19 Φουνείου. The nominative is usually, perhaps always, Φοῦνεις (P. Tebt. I 80. 25, SB I 5396. 1); Φοῦνει[ο] κ [ in P. Genova II 63. 6 is uncertain; it might be Φοῦνει[ε] ζχ[ or ζχ[. For the genitive in -lov cf. P. Ross.-Georg. III 36. 6, and above 14 n. for this declension. Genitive Φούνειος is more frequent: SB I 1246. 1-2, III 7946. 1, VI 9358 (= P. Lund. VI 8). 7, P. Brux. 4. 14-15.

23 Αψέμψεω. This is a new village name, i.e. not registered in A. Calderini, S. Daris, Dizionario dei

nomi geografici or its Supplemento i.

29 ἄχρι ἐνὸς ὀβολοῦ, cf. 33, 39. This recalls the phrases ἄχρι/μέχρι/ἔως ἀςταρίον ἐνός used especially in wills and related documents, see L. C. West, A. C. Johnson, Currency 121 s.v. ἀςτάρων; once at least ὁβολοῦ appears in place of ἀςταρίον, ibid. 132 s.v. ὁβολοῖ. They occur occasionally in other contexts, e.g. LIV 3758 11, 38 (restitution of excess tax levy, Δ0 325), P. Köln III 155. 16–17 (purchase of a house, VI Δ1).

30 Cáma, cf. 33. See H. C. Youtic, Scriptiunculae i 153-5, 170. The Samian jar remains an extremely

rare measure.

31-2 Crops were assigned to the indiction beginning after the harvest season, see R. S. Bagnall, K. A. Worp, *Chronological Systems* 26. In this case the crops of the fourteenth indiction were those of summer 610, cf. introd.

32 τεςςαραςκαιδεκάτης = τεςςαρεςκαιδεκάτης. Cf. 3952 16 n.

35 μεγαλ(οπρέπειαν). Cf. XVÍ **1855** 1 μεγαλοπρέ(πεια), addressed probably, see above introd., to the me person.

44 We expect something like  $\hat{\eta}$ ric  $\kappa \nu \rho(ia)$  o $\hat{v}$ ca  $d\pi \lambda(\hat{\eta})$   $\gamma \rho a \phi(\epsilon \hat{i} ca)$   $\kappa a \hat{i}$   $\hat{\epsilon} m \epsilon \rho(\omega \tau \eta \theta \hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau c)$   $\kappa \tau \lambda$ ., cf. XVI 1898 30–32. The remains at the beginning of the line seem not to suit  $\kappa \nu \rho ia$ ; they might suit  $\hat{d}\pi \lambda(\cdot)$ , cf. the slightly different wording in VIII 1134 16–17, PSI XII 1265. 14, but after that it is hard to recognize  $\gamma \alpha a \phi(\cdot)$ .

45-50 The subscription will have acknowledged the substance of the contract above and will have continued with the subscription of the amanuensis who wrote for the villagers. In the last three lines the writing becomes very gramped and seems to be blotted or corrected, especially in 48.

51 Cf. e.g. XVI 1981 32.

#### 3955. Lease of Land

4 1B.73/H(b) 10 × 32 cm 23 September 611

This fragmentary document preserves enough of the regnal year clause to show that the clerk advanced the regnal year number to 2 before the first anniversary of the dies imperii of Heraclius, which strongly suggests that yet again the Oxyrhynchite custom was to assimilate all reckonings to the Egyptian traditional new year's day on Thoth 1 = 29 August, or, as in this case, 30 August, because the preceding Egyptian year was a leap year ending with six epagomenal days instead of the usual five. This assimilation was the practice for most of the reign of Maurice, see General Introduction p. 57, and there are indications of it for the reign of Phocas too, see 3933 2-3 n., item 12 in the list (pp. 61-2).

The land lease is of the comparatively rare type which specifies its object as an irrigation machine with land, see LV **3803** introd. Of the four parallels cited there the most useful for the understanding of this example is P. Berl. Zilliacus 7, and the terminology is echoed in XVI **1968**, a lease of six aruras οὕcac ἐν μηχανῆ καλουμέ(νη)

τοῦ Μοναςτηρίου. Neither of these is close enough in wording to solve the problems of restoring the text, since about thirty to thirty-five letters are lost at the beginning of each line, but an outline of the nature and structure of the document can be recovered.

The back is blank so far as it is preserved, but it is likely that an endorsement of the usual kind has been lost along with the beginnings of the lines. There is a sheet join running vertically downwards about 5.5 cm from the right hand edge, showing that the contract is written on what was the recto of the roll from which the piece was cut.

```
+ έν ὀνόματι τοῦ κυρίου καὶ δεςπότου Ἰηςοῦ Χρι]ςτοῦ τοῦ \thetaε[ο]\hat{v} καὶ
                                                                      cωτήρος ήμῶν.
  βαςιλείας τοῦ θειοτάτου καὶ εὐςεβ(εςτάτου) ἡμῶν] δεςπότου
                                  μεγίςτου εὐεργέτου Φλ(αουΐου) Ἡρακλείου
  τοῦ αἰωνίου Αὐνούςτου καὶ Αὐτοκράτορος ἔτους] δευτέρο[υ],
                                                       \Theta \dot{\omega} \theta \kappa \epsilon^{-}, i \nu \delta (\iota \kappa \tau \iota \omega \nu o \epsilon) \iota \epsilon.
                                                                  (vac.)
                                                                ] κ[α]τὰ Άρκαδίαν
  Φλαουΐω (?)
                            + 25-35 letters
                                                                τάξεως υίῶ τοῦ τῆς
  μακαρίας (?) μνήμης . . . ἀπὸ τῆς λα μπρᾶς Ὀξυρυγχ(ι)τ(ῶν) πόλεως
  Αὐρήλιος (?) ... νίὸς Παύλου μητρὸς ... ] ὁρμώμενος ἀπὸ ἐποικίου
                                                                              Εἰρήνης
  τοῦ μεγάλου Έρμουπολίτου νομοῦ κτήματ]ος τῆς ὑμετέρας
                                               λαμπρό(τητος) χαίρειν. έκουςίως
  \epsilon \pi \iota \delta \epsilon \gamma ο \mu a \iota \mu \iota c \theta \dot{\omega} c a c \theta a \iota \dot{a} \pi \dot{o} \tau o \hat{v} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon c \tau \hat{\omega} \tau o ] c (ξ \tau o \nu c) c \pi \eta^{-} + c \nu \zeta^{-}, \tau \hat{\eta} c
                                                  παρού(της) πεντεκαιδεκ(άτης)
  ἐνδ(ικτίωνος), καταςπορᾶς δὲ καὶ ςυλλογῆς καρπ]ών τῆς ςὑν θ(εώ)
                                                              πρώτης έπινεμήςεως,
  την διαφέρους αν τη ύμετέρα λαμπρ]ό (τητι) όλόκληρον μηχανήν
                                                                        καλουμέ(νην)
                                                    ] έξηρτιςμέ(νην) πάςη ξυλική
                c. 30-35 letters
                                                                               έξαρτία
  καὶ ςιδηρώμαςιν μετὰ καὶ τοῦ ἐπικειμένου ἐ]ν αὐτῆ λάκκου καὶ
                                                                          ύδρεύματος
  καὶ κυκλευτηρίου καὶ μηχανικών ὀργάνων] καὶ φυτών παντοί[ων]
                                                                 καὶ παντὸς ἐτέρο [υ
                                                                                8 L cmn + cv 5
                                                        7 ϋμετερακλαμπρ
```

παρου/ (ov in monogram), πεντεκαιδεκ

10 λαμπρ []°, καλουμ [

11 εξηρτιςμς

20

25

δικαίου + c. 20–25 letters ] . . . καὶ τελέ[cω τῆ ὑμ]ετέρα λαμπρό(τητι)

ύπὲρ φόρου ταύτης καθ' ἔκαςτον ἐνιαυτὸν ἐπ]ί τε Νειλοβρόχου [καὶ ἀβρόχου] ςίτου

c. 30-35 letters ]  $.\lambda\lambda\lambda$  .[ .[ ... .[ ..

δεκαπέ-

ντε, δώςω δὲ καὶ τὰ ἔκτακτα πάντα καὶ τὰς γεο]υχικὰς ὑπηρεςίας πάςας καὶ τὰ ἑορτικὰ

c. 30–35 letters ]...προς..., ὅνπερ φόρον ἀκίνδυνον ὅντα παντὸς κινδύνου (?) ἐπάναγ]κες ἀποδώςω αὐτῆ ἐν τῷ δέοντι καιρῷ

ἀνυπερθέτως, τὴν δὲ νομὴν τῆς προειρημένης] μηχανῆς ἄτρωτον

καὶ παραδώςω τῆ ὑμετέρα λαμπρό(τητι) ὁπόταν βουληθ]ε[i]η ὡς καὶ παρείληφα, κυρ(iα) ἡ μίςθ(ωςιc) ἀπλῆ

γραφ(εῖτα) καὶ ἐπερ(ωτηθεῖτ) ὡμολ(όγητα). (m. 2) τ. 10]... νίδτ Παύλου ὁ προγεγρα(μμένοτ)

πεποίημαι ταύτην τὴν μί]ςθωςιν καὶ ἀποδώςω τὸν προγεγρα(μμένον) φόρον κατὰ τὴν ἀ]νωτέραν διήγηςιν c. 20 letters ] . ἔγραψα ὑ(πὲρ) αὐτοῦ ἀγρ(αμμάτου) ὄντος + . ] (vac.)

(m. 3?) di'emu ... eteli]othḥ ....+.

14, 17  $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho$  23  $\kappa v \rho /$ ,  $\mu \iota c \theta$  24  $\pi \rho o \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho /$  27 v /,  $a \gamma \rho /$  28  $\epsilon t \epsilon l i ] o t \overline{h} h$ 

'In the name of the lord and master Jesus Christ our god and saviour. In the reign of our most godly and most pious master, greatest benefactor, Flavius Heraclius the eternal Augustus and Imperator, second year, Thoth 25, indiction 15.'

'To Flavius (?) ... of the officium ... in Arcadia, son of ... of blessed (?) memory from the splendid city of the Oxyrhynchites, Aurelius (?) ... son of Paul, mother ..., coming from the hamlet of Irene of the Great Hermopolite nome, a holding of your excellency, greeting. Of my own volition I undertake to take on lease from the present year 288/257, the present fifteenth indiction, for the sowing and gathering of the crop of the first, God willing, indiction, the entire irrigation machine belonging to your excellency, called ... fitted with all the wooden equipment and iron fittings, along with the cistern situated in it and the well and wheel and the machinery of the irrigator and the plants of all kinds and every other right ... and I shall pay to your excellency in respect of rent on this each year for both Nile-watered and unwatered land in wheat ..., ... fifteen, and I shall supply all the extra payments in kind and all the services to the landlord and the festival contributions ..., which rent, free of liability to all risk (?), I shall necessarily deliver to you

at the proper time without delay, and the right of possession of the aforesaid irrigation machine I shall preserve free of injury and damage and shall return to your excellency whenever you may wish just as I received it. The lease, written in a single copy, is binding and in answer to the formal question I gave my

(2nd hand) I, Aurelius (?) ... son of Paul, the aforewritten person, have concluded this lease and I shall deliver the aforewritten rent in accordance with the above statement (as aforesaid?). I, ..., wrote on his behalf because he is illiterate.'

(3rd hand?) 'Through me, ..., the completion was made. ...'

I Formula I is normal, see R. S. Bagnall, K. A. Worp, CE 56 (1981) 121.

2-3 This was the only form of regnal clause known at Oxyrhynchus, see Bagnall, Worp, Regnal Formulas

68-9, but see now 3954 3-7, 3957 4-6 and nn.

Thoth 25 of indiction 15 was 23 September 611, since 610/11 was a year ending with six epagomenal days instead of five. The dies imperii of Heraclius was 5 October 610, see 3949 introd. According to the system prescribed by Justinian's Novella 47, see Bagnall, Worp, op. cit. 45, his second regnal year should not have begun before 5 October 611. We have already seen that Oxyrhynchite datings by Maurice and Phocas tend to assimilate all reckonings to the traditional Egyptian year beginning on 29 or 30 August, see pp. 57, 61-2, so it is no great surprise to find an indication of the same practice in the reign of Heraclius, cf. introd.

4 Φλαουὖφ (?). The status indication Flavius is likely, since the lessor was evidently in the imperial service in the officium of some high official in the province of Arcadia, probably in that of the praeses, see

J. G. Keenan, ZPE 11 (1973) 58-9, cf. 51-6 and ZPE 13 (1974) 286.

]  $\kappa[a]$ ? à Άρκαδίαν τάξεως. The implication seems clear, see previous note, but these precise words do not cour elsewhere. Normally we find Αρκαδίαν οτ τῆς Αρκάδων ἐπαρχίας in the genitive. This alternative may arise from the translation of a Latin phrase with per Arcadiam, compare Not. Dig., Or XXVIII 13 ... comitis rei militaris per Λεύρνμιπ. The very similar κατὰ Θηβαΐδα occurs much more frequently, e.g. BGU XII 2146. 3; 2147. 3–4; 2151. 3–4; 2155. 3; 2164. 2–3; P. Coll. Youte II 92. 4–5; SB V 8029. 9; XVI 12378. 6–7. In all but one of these the adjective which defines τάξες, i.e. δουκική, ήγεμονική, οι ττρατιωτική, stands just before the noun and after κατὰ Θηβαΐδα. It is the sole exception, BGU XII 2147. 3–4 ... ἀναφερομένω εἰς τὴν ττρατιωτικήν κατὰ Θηβαΐδα τ]άξω, which provides the best parallel for our passage. All the similar examples in BGU XII have ττρατιωτικήν-κής directly before τάξω/-εως.

5 μακαρίας (?). This is the commonest epithet with μνήμης; if the father had an official honorific epithet, it might have been reflected here in e.g. λαμπράς οτ περιβλέπτον; similarly, if he had been a

clergyman, the epithet εὐλαβοῦς might have been used.

6 Αὐρήλιος (?). This is the usual status indication for lessees, cf. J. G. Keenan, ZPE 13 (1974) 286, and

above 4 n

6-7 ἐποικίου Εἰρήνης [[τοῦ μεγάλου Ἑρμοπολίτου νομοῦ. Cf. SB III 6612, 11-12 (An 365), a sale of land between an inhabitant of Oxyrhynchus and an Oxyrhynchite villager, and M. Drcw-Bear, Le nome Hermopolite 81, 96. The hamlet was close to the border between the districts of Oxyrhynchus and Hermopolis.

8-9 Era 288/257 and indiction 15 = 611/12, see R. S. Bagnall, K. A. Worp, *The Chronological Systems* 92. The crops of summer 612 are, as usual at Oxyrhynchus, attributed to the indiction beginning after the harvest, ibid, p. 26, in this case indiction 1 = 612/13.

10-14 Cf. especially P. Berl. Zilliacus 7. 12-16.

11 P. Berl. Zilliacus 7. 12–13 has μηχανήν καλουμ(ένην) Άκεεῖς, ἐκ βορρᾶ τῆς αὐτῆς κώμης. Some similar

description of the location may have stood in this place after the name of the machine.

1.4 At this point P. Berl. Zilliacus 7. 16–19 has a much longer formulation: καὶ πωντὸς ἐτέρου δικαίου ταύτης καὶ τῶν cupyewργουμ(ένων) καὶ ὑποιτελλουκῶν  $\langle \tau \hat{\eta} \hat{r} \rangle$  αὐτή μηχανή ἀρουρῶν δώδεκα ἢ ὅcaι πλείους ἐἀν ῶκαὶ τῶν cupyewργουμ(ένων) ἀρουρῶν, τελέους τὰλ. Here perhaps restore something like δικαίου ταύτης καὶ τῶν cupyewργουμ(ένων) ἀρουρῶν, plus a number. This is already a little long. Possibly (δεκα?)τεκς ἀρων οι (εἰκοιι?)τεκς ἀρων οι (εἰκοιι?)τεκς ἀρων οι (εἰκοιι?)τεκς ἀρων οι (εἰκοιι?)τεκς ἀρων οι και το και

14-15 Cf. P. Berl. Zilliacus 7. 19-20. In XVI 1968 5-6 instead of ἐπὶ γένει λου..... [ read and

restore ἐπί τε Νειλοβρόχου | 6 [καὶ ἀβρόχου.

16-19 These badly damaged lines should contain chiefly the details of the rent in wheat and money. In 16 cfroy looks suitable and at the end  $\delta \epsilon \kappa a \pi \ell |^{10}$ [pre should have something to do with money, but problems intervene, see next note.

17  $\xi \xi a y lov$  seems an unavoidable reading. This is a term connected with tax rather than with rent, but that may not be inappropriate in view of the recent research which suggests that the payments made by tenants of large estates contained an element destined to cover state taxes, which the landowner was expected to forward to the government, see J. Gascou, Travaux et Mémoires 9 (Collège de France; 1985) 1–90. The rare word is a transliteration of Latin exagium, 'weighing', cf. P. Lond. IV 1412. 117 (with Add. p. VI); Stud. Pal. VIII 1084. 2; CPR V 26. 863; P. Vindob. G. 39743. 2 (K. A. Worp, Z E = 58 (1985) 8–3, Taf. Va). See also CPR VIII pp. 205–6, where the meanings of  $\xi \xi \alpha y_{100} = 100$  and  $\theta \xi \mu a$  are discussed. Annual tax payments were divided into three, in the Arab period perhaps two,  $\kappa a r \alpha \beta \delta \lambda a \alpha t$  in the fifth  $\theta t \mu a$  in CPR VIII 73. 3 may possibly be an equivalent of  $\xi \xi \alpha y_{100}$ . A fifth  $\xi \xi \alpha t$  and this is the highest number known, unless we ought here to read and articulate the letters as  $\xi \kappa r \cos \xi \xi \alpha t \delta t$ . The next phrase could perhaps be in the genitive,  $r \eta [c \delta] \mu \epsilon \tau \xi [\rho a \lambda a] \mu \pi \rho \delta (\tau \eta r \sigma c)$ ,  $\xi t$ , next note.

18 The traces could suit  $\xi \kappa \tau o \psi$  (or  $\xi \kappa \tau o \psi$ )  $a \psi \tau \eta \varepsilon \xi \xi a \gamma [lov]$ , cf. previous note, since -lov in line 17 is written with omicron close to iota and upsilon represented as a horizontal line above omicron. After  $\xi \xi a \gamma [lov]$  perhaps  $v o \mu \zeta = v o \mu (lc \mu a \tau a)$  is acceptable, laying down a money rent (or tax payment) of fifteen solidi.

20 Restore perhaps καὶ τὰ ἀναλώματα τοῦ καιροῦ τοῦ φόρου, cf. P. Berl. Zilliacus 7. 24-5.

21 For the restoration, which is tentative, cf. XVI 1968 6.

26 κατὰ τὴν ἀ]νωτέραν δυήγηςν. See P. Heid. IV 330. 8–9 and n., citing P. München I 7. 47, P. Lond. III 1007b. 15 (p. 264). Similarly restore δι]ήγηςν in XVI 1892 42 in place of διρλ]όγηςν; a photograph shows that the trace before gamma is not at all distinctive, and in XXIV 2420 21 a photograph shows that we should read δυήγη[ςν in place of  $\delta_{\mu\rho\lambda}(\delta\gamma\gamma c\nu)$  καὶ [. For the similar ἀνωτέραν διατολήν, which cannot be read here, cf. P. Mich. XIII 662. 41; 663. [12]; 665. 65, P. Herm. Rees 32. 2.

27 Perhaps ώς πρόκ(ειται) or something similar stood before the name of the amanuensis.

28 For the sort of subscription recorded here see J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, Notarsunterschriften im byzantinischen Agypten, cf. 3933 40, 3936 35, 3942 36, 3952 36–9, 3958 40. Sometimes the signs after these notarial signatures contain elements that are recognizable, such as dates by indiction or era years, e.g. 3952 59, 3958 40; at other times they are completely incomprehensible and are noted as 'signs' or 'shorthand', which last is what we might expect from notarii in the original sense. Here there is fairly serious damage, but there seems to be no recognizable date. I have not succeeded in matching the remains of this signature with any of those illustrated in Diethart, Worp, op. cit. Taff. 40–52, but this may be due to its fragmentary condition.

#### 3956. Fragment of Contract

1 October 611

 $_{53} \text{ 1B.26(F)/C(4)b}$   $_{9.5} \times 8 \text{ cm}$ 

Since this fragment is addressed to Flavius Apion III and gives him the title of patricius, see 3939 4–5 n., the date Phaophi 3 of the 15th indiction can only be I October 611 and the emperor whose name is lost must be Heraclius. It is a pity that the number of the regnal year is lost. I have restored it as  $\delta \epsilon \nu r \epsilon \rho o \nu$  rather than  $\pi \rho \omega \tau o \nu$  to agree with 3955 2–3, see the note there for arguments suggesting that this is likely to be correct according to Oxyrhynchite practice, cf. below 5 n.

The back is blank so far as it is preserved, but it is likely that there was an endorsement of the usual kind written downwards along the fibres, now lost along with the beginnings of the lines. There is a sheet join running vertically downwards about 3 cm from the right hand edge, showing that the contract is written on what was the recto of the roll from which the piece was cut.

+ ἐν ὀνόματι τοῦ κυρίο]υ καὶ δεςπότου Ἰτροῦ Χριςτοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ ςωτῆρος ἡμῶν.] βαςιλείας τοῦ θειοτάτου καὶ εὐςεβεςτάτου ἡμῶν δ]εςπότου μεγίςτου εὐερ[γ]έτου Φλ(αουΐου) Ἡρακλείου τοῦ αἰω]νίου Αὐγούςτου καὶ Αὐ[τ]οκρά(τορος) ἔτους δευτέρου (?), Φα]ῶφι γ΄, ἰνδ(ικτίωνος) ιε. (vac.) Φλ(αουΐω) Ἀπίωνι τῷ παν]ευφήμω καὶ ὑπερφυεςτάτω ἀπὸ ὑπάτων καὶ πατ]ρικί[ω γ]εουχοῦντι καὶ ἔ[ντα]ῦθα τῆ λαμπρῷ ἸΟξυρυγχιτ]ῶν π[όλει] διὰ Μηνῷ [οἰκ]ξτου ἐπερωτῶντος καὶ] πρ[οςπορίζον]τος τ[ῷ ἰδίω δεςπότ]η

1  $\ddot{\imath}$ ηςου 4  $\alpha \upsilon[\tau]$ οκρ $/\alpha$  5  $\ddot{\gamma}\ddot{\imath}$ νδ/

'In the name of the lord and master Jesus Christ our god and saviour. In the reign of our most godly and most pious master, greatest benefactor, Flavius Heraclius, the eternal Augustus and Imperator, second (?) year, Phaophi 3, indiction 15, '

"To Flavius Apion, the most renowned and most extraordinary consular and patrician, landholder here also in the splendid city of the Oxyrhynchites, through Menas, slave, putting the formal question and supplying for his own master ..."

1-2 Cf. **3955** 1 n.

2-4 Cf. 3955 2-3 n.

5 ἔτους δευτέρου (?), Φα]ώφι γ ¯, ἰνδ(μετίωνος) ιε. Phaophi 3 of the 15th indiction was 1 October 611, cf. introd. Since Heraclius acceded on 5 October 610, according to the rule prescribed by Justinian's Novella 47 his second year should not have begun before 5 October 611, but 3955 2–3 shows that the clerk who wrote that document assigned it to the second regnal year on 23 September 611. I have argued that this probably indicates that the Oxyrhynchite formulas assimilated the beginning of the regnal year to the beginning of the traditional Egyptian year on Thoth 1 = 29 or 30 August, see 3955 introd and 2–3 n.

6-8 On Flavius Apion III cf. **3939** 4-5 n. 8-9 On Menas cf. **3935** 7 n., **3941** 15-18.

# 3957. Fragment of Contract

53 1B.26(D)/B(4)b +54 1B.26(E)/C(11)a 15 × 7.5 cm

21 February 612 (or 20 February 611?)

This document is headed by an invocation of the Trinity, Mary, and All Saints, formula 3C, known otherwise from the Arsinoite and Heracleopolite nomes only and, with one other exception, only from the reign of Phocas, see R. S. Bagnall, K. A. Worp, CE 56 (1981) 115, 119, cf. 121, 130. The peculiarity here may be connected with the fact that the contract was drawn up at Sephtha, a village in the northern part of the Oxyrhynchite nome, near the Heracleopolite border, see 6 n. The only other exception to the rule that documents of the reign of Heraclius from Lower Egypt have an invocation of Christ is P. Lond. I 113. 6a (p. 212), which is Arsinoite and, after an invocation in a similar formula one word longer than that of 3957, gives

100

the date without a regnal or consular clause as Pharmuthi 7 of indiction 15 (restored from line 19), the equivalent of 2 April 612 according to the convincing interpretation of Bagnall and Worp, CE 56 (1981) 121, 130.

Here the oddity is only complicated by the presence of the regnal clause; the date is given as Heraclius year 1, Mecheir 26, indiction 15. Since Heraclius came to the throne in October 610, Mecheir 26 of I Heraclius is 20 February 611, but Mecheir 26 of indiction 15 is 21 February 612. The indiction figure is statistically more likely to be correct, see Bagnall and Worp, BASP 17 (1980) 21. Although the failure to advance the regnal year number so long after the beginning of the second regnal year is strange, it seems even harder to accept that the indiction figure was advanced more than six months before the correct date. Similar cases closer to the beginning of the year are noted by Bagnall and Worp, BASP 17 (1980) 24 (but delete from their list XVI 1981, corrected above p. xvii). However, it might be argued that the omission of μεγίστου εὖεργέτου from the titulature, cf. 3954 3-7 n., as well as the use of a Trinity invocation, typical of Phocas, are powerful reasons to assign it to the earliest possible date. It is with some hesitation, therefore, that 3957 is assigned here to 21 February 612. We should note that 3957's regnal clause supports Bagnall and Worp in their rejection, CE 56 (1981) 121, of Bell's attribution of P. Lond. I 113, 6a to 627, in the fifteenth indiction of the next cycle (BL I 238).

A sheet join running vertically about 7.5 cm from the right edge shows that the contract was written along the fibres of what was the recto of the roll from which the piece was cut. The back is blank so far as it is preserved, but it is likely that an endorsement of the usual kind running downwards along the fibres of the back has been lost along with the beginnings of the lines.

# $+\chi\mu\gamma$

+ ἐν ὀνόμα]τι τῆς ἀγίας καὶ ὁμοουςίου τριάδος πατρὸς καὶ υίοῦ καὶ άγίου πνεύμα] τος καὶ τῆς δεςποίν(ης) ἡμῶν τῆς θεοτόκου καὶ πάντων] τῶν ἀγίων. βαςιλείας τοῦ θειοτάτου καὶ εὐςεβεςτ (άτου) ήμῶν δεςπότο]υ Φλ(αουΐου) Ἡρακλείου τοῦ αἰωνίου Αὐγούςτου καὶ Αὐτοκράτορος]  $\xi$ [το]  $\psi$ ς πρότου, Μεχεὶρ κς, ἰνδ(ικτίωνος)  $\iota$ ε, ἐν κώμ $(\eta)$  Cέφθα. τῷ ἐνδόξ]ῳ οἴκῳ Απίωνος τοῦ πανευφήμου πατρικίου διὰ  $\Phi \lambda$ (αουΐου) Bίκτο]ρος τοῦ ἐνδο[ξ]οτάτου ἰλλοςτρίου καὶ ἀντιγεούχ(ου)

δεςποιν( 4 ευςεβεςτί 5 62' 6 προτου = πρώτου: 1, δευτέρου?; ινδ/, κωμί 8 Ι. ίλλουςτρίου; αντιγεουχ

'In the name of the holy and consubstantial trinity, father and son and holy spirit, and of our mistress the mother of god, and of all the saints. In the reign of our most godly and most pious master Flavius Heraclius, the eternal Augustus and Imperator, first year, Mecheir 26, indiction 15, in the village of Sephtha.

3957. FRAGMENT OF CONTRACT 'To the glorious household of Apion the most renowned patrician (through Flavius Victor?) the most glorious illustris and representative of the landowner ...'

 $_{\rm I}$  +  $\chi\mu\gamma$ . Although this is clearly a Christian slogan or badge, the exact meaning remains uncertain, see LVI 3862 1 n., cf. CPR XIV 32. 32 n.

2-4 On the invocation formula see introd. Note that when Bagnall and Worp said, CE 56 (1981) 130, that formula C 'is, within Egypt, limited to the Arsinoite nome', they had forgotten P. Erl. 73, dated 604 in Heracleopolis, which has the same version as here, namely 3C, as recorded ib. p. 119.

4-6 The titulature, like that of 3954, is unusual for the Oxyrhynchite nome in omitting μεγίστου εὖεργέτου after δεςπότου. It is debatable whether this is due to the early date in the reign or to the influence of the clerical practice of neighbouring districts, see 3954 3-7 n.

6  $C \in \theta \theta a$ . Cf. P. Pruneti, I centri abitati 174-5, and for its proximity to the border with the Heracleopolite nome see LV 3805 or and n.

7 On Flavius Apion III see 3939 4-5 n.

8 It is almost certain that a uir gloriosissimus would have his status indication, Flavius, expressed in this place, cf. 3954 8 n. About eleven letters should be missing, of which  $\delta u \partial \Phi \lambda (aoviou)$  accounts for about six. Flavius Victor is well known as a landlord's representative from a group of letters in which he is the main figure, see XVI 1844 introd. I have suggested that the diocetes Flavius Georgius who appears in the same group may be the same man who figures here in 3954, see introd., and have provisionally restored the name of Victor here, although the remains of the rho which stands in the text are too scanty to justify the reading on strict palaeographical grounds. The same titles ὁ ἐνδοξότατος ἰλλούςτριος καὶ ἀντιγεοῦχος are found in 1859 8 and 1860 6, probably referring to the same Victor, see 1859 introd. Cf. LVI 3871 6 and n., on ὁ ἐνδοξότατος ἀντιγεοῦχος. On the office see E. R. Hardy, The Large Estates 85-6, cf. A. H. M. Jones, Later Roman Empire ii 789-90, 1323 n. 47, with 1118 n. 44.

#### 3958. Rent Collector's Work Contract

52 IB.26(C)/F(I)-(4)

30.5 × 83 cm

26 April-25 May 614

On work contracts in general see 3933 introd. para. 2. This one is addressed to Flavius Apion III and dated to some day in the month of Pachon, regnal year 4 Heraclius, i.e. 26 April-25 May 614. The other party agreed to act for one year as rent collector for Apion's properties in Oxyrhynchus. He contracted to give the equivalent of 125 gold solidi 'on account of pactum' (λόγω πάκ[του, 22, cf. 30) to cover the year's rents. Provision was made for at least some of the payments to the Apion estate to be made in the subsidiary base metal coinage ( $\kappa \epsilon \rho \mu a$ , 24) at the rate of thirtytwo thousand (talents) to the gold solidus. There was also a mysterious payment of oil (27-8).

The physical form of the document is interesting. The piece retains the roll's protocollon, attached as usual with its fibres at right angles to those of the rest of the roll on the same side. On the front of this protocollon, parallel with the fibres, stand three lines of thickly inked writing so stylized as to be illegible, see 1-3 n., Pl. VII. The contract is written parallel with these, beginning on the recto proper and across the fibres, so that the roll is to be read by opening it in the vertical direction rather than in the classical way, opening it in a horizontal direction, cf. 3952 introd.; contrast 3946, which has scanty remains of the stylized protocol script on the left of a contract written in the classical format. There are six sheet joins at pretty regular intervals of about 12 or 13 cm each, with the last sheet cut off at about 9 cm. The 'height' of the roll, cf. ibid., would have been about 35 cm. In this case the ends of the lines are lost in a regular pattern of longer and shorter lines, which shows that the damage occurred while the contract was rolled up.

The notary's countersignature is unusual for Oxyrhynchus in being written only in Greek, see 40 n., Pl. VI. On the back along the fibres of the first sheet after the protocollon is an endorsement with a note of the contents of the contract.

+ ἐν ὀνόματι [το]ῦ κυρίου κ[α]ὶ [δ]ε[επότου] Ἰη[εο]ῦ Χρι[ετοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ εωτῆροε ἡμῶν.

βατιλείας τοῦ θειοτάτου καὶ εὖςεβ (εςτάτου) ἡμῶν δεςπότου μεγίςτου εὖερ[γέτου Φλ(αουΐου)

Ήρακλείου τοῦ αἰωνίου Αὐγούςτου καὶ Αὐτοκράτορος ἔτους δ΄, Παχών [n., ἰνδ(ικτίωνος) β.

Φλαουΐω Άπίωνι τῷ πανευφήμω καὶ ὑπερφυεςτάτω [ἀπὸ ὑπάτων καὶ πατρικίω γεουχοῦντι καὶ ἐνταῦθα τῆ λαμπρᾳ ['O]ξ[υρυγχ(ιτῶν) πόλει διὰ Μηνᾶ

οἰκέτου τοῦ ἐπερωτῶντος καὶ προςπορίζοντος τῷ ἰδίῳ δεςπ[ότη τῷ αὐτῷ πανευφήμῳ ἀνδρὶ τὴν ἀγωγὴν καὶ ἐνοχὴν ἐγὼ Ἰωςὴφ ψάλτης [τῆς φιλοπον(ίας?)

τοῦ ἀγί(ου) Θεοδώρου καὶ ἐνοικιολόγος τοῦ ἐνδόξ(ου) ὑμῶν οἴκοὐ υἰὸς τοῦ τῆ[ς εὐλαβοῦς

μνήμης Βίκτορος γενομένου διακόνου καὶ εὐαγγέλ[ου ἐξῆς ὑπογράφων ἰδία χειρὶ ἀπὸ τῆς αὐτῆς πόλεως χαίρειν. ὁμ[ο]λ[ογῶ ἑκουςία γνώμη καὶ αὐθαιρέτῳ προαιρέςει ςυντεθεῖςθαί με πρὸς τὴν ὑμετέραν ὑ[περφυείαν διὰ τῶν αὐτῆ διαφερόντων ἐπὶ ἕνα ἐνιαυτὸν λογιζόμε(νον) ἀπὸ ἀ[ρχῆς

ένοικίων τῆς παρούςης δευτέρας ἶνδ(ικτίωνος) ἐπὶ τῷ ἐμ[ὲ τὴν χώραν τοῦ ἐνοικιολόγου παρ' αὐτῆ ἀποπληρῶςαι ἐν τοῖς προς[ c. 12 letters πράγμαςιν διακειμένοις κατὰ ταύτην τὴν Ὀξυρυγχιτῶ[ν πόλιν ἀπό τε οἰκιῶν καὶ ἀποστάςεων καὶ ἄλλων προσηκόντῳ[ν τῷ ἐνδόξῳ ὑμῶν οἴκῳ καὶ ἀνηκόντων τῆ ἐνοικιολογίᾳ κᾳὶ . . . [ c. 5 letters τῆ ὑμετέρᾳ ὑπερφ(υείᾳ) διὰ τῶν αὐτῆ προσηκόντων λόγῳ

πάκ[του c. 10 letters τοῦ αὐτοῦ ένὸς ἐνιαυτοῦ χρυςοῦ νομίςμ(ατα) ἑκατὸν εἴκοςι  $\pi$ [έ]γτ[ε δλιατικῶ

ζυγῷ ταύτης τῆς πόλεως, τὸ δὲ κέρμα τὸ χορηγούμενον παρ' ἐμ[οῦ τοῖς

διαφέρουςιν τῷ ἐνδόξῳ ὑμῶν οἴκῳ ἀκολούθως το̞ῖ[c] . . . . [ c. 8 letters πρὸς ἐμὲ πιττακίοις κατὰ τριάκοντ[α] ᾳ[ύο] χίλια τοῷ [νομίςμ(ατος) ἐνὸς

ίδιωτικφ ζυγφ δίδεται. τὸ ἔλαιον τὸ ἐξ ἐμοῦ διδόμενου. λ[.]...[.].[.] κατὰ τὴν

κρατήςας αν τυνήθειαν λαμβάνων τοὺς ἀγγαρευτὰς πρὸς το  $\cdot$  [ c. 5 letters δώςω δὲ τοὺς λόγους μου πρὸς τὴν ὑμετέραν ὑπερφ(vείαν) δι  $\cdot$  5 -10 letters

τοῦ πάκτου τῶν ἐκατὸν εἴκοςι πέντε νομιςμ(άτων) ἀριθμ[ίων καὶ τὰ ἀπὸ τῶν λογοθεςιῶν ἀποπληρώςω κινδύνῳ ἐμῷ καὶ τ[ῆς ἐμῆς ὑποςτάςεως, ὑποθέμενος ἐπὶ τῷ δικαίῳ τούτου τοῦ ςυνᾳλλά[γματος πάντα μου τὰ ὑπάρχοντα καὶ ὑπάρξοντα ἰδι[κῶς καὶ γενικῶς ἐνεχύρου λόγῳ καὶ ὑποθήκης δικαίῳ. κύριον τὸ ςυνάλλαγμα διςςὸν γρᾳφ(ἐν) [καὶ ἐπερ(ωτηθεὶς) ώμολ(όγηςα).

(m. 2)  $+'I\omega c \dot{\eta} \dot{\phi} \ c \dot{v} \dot{v} \ \theta(\epsilon) \hat{\omega} \ \psi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \tau \eta c \ \kappa \alpha \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} v οικιολόγο c v \dot{\epsilon} \dot{o} c τοῦ τῆc εὐλαβ(οῦc)$   $\mu v \dot{\eta} \mu \eta c \ Bίκτορο [c γενομέ(νου) διακ(όνου)$ 

(καὶ) εὖαγγέλ(ου) ὁ προγεγραμμένος πεποίημε τοῦτω τὼ cυν⟨άλλ⟩αγμα τῆς . . . [ c. 10 letters

ἀπὸ ἀρχῆς τῶν ἐνοικίων δευτέρας ἰνδ(ικτίωνος) καὶ ἀποδώςω τοῦ ὑπερ[ 10–15 letters

ώς πρόκ(ειται), ὑπέγραψα χειρὶ ἐμοι καὶ ἀπέλυςα. +

(m.  $_{3}$ ?) +  $_{6}$ ι' ἐμοῦ Ἰωάννου τυμβολαιογράφου ἐτελειώθη.  $\not =$ (vac.)  $\dot{}_{1}$ τ () ἰνδ(ι)κ(τίωνος)  $\beta$  (ἔτους?)  $_{6}$ ς τους?) τος τους?

Back, along the fibres:

(m. 1?) + ευνάλλ(αγμα) Ἰωτὴφ τοῦ] εὐλαβ(εττάτου) ψάλτου τῆς φιλοπον(ίας?) τοῦ ἀγί(ου) Θεοδώρου καὶ ἐνοικιολόγ(ου),

υἰοῦ τοῦ τῆς εὐλαβ(οῦς) μνή]μ[(ης)] B[i]κ[το]ρ[ος γενο]μέ(νου) διακ(όνου) (καὶ) εὐαγγέλ(ου) ἀπὸ τῆς Ὁξυρυγχ(ιτῶν) πόλ(εως).

6 ευτεβς 8 φλαουϊω, ῦπερφυεττατω	10 ιδιω ΙΙ ιωτηφ Ι2 ο	ιγι/, ενδοξ/, ῦμων,
υΐος 14 ίδια 15 ύμετερανῦ[περφυειαν	16 ενά, λογιζομ∫ <sup>ε</sup> 17 ϊνδ	21 ῦμων
20 πμετεραππερφί 22 ενοζνομικώ 25	ύμων 27 ιδιωτικω 2	9 υμετερανυπερφ
30 νομιεμί 32 ύποςταςεως ύποθεμενος	33 υπαρχοντα, υπαρξονταιδι[κως	34 ύποθηκης
35 $\overline{i}\omega$ ςηφ, $\overline{\theta}\omega$ , $\overline{v}$ iος, $\overline{\epsilon}v$ λαβ $\overline{\varsigma}$ 36 $\overline{\varsigma}$ εναγγελ	/: 1 memolinuau majira ma	7 απ. Ινδί, υπερ[
35 ιωτηφ, σω, υιος, ευλαρί 30 βευαγγελί	1, 1. Wellouppar 10010 10	) ) .00
38 ίδιωτικ/ζυγς 39 προκ/υπεγραψα; 1. έμή	40 ϊωαννου 41 ινδκ/!	42 ευ <i>λ</i> αρ <b>)</b> ,
φιλοπονς, $αγι/$ , ενοικιολογ/? 43 $γενο]μ/εδιακ/ς$	ευαγγελ/, οξυρυγχ\$πο <sup>λ</sup> ?	

3958. RENT COLLECTOR'S WORK CONTRACT

8 11 On Flavius Apion III see 3939 4 5 n., on Menas see 3935 7 n., and for the standard wording

'In the name of the lord and master Jesus Christ, our god and saviour. In the reign of our most godly and most pious master, greatest benefactor, Flavius Heraclius, the eternal Augustus and Imperator, year 4, Pachon n, indiction 2.'

To Flavius Apion the most renowned and most extraordinary consular and patrician, landowner here also in the splendid city of the Oxyrhynchites, through Menas, slave, putting the formal question and supplying for his own master, the same most renowned man, the conduct of and responsibility for (the transaction), from me, Joseph, psalmist of the confraternity of St Theodore and rent collector of your glorious household, son of Victor of discreet memory late deacon and gospeller, subscribing below in my own handwriting, from the same city, greeting.'

'I acknowledge by willing resolve and voluntary choice that I have come to an agreement with your excellency through the persons attached to you for one year reckoning from the beginning of the rents of the present second indiction on condition that I fill the place of rent collector for you in the properties (belonging to you?) situated in this city of the Oxyrhynchites, from houses and stores and other (premises) belonging to your glorious household and pertaining to the collectorship (and that I pay?) to your excellency through the persons attached to you on account of the agreed (sum) ... for the same one year one hundred and twenty-five solidi of gold by the private standard of this city, and the cash in small denominations which is supplied by me to the persons attached to your glorious household reckoned in accordance with the vouchers ... in my name will be delivered at the rate of thirty-two thousand (talents) for one solidus by the private standard. The oil delivered by me I shall (account for in accordance with?) the hitherto prevailing custom, being supplied with the workers for the purpose of ... I shall present my accounts relating to your excellency ... for the agreed sum of the one hundred and twenty-five solidi of account, and the liabilities arising from the auditing procedures I shall pay in full at my own risk and at that of my property, mortgaging to the right of this contract all my possessions both now and in the future in particular and in general by way of pledge and by right of mortgage. The agreement, written in two copies, is binding and in answer to the formal question I gave my assent.'

(2nd hand) 'I, Joseph, by God's grace psalmist and rent collector, son of Victor of discrect memory late deacon and gospeller, the aforewritten person, have concluded this contract ... from the beginning of the rents of the second indiction and I shall deliver (for the sum agreed in respect of this service?) one hundred and twenty-five solidi of gold by the private standard in the aforesaid manner, as aforesaid. I subscribed in my own handwriting and delivered (the contract).'

(3rd hand?) 'Though me, John, contract writer, the agreement was completed. ... indiction 2, (year) 290, 259.

Back: (1st hand?) 'Contract of Joseph the most discreet psalmist of the confraternity of St Theodore and rent collector, son of Victor of discreet memory late deacon and gospeller from the city of the Oxyrhynchites.'

1-3 For this sort of protocol, written with a very broad point in a tall laterally compressed script so stylized as to be illegible for us today, and for its use in connection with notarial contracts, see most lately L. Migliardi Zingale, Studi ... Biscardi V 151-175. Justinian laid down a rule, valid for Constantinople only, that notarial contracts should be written on a piece of papyrus retaining the protocollon of the roll, see Nov. 44.2. Papyri from Egypt and Nessana show that provincial notaries like ours occasionally followed the same practice. Our example, which begins with the fat letter which is undoubtedly the phi of Φλάουιος or  $\Phi\lambda(\acute{a}ovioc)$ , cf. Migliardi Zingale p. 168, was evidently written in only three lines, the ends of which are damaged like the rest of the document: this invalidates the suggestion that a three line formulation is an indication of a date near the end of the seventh century at the earliest, ibid. 166-7.

Only two early protocols with texts clearly enough written to be comprehensible were known to Migliardi Zingale, ibid. 164-5. Now six more fairly legible examples from the fifth century have been published as CPR IX 39-43a.

The word protocollon properly denotes the first sheet of a papyrus roll, designed to act as a protective wrapper and attached with its fibres at right angles to those of the rest of the roll on each side, see E. G. Turner, The Terms Recto and Verso (Pap. Brux. 16) 20-22 (§§ 3. 5. 1-2). The earliest evidence for it may be the papyrus containing the famous curse of Artemisia of the fourth century BC, see G. Bastianini, Tyche 2 (1987) 1-3.

5 Formula 1 is normal, see R. S. Bagnall, K. A. Worp, CE 56 (1981) 121; contrast 3954 2-3 and n. 6-7 Cf. Bagnall, Worp, Regnal Formulas 68

cf. 3941 14 18. 11 ψάλτης [τῆς φιλοπον(ίας?). Cf. 42. Α ψάλτης was a man in minor orders who sang psalms in church, sec G. W. H. Lampe. Patristic Greek Lexicon s.v., and in the papyri P. Ant. II 109, 16, P. Batav. I 24, 1, P. Haun, III 62, 16, P. Iand, VIII 154, 12, P. Lond, III 1071b (p. LV), 18 (see next para.), P. Ross.

7 Pachon = 26 April 25 May. ἐνδ(ικτίωνος) β, cf. 17, 37, = 613/4.

Georg. V 63, 3, P. Strasb. VII 638, 3, Stud. Pal. III 411, 1, 801, 1; add ἐεροψάλτης in P. Amst. I 57, 2 and P. Princ. II 62. 5. On the ψάλται of a rather later period see N. K. Moran, Singers in Late Byzantine and

Slavonic Painting.

LS7 records φιλοπονία as an abstract noun and it appears, again probably as an abstract, in a fragmentary inscription of 57-6 BG, SB V 7746. 29. However, we know φιλόπουοι as members of confraternitics who performed minor duties in churches, see E. Wipszycka, 'Les confréries dans la vie religieuse de l'Egypte chrétienne', Proceedings of the XIIth International Congress of Papyrology 511-525, P. J. Sijpesteijn, 'New Light on the PIAOHONOP, Aegyptus 69 (1989) 95-99. The feminine article in line 42 recommends expansion of the phrase as  $\tau \hat{\eta} c \phi i \lambda o \pi o \nu (lac?)$  and this feminine is no doubt the word which denotes the corporate body of the φιλόπονοι. Elsewhere a neuter form \*φιλοπόνιον (or -πονείου?) has this meaning, see Sijpesteijn, loc. cit. 95 and n. 2, Wipszycka, loc. cit. 522. The list of φιλόπονοι in P. Lond. III 1071b contains a ψ[ά]λ[του (line 18), according to Sijpesteijn's probable restoration, loc. cit. 98.

12 τοῦ ἀνί(ου) Θεοδώρου. A church of one St Theodore or another at Oxyrhynchus was tentatively recognized in XI 1357 65 ( $\Theta \in [\delta \delta \omega \rho o \nu]$ ), cf. 63-6 n. P. Princ. II 87. 15 is addressed to a man who in AD 612 was 'doorkeeper of St Theodore', which is again likely to refer to a church with this dedication at

ένοικιολόνος. Cf. LVI 3870 7 n. for the papyrus references (add P. Princ. III 140 recto ii 63, as revised and renumbered by K. A. Worp, BASP 24 (1987) 115, 123) and a survey of the duties of rent collectors, the most unexpected feature of which is that they act as cashiers or treasurers, disbursing money for other purposes as well as receiving rents and paying them over to the estate. For example, in XVI 1904 one is intermediary for a payment to armed guards (εύμμαχοι) in respect of a journey they made to Alexandria. In LVI 3870 the writer, imprisoned in Heracleopolis with some colleagues, asks his correspondent to arrange for a rent collector to send them an advance on their monthly wages; note, however, that in this case it could be that the prisoners were subordinates of the rent collector and on that account entitled to receive their wages from him.

12-13 τη [c εὐλαβοῦc] μνήμης. Cf. 35. When he was alive this person would have used the honorific epithet εὐλαβέστατος, typical of church officials, see O. Hornickel, Ehren- und Rangprädikate 13-14, L. Dinneen, Titles of Address 23-5, but in these phrases with μνήμη in the Byzantine period the superlative is transformed into the positive, cf. e.g. P. Mich. XIII 659. 3 (εὐλαβέςτατον), contrasted with της εὐλαβοῦς μνήμης in 11, 44, 61, 88, 93, and more than a dozen other references to the same man throughout the document. In 42 the correct expansion is therefore εὐλαβ(εστάτου), because it refers to a living person.

13 εὐαγγέλ[ου. Cf. 36 εὐαγγέλ(ου). See G. W. H. Lampe, Patristic Greek Lexicon s.v. εὐάγγελος 2, '(a human being) who reads the gospel at Church services, εὐ. ἀνὴρ βίβλον ἀερτάζων διανίεςεται Paul. Sil., ambo. 247 (M.86,2261A)'. Although εὐάγγελος in this sense has not been recognized in the papyri, it looks as if P. Strasb. VII 638. 3-4, published as ]λτης καὶ Εὐάγγελος κα[/\* καθολι]κῆς ἐκκληςίας δι[, should be viewed as ψά]λτης (so already ed. pr. 3 n.) καὶ εὐάγγελος κα[. It is not likely that κα[ is the beginning of καθολικής.

16-17 ἀπὸ ἀ[ρχῆς τῶν] ἐνοικίων. Cf. 37.

17 την χώραν. Cf. e.g. I 136 15, 140 12, 3933 13.

18–19 Restore possibly προς [ήκους $\omega$  αὐτ $\hat{\eta}$  ( = τ $\hat{\eta}$  ὑμετέρ $\hat{\eta}$  ὑπερφυεί $\hat{\eta}$ ), cf. 20–21 προςηκόντω[v τ $\hat{\psi}]$  ἐνδόξ $\hat{\psi}$ ύμῶν οἴκω.

21  $\kappa q_1^2$  ... [ c. 5 letters  $\tau \hat{\eta}$ . We need a word meaning 'to pay', see 22 n.; the traces are minimal.

22 πάκ του. Cf. 30. Restore possibly τῶν ἐνοικίων? The exact meaning of πάκτον is not clear. In I 138 of AD 610-11 it refers to a sum paid by the Apion estate to the contractor for the cursus velox and for the estate stable of riding animals (πακτάριος τοῦ ὀξέως δρόμου τοῦ ἐνδόξου ὑμῶν οἴκου καὶ τοῦ βαδιετικοῦ ετάβλου τοῦ αὐτοῦ ἐ. ὑ. οἴκου, 9-10). The contractor receives λόγω πάκτου one Roman pound of gold (= 72 solidi): καὶ δέξαεθαί με παρ' αὐτῆς λόγω πάκτου τῆς αὐτῆς χρείας τοῦ αὐτοῦ ἐνιαυτοῦ χρυςίου λίτραν μίαν (26-7). Υετ here, in spite of the difficulty in the reading of line 21, the sum of 125 solidi paid λόγω πάκτου is clearly to be delivered to the estate by the contractor, see 37-8 καὶ ἀποδώςω ... νομίςματα έκατὸν είκοςι πέντε and the

dative  $\tau \hat{\eta}$  |  $\hat{\eta}_{\mu\nu\tau\ell\rho\alpha} \hat{\eta}_{\tau} \psi_{\pi\rho\rho} \psi_{\nu\ell\alpha}$  in 21–2. In LV **3805** 35 the entry for 15 solidi  $\hat{\psi}(\pi\dot{e}\rho) \pi\dot{\alpha}_{\kappa\tau\sigma\sigma} \tau \sigma \tilde{\nu} \delta\rho\mu\sigma\nu$  was interpreted as a payment to the Apion estate and this remains plausible, but the form of the account leaves a degree of doubt, cf. **3805** 7 - 8 n. (p. 167 para. 4).

23-4 ίδιωτικώ] ζυγώ τάντης τής πόλεως. Cf. 27, 38, and, the only parallel I know, SB XIV 11601. 8 ίδιω]τικώ ζυγώ τής ΄Οξυρυγχ[ι]τών πόλεως, from Λεχυρίω 56 (1976) 27 and Tav. III. Usually we have simply ζυγώ τής πόλεως or δημοτίω ζυγώ τής π. The private standard of a city is a little like a contradiction in terms, but it looks as if we should accept the terminology. On the relationships between the standards of 3805 - 28 n.

25-6 ἀκολούθως τρίξι] . . . . [c. 8 letters ] πρὸς ἐμὲ πιττακίοις. The πιττάκια, 'vouchers', were perhaps contensigned copies of receipts issued by the collector to the payers of rent. In 1 136, the work contract of an Apion estate steward, he promised to transfer money to the estate ἀκολούθως τοὶς ἐνοῖο ἀνοῖος οτοῖο ἐκοῖος ονεωργοῖε (22–3). For the equivalence of ἐντάγιον απα πιττάκιον cf. e.g. 1 142 ι ἐντάγ[ιο]ν ἐμοῦ Μεκλαῖε]—a sort of heading, 11–12 (the subscription) cτοιχῖ μοι τοῦτο τὰ πιττάκ(ιον)—l. στοιχῖ μοι τοῦτο τὸ πιττάκ(ιον)—l. στοιχῖ μοι τοῦτο τὸ πιττάκ(ιον)—l. στοιχῖ μοι τοῦτο τὸ πιττάκ(ιον), 13 (the endorsement) πιττάκ(ιον) Μεκλᾶ κτλ. The missing element here is probably a participle, but the traces are minimal and the exact meaning is uncertain.

26 The only parallel for this manner of expressing the value of the solidus is XVI 1904, a voucher of and 618 for a payment made through a rent collector to armed guards for a journey which they made to Alexandria. The payment was '16 thousand' in coin reckonced as the equivalent of seven and a half carats of gold by the Alexandrian standard: ἐν κέρμα(τι) - κέρμα(α) cd. pr., but see L. C. West, A. C. Johnson, Currency 129 s.ν. κέρμα - χλ(ια) δεκαθέ, ἐν κέρμα(τι) χλ(ια) ις μό(να), εἰς χρυσοῦ Άλεξ(ανδρείας) κερ(άπα) δ.μ. μό(να). The missing monetary term, as here, is probably talents, see 1904 3 n., West, Johnson, Currency 161, cf. 134. If seven and a half carats were 16,000 units, sol. 1 (= car. 24) would seem to have been 51,200, but West and Johnson guessed that the payment was for a notional car. 8— a triens, they call it, a gold coin one third of the weight of a solidus—with a deduction of half a carat, so that a solidus would have worked out conveniently at 48,000 units. Whatever the details and whatever the unit it is clear that the rate in 1904 of AD 618 is much higher than the '32 thousand' here in 3958 of AD 614. This tends to confirm the observation of P. J. Sijpestejin (acknowledging K. A. Worp and K. Maresch), ζPE 62 (1986) 153 and n. 2, that 'a steep rise of the price of solidi occurs in the twenties of the VIIth century AD', with the reservation that the rise is really evidenced for the second decade of the century and began before the Persian invasion of AD 619, to which Worp is reported as attributing it.

If the unnamed unit is the talent, as seems likely to me too, we can also compare the rates in Apion estate accounts of the sixth century, in which for a period of about twenty years, from AD 557 to AD 577, the solidus appears to have been tarified at den. myr. 4,800 (×10,000) = 48,000,000 denarii (+1500) = tal. 32,000, cf. LV 3804 272 n. It seems, therefore, that the period of the stability of the subsidiary coinage lasted as late as AD 614, but that by AD 618 it had ended, and a rapid rise had brought inflation of fifty per cent. The Persian menace may have sparked it off.

brought innation of firty per cent. The restain menace may have sparked it on.

In XVI 1917 59 den. myr. 1,200 are converted to sol.  $\frac{1}{6}$ , i.e. sol. i = den. myr. 72,000 (×10,000 = den. 72,000,000 ÷ 1,500) = tal. 48,000, the same rate as is plausibly calculated for 1904. This means that 1917 should be later than 3958, April/May AD 614, so that its fifth indiction is likely, if the preceding arguments are correct, to refer to 616/17 and the  $\frac{1}{6}$ mep $\frac{1}{6}$ mer $\frac{1}{6}$ mer is likely to be either Flavius Apion III or possibly the pseudo-Strategius III, which the Heracleopolite elements of the account might favour. This conflicts with the view of the first editors, 1917 introd., where they take the consul to be probably Flavius Apion II (consul ordinarius AD 539) and say, 'The character of the hand suggests a date fairly early in the sixth century'. This criterion can be disregarded if the analysis of the course of the exchange rates is

correct, but the evidence remains thin, and we do not know if exchange rates outside the influence of the

Apion estates would have followed the same course.

Other evidence which has been brought into connection with the inflation of this period is more doubtful and deserves brief discussion. Sippestejn's article referred to above is a reconsideration of a text he published earlier, P. Mich. inv. 3709, in ZPE 61 (1958) 82-4 and Taf. III c. It seems to give the value of one solidus as den. myr. 11,250 (= tal. 75,000) and the value of three solidi consistently as den. myr. 33,750 (= tal. 225,000). This very high value seems to put the document into the seventh century, later than an 618, but the writing shown by Taf. III c suggested the fifth century to Sijpesteijn, although in his return to the subject in ZPE 62 cited above he very reluctantly accepted that the monetary argument ought to overrule the palaeographic one. I share his reluctance to assign the document to the seventh century. A date range which centres around an 400 is what I should expect, with the earlier part of that range by no

means excluded, but I am not sure whether the interpretation of the writing is wrong or whether this document is evidence of an earlier stage of very high inflation of which we know no more at present.

The remarks of Worp and Maresch reported in ZPE 62 (1986) 153, n. 2 deserve support: XVI 1921 dates from AD 621, because of the mention of the Persians and the number of the indiction, cf. 3959 introd. para. 2; Stud. Pal. XX 218 dates from the seventh century, because of the invocation and the absence of a regnal or consular date clause; neither of them specifies any relationship between gold and the subsidiary coinage that we can understand until the nature of the folis is established.

27 δίδεται = δίδοται, cf. F. T. Gignac, Grammar ii 383 (§ 1 end), referring to VII 1053 13, W. Chr.

284. 17.

At the end of the line we might expect  $\lambda\eta\mu\mu\alpha\tau\ell\omega\sigma$ , cf. I 136 27, 28. The oblique descending to the left seems characteristic of lambda and we seem to need a first person verb meaning something like 'pay' or 'deliver' to which  $\lambda\alpha\mu\beta\delta\omega\omega$  can attach. However, the surviving remains of letters are not consistent with that or with  $\lambda\alpha\gamma\ell\zeta\rho\mu\omega$ . The reference to the hitherto prevailing custom in 28 makes it more likely that the oil is payment in kind which the rent collector agrees to give to the estate as a premium than that he has the task of supplying oil to the estate's customers, but it is not entirely clear to me what is going on.

28 ἀγγαρευτάε. Sec P. Hamb. III 216 introd. pp. 97–8 and the lists pp. 100–103. The earliest papyrus reference is from an 586 and only three of the twenty-five attestations listed are earlier than the Arab period, counting P. Iand. I 24, which, if from Oxyrhynchus, is very unlikely to be so late. These workers received pay and allowances in kind. In the Arab period the varied jobs that are attested for them were connected with public services. In PSI III 200 from Oxyrhynchus (revised version in P. Hamb. III p. 99) the work is done êke τὸν κῆπον Εὐτρη[[τ]]γείου (Ι. Εὐτρηνίου) and this may be related to the πωμάρ(ων) εγγιὸς Εὐτρυγίου of LI 3640 3 and to the λαχανία Εὐτρυγίου of XVI 1913 17, both associated with the Apion villa at Oxyrhynchus, see 3640 2–3 and nn. The word itself, derived from ἀγγαρεύευ, makes it clear that the work was compulsory, but it is not clear by what right the Apion estate was entitled to demand it.

 $\pi\rho \delta c \tau o \hat{v} [\tau o, \text{ for this purpose', i.e. to do the physical work of supplying and transporting the oil, may$ 

suit; πρὸς τοὺ[ς ... might be right, but I cannot think of a suitable short word to follow.

30 ἀριθ $\mu$ [ίων. Cf. L. C. West, A. C. Johnson, Currency 120–1. This would refer to the basic number of solidi on which any charges are calculated. However, the word order elsewhere is invariably ἀρίθ $\mu$ μα νομίζιματα. The only alternative I have thought of is ἀριθ $\mu$ φ πλήρη, 'in number complete' = 'paid in full', cf. P. Harr. I 83. 7, X 1270 36, but these, of the mid second century and the early third respectively, are too distant in date to be satisfactory parallels for this text.

36 At the end of this line we might expect τὸ cυνάλλαγμα τῆς ἐνοικιολογίας, cf. 3952, the contract of a προυσητής, where in the corresponding passage of the subscription we have τ. c. τῆς προυσητίας (51), but this is clearly impossible as a reading. Possible might be τῆς χρε[ίας, cf. 3933 21, but that is not the

corresponding passage.

37 τοῦ ὑπερ[ 10–15 letters. We rather expect τὰ ὑπὲρ [τοῦ πάκτον] χρυcοῦ νομίζματα κτλ. A mistake of τοῦ for τά is not impossible, but this restoration is also slightly too short to be entirely satisfactory. Perhaps τοῦ ὑπὲρ [ταύτης (sc. τῆς χρείας) πάκτον] would be a better guess, '(for) the sum agreed in respect of this (service)'.

38 κατά τὸν προκ[είμενον τρόπον, Cf. I 140 30.

39 ἀπέλυςα. Cf. 3952 53 n.

40 Cf. 3933 40 n., 3936 35, 3942 36, 3952 58-9, 3955 28. Notarial subscriptions entirely in Greek are not otherwise attested for the Oxyrhynchite nome after the fourth century, see J. M. Diethart, K. A. Worp, Notarsunterschriften im byzantinischen Ägypten 19.

41 Sec Pl. VI. The signs at the very beginning look hesitant; they could be shorthand. The tau has an arc above it. We might expect here a repetition of the month and day number from line 7, but nothing of the sort is recognizable. The indiction number, cf. 17, 37, and the Oxyrhynchite era numbers correspond with regnal year 4 as given in line 7 above, see R. S. Bagnall, K. A. Worp, *The Chronological Systems* 92.

(ἐτουκ?). The sign looks like a xi or a zeta with a long vertical tail and this interpretation of it is doubtful. It could be argued that its placing suggests that it is just a decorative sign after the indiction

number, since it is closer to that than to the era numbers, but see 3960 3 n.

42 εὐλαβ(εςτάτου). Cf. 12-13 n.

# 3959. DEED OF SURETY

48 5B.31/E(1-2)a

10 × 19 cm

12 January 620

This contract enables us to date the death of Apion III to the second half of 619, during which the last Persian occupation of Egypt began, or at the latest before 12 January 620. It is addressed 'to the glorious household once belonging to Apion the late former patrician', in which formula μακαρίτου, 'late', tells us without ambiguity that he was dead. The writer of P. Iand. III 49, dated 5 July 619 by the combination of regnal year o of Heraclius, indiction 7, Epeiph 11, still believed him to be alive. 3959 has no regnal or consular date, showing that it was written when Egypt was not under imperial rule from Byzantium. It begins with an invocation of Christ in the standard formula and by way of date gives only the day of the month, Tybi 16, and indiction 8. That this eighth indiction is AD 619/20 is suggested by 3960, an account for AD 620/21 specified by era numbers as well as by indiction 9, addressed in a comparable way 'to the glorious household lately belonging to Apion in well famed memory'. The suggestion can be corroborated by the argument that in the eighth indiction of the next cycle, AD 634/5, Egypt was securely back under the rule of Heraclius, so that a contract of that year would have had a regnal clause in his name, and that the following eighth indiction, AD 649/50, is incredibly remote from the other mentions of Apion III, see 3939 4-5 n., and later than any known Greek document from Oxyrhynchus.

On the basis of Stud. Pal. III 86, a document dated only by indiction and mentioning the Heracleopolite household of Apion III, but assigned to 623 by L. C. West, A. C. Johnson, Currency 121, because of a monetary term of allegedly Persian derivation, J. Gascou, Travaux et Mémoires 9 (1985) 75, suggested that Apion collaborated with the Persians and was punished by confiscation after the recovery of Egypt by Heraclius in 629. On the contrary, it seems now that Apion III died at a date so close to the Persian invasion as to suggest the speculation that he died in the fighting or was eliminated by them. The survival of his household as an economic unit is interesting, but it is not surprising if the Persians for their own advantage wanted Egypt's economy to function as smoothly as possible under the existing arrangements. It should be repeated that there is no reason to doubt that XVI 1921, which mentions a 'glorious household', the Persians, and an indiction 9, dates from 621, against J. M. Carrié, in Dévaluations à Rome ii (Collection de l'école française de Rome No. 37) 260 n. 4, see above 3958 26 n. end. The 'glorious household' of 1921 could in theory be that either of Apion III or of the prominent landowner now known as pseudo-Strategius III, see 3935 6 n., 7 n., but since Apion III appears much more often in papyri from Oxyrhynchus, his estate is likely to be meant.

A similar fate could be surmised for pseudo-Strategius III. The latest well dated documents referring to him in a form which shows that he was considered to be alive are of 615, namely BGU II 368 (25 June 615) and SB I 5271 (10 December 615), see

the lists in Gascou, op. cit., 70 n. 392, and K. A. Worp, ZPE 56 (1984) 115. Documents which mention him and have been tentatively assigned to the Persian period are uncertainly dated by the indiction only, viz. Stud. Pal. VIII 1072 (ind. 11 = 622/3?) and 1228 (ind. 12 = 623/4?), cf. Worp ibid. It is unfortunate that Stud. Pal. X 1, which shows that his household too functioned after his death, is undated.

By way of apology for the speculations about the fates of these great landowners it is appropriate to recall the facts: what we know is only that Flavius Apion III was considered alive on 5 July 619 and was dead by 12 January 620, while his household continued to function as an administrative unit at least for the year 620/21, and that pseudo-Strategius III was considered to be alive on 10 December 615 and that his household functioned as a unit at an unknown date after his death.

For this well known type of document see the list by G. Bastianini in R. Pintaudi, *Miscellanea Papyrologica* (Pap. Flor. VII) 25–7, and the literature cited by I. Fikhman, ibid. pp. 68–70, with P. J. Sijpesteijn, *ZPE* 62 (1986) 146; 65 (1986) 164.

The contract is written along the fibres; there is no sheet-join.

Ψ ἐν ὀνόματι τοῦ κ(υρίο) υ καὶ δεςπ(ότου) Ἰηςοῦ Χριςτοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ ςωτῆρ(ος) ἡμῶν. (vac.) Τῦβι ις , ἰνδ(ικτίωνος) η . (vac.) τῷ ἐνδόξῳ οἴκῳ ποτὲ Ἀπίωνι

- 5 τοῦ μακαρίτου γενομέ(νου) πατρικίου διὰ Μηνᾶ οἰκέτου τοῦ ἐπερ(ωτῶντος) καὶ προςπορ(ίζοντος) τῷ ἰδίῳ δεςπ(ότη) τῷ αὐτῷ πανευφ(ήμῳ) ἀνδρὶ τὴν ἀγωγὴν καὶ ἐνοχήν. Αὐρήλιος Ἀνοῦπ υἰὸς Μηνᾶ
- 10 Καμοῦλ ἀπὸ κώμης ζερύφεως τοῦ 'Οξ(υρυγ)χ(ίτου) νομοῦ ὁμολογῶ ἑκουςίᾳ γνώμη καὶ αὐθαιρέτω προαιρέςει ἐπωμνύμ(ενος) τ[ὸν θ]εῖον καὶ cεβάςμι'ον΄ ὅρκον ἐγγυᾶςθαι καὶ ἀναδέχεςθαι
- 15 παρ' ὑμιν Αὐρήλιον Παμούθιον υἱρν Μηνᾶ ἀπὸ τῆς αὐτῆς κώμης ἐφ' ὧτε αὐτὸν ἀδιαλείπτως παραμείναι καὶ διάγειν ἐν τῆ αὐ]τῆ κώμη καὶ ἐπιζητ[ού]με(νον)

 $1 \overline{\kappa v}$ , δεκπζίητου  $2 \cos \tau \eta \rho / 3 \varepsilon \overline{v} \delta^0 / \overline{\eta}$   $4 l. Μπίωνος <math>5 \gamma \epsilon v ο \mu \xi$   $6 \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho l$ ,  $\pi \rho c \pi o \rho / 7 \overline{\iota} \delta l \omega \delta \epsilon \varepsilon \pi \xi$ ,  $\pi \alpha v \epsilon v \delta / 11 o \xi$   $13 \epsilon \pi \omega \mu v \nu \mu \xi$ ;  $l. \dot{\epsilon} \pi o \mu v \dot{\nu} \mu \epsilon v o \varepsilon$   $19 \epsilon \pi \iota \xi \eta \tau [v v] \mu \xi$ 

αὐτὸν πα]ρᾳφέρω κα[ὶ παραδώςω δί |χα λόγου ἔ[ν]θ[α

Back, downwards along the fibres:  $+ \epsilon \gamma \gamma \dot{v} \eta \, A \nu o \hat{v} \pi \, [v] \dot{i} [o] \hat{v} \, [v]$ 

'In the name of the lord and master Jesus Christ our god and saviour. Tybi 16, indiction 8.'

'To the glorious household once belonging to Apion the late former patrician, through Menas, slave, putting the formal question and providing for his own master, the same most renowned man, the conduct of and responsibility (for the transaction). I, Aurelius Anup son of Menas (also called?) Camul from the village of Seryphis of the Oxyrhynchite nome, acknowledge by willing resolve and voluntary choice, swearing the divine and august oath, that I guarantee and receive at your hands Aurelius Pamuthius son of Menas from the same village upon condition that he shall remain continuously and abide in the same village and if he is sought I shall bring him forward and hand him over without cavil in the place where (I received him) ...'

Back, 'Guarantee for Anup son of ...'

1-2 Cf. 3955 1 n.

118

6–8 Cf. 3935 7 n. for Menas. This becomes the latest reference, in place of P. Iand. III 49 of 5 July 619. 9–10 The succession of three names is of doubtful meaning. Camul could be the grandfather's name or an alias of either the father or the son, cf. e.g. LV 3804 72 n.

#### 3960. WINE ACCOUNT

54 1B.25(A)/E(5)-(8)

119 × 20 cm

621

The main interest of this account is that it shows the Apion household continuing to function as an economic unit in the Persian period and after the death of the last head of it that we know of, cf. 3959 introd.

The account relates to the ninth indiction defined by the Oxyrhynchite era numbers 297 and 266, which is the equivalent of the Egyptian year 29 August 620 to 28 August 621. It would have been compiled by the wine steward after the latter date, but probably not long after; it seems reasonable to guess that this fair copy would have been filed in the central estate office before the end of the Julian year 621.

This wine steward (olvox ειρι cτήc) seems to have been in charge of an area of the Apion estate rather in the way that the general stewards (προνοηταί) were in charge of a group of Apion holdings which were near enough to one another to be managed jointly, cf. LV **3804** introd. p. 96. Before it breaks off col. iv gives the names of six holdings which still owed quantities of wine to the landowner. This was possibly for rent in kind. Col. i had what I take to be a longer version of the same list, from which survive fragmentary entries for receipts from eight holdings. The first entry, line 4, relates to the same place as the first of col. iv, line 31; line 9 seems to have had the same place as 32 below. Neither of these lists is likely to have lost more than five or six more entries, see below. The first one would probably have mentioned receipts from all the holdings for which the steward was responsible and any holding which

had made no deliveries would have been listed in col. iv, which gives the schedule of arrears, so that in all probability the steward can have been responsible for up to thirteen or fourteen holdings only. The guess that these places were near enough to each other to be conveniently administered together is perhaps supported by XVI **2044**, which has entries for four of our six holdings, Adaeu, Partheniados, Orthoniu, and Leonidu with Limeniados, and by **1916**, with entries for three of them, Adaeu, Partheniados, and Cotyleeiu.

If I understand the arrangement correctly, each of the four columns collects a separate category of entries: col. i records receipts from holdings in the steward's area; col. ii lists extraordinary receipts of wine exacted for a special reason (12) or bought in from holdings outside the steward's area (13–16); col. iii records expenditure; col. iv lists arrears still owing from the holdings of the steward's area.

The figures are clear in outline, although they pose some minor questions. The sum total of receipts survives in line 18 as 33,289 cnidia. The capacity of the cnidium was probably not invariable, but a possible capacity was eight sextarii or roughly eight pints or four and a half litres, cf. LI 3628 15 n.; at which rate the receipts were roughly 214,000 bottles in modern terms. The surviving entries in cols. i and ii total 16,503 cnidia, which leaves 16,786 to be accounted for at the foot of col. i, where the amount of space available is not very likely to have accommodated more than five or six entries, see below. One of these entries would be expected to record a second delivery of 456 cnidia from the people of the holding of Leonidu with Limeniados, because their first delivery is specified as 6,200 out of 7,822, leaving 1,622 unpaid (4), while arrears from the same place are booked as 1,166 (31). The other entries at the foot of col. i must then have had a higher average than those at the top of the column, but this probably presents no particular problem. Col. ii was presumably blank below the sum total of receipts which survives. Surviving expenditure in col. iii totals 26,257 cnidia before it breaks off. Surviving arrears in col. iv total 2,098 cnidia in clear figures; the last, incomplete, entry is complicated by money equivalents, but can be calculated to indicate further arrears of 233\frac{1}{3} cnidia. It looks as if the figures would have been very close to balancing if the text had survived in full, with arrears standing at less than 10% of receipts. One entry presents internal difficulties, not of serious importance for the overall picture, see 25 n.

On wine in the Apion estates see E. R. Hardy, *The Large Estates of Byzantine Egypt* 118–122, cf. 102–5; add especially XXVII **2480** introd.

What survives is a long strip of the upper part of the account about 20 cm high. To judge from the large hand and generous layout there would probably have been 10 to 15 cm more below for the whole length, containing potentially up to five or six more lines in each column with a lower margin. There is also some papyrus missing at the left, but the beginning of col. i with its address to the household looks as if it was the beginning of the account; on the edge at the back there are remains of the feet of a few letters of an endorsement written downwards along the fibres of the verso.

The remains might be consistent with  $+\lambda [\delta yoc] I[\omega \dot{\alpha} \nu \nu o \nu]$ , the name of the wine steward in question being John, see line 3, although I am not sure what could have left the very long serifed descender before what in this reading is taken to be the descender of the cross. At the end on the recto there is an expanse of blank papyrus about 13.5 cm wide and a straight right edge, which suggests that the account was complete with the end of col. iv. There are seven sheet joints; the first, incomplete, sheet is 10 cm wide, and the remaining joins occur at fairly regular intervals of 15, 15.5, 16, 15.5, 15.5, and 15.5 cm, with 16 cm to the right of the last visible join.

 $+\tau\hat{\omega}$   $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\delta(\delta\xi\omega)$   $o''_{i}\kappa(\omega)$  ` $\pi$ o $\tau\hat{\epsilon}$  '  $A\pi'_{i}\omega\nu$ oc  $\tau$ o $\hat{\imath}$  { $\tau\hat{\eta}$ c}  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\epsilon\dot{\nu}\kappa\lambda\epsilon\epsilon\hat{\imath}$   $\tau\hat{\eta}$   $\mu\nu\dot{\eta}\mu\eta$   $\tau\hat{\omega}$   $\delta_{i}\alpha\kappa\epsilon_{i}\mu\dot{\epsilon}(\nu\omega)$ καὶ κατὰ ταύτην τὴν Ὀξυρυγχιτῶν πόλ(ιν). λόγο(ς) λημμ(άτων) (καὶ) ἀναλωμά(των) γενομέ(νων) δι' έμοῦ Ἰωάννου οἰνοχειρ(ιςτοῦ) ῥύσεως θ ἰνδ(ικτίωνος) (ἔτους?) ς ζ΄ (καὶ)  $c\xi \varsigma^{-}$ .  $\lambda \eta \mu \mu (\acute{a}\tau \omega \nu) \circ \ddot{v}(\tau \omega c)$ : ] τῶν ἀπὸ Λεωνίδου (καὶ) Λιμενιάδος (m. 2) ἀπὸ κνιδ(ίων) ζωκβ (m. 1) οἴνου κνίδ(ια) [5ς c. 17 letters (vac.) οΐνου κνίδ(ια) c. 15 letters οἴνου κνίδ(ια) (vac.)  $\tau \nu$ c. 16 letters οἴνου κνίδ(ια) (vac.)

c. 15 letters οἴνου κνίδ(ια) (vac.) c. 15 letters 🗷 ]υ Χωρίου (vac.) οἴνου κνίδ(ια) ιαςλ5 íβ c. 17 letters 100 (vac.) οἴνου κνίδ(ια)

c. 18 letters ιου (vac.)  $[o"ivov] \kappa [v] i\delta(\iota a) \iota a$ 

2 πολί, λογλημμίζι = (καί): and so throughout) αναλωμίζ Ι ενδ/οικ/, διακειμ€ς δι/εμου ϊωαννουοινοχειρ/,  $\iota \nu \delta I$ : and so usually;  $\epsilon P \overline{\zeta} \zeta \epsilon \xi \overline{\varepsilon}$ ;  $\lambda \eta \mu \mu \zeta \sigma$ 4 κνιδ/, and so throughout; οἴνου written with ou in monogram above v: and so throughout

καὶ τὰ ἀπαιτηθ(έντα) π(αρὰ) τῶν ἀπὸ Ἐπιςήμου χάρ(ιν) τῶν Περςῶν οίνου κνίδ(ια) υθη τὰ ἀγοραςθ(έντα) π(αρὰ) τῶν ἀπὸ Πηλέας ὑ(πὲρ) νο(μιςμάτων) κε, τοῦ νο (μίτματος) α οἴ(νου) κνιδ(ίων) ιη, γί(νεται) οἴνου κνίδ(ια) υν τὰ ἀγοραςθ(έντα) π(αρὰ) τῶν ἀπὸ Ἀπόλλωνος ὑ(πὲρ) νο(μιςμάτων) λς ἄλ(λων),

τοῦ νο (μίζματος) α οἴνου κνιδ(ίων) κδ. γί(νεται) οΐνου κνίδ(ια) ωξδ τὰ ἀγοραςθ (έντα) π(αρὰ) τῶν ἀπὸ Πουςεμπόϋς ὑ(πὲρ) νομ(ιςμάτων) (vac.) τοῦ

νο(μίτματος) α κνιδ(ίων) (vac.)

```
\gamma i(νεται) οἴνου κνίδ(ια) ω, έξ ὧν ἀντεδό\theta(η) αὐτ(οῖc) ἐν ὄξω
                                      κνίδ(ια) ριζ, λοι(πά)
                                                                                            οἴνου κνίδ(ια) χπγ
                                      (vac.)
+ \gamma i(νεται) \delta(μοῦ) τῆς ὑποδοχ(ῆς) τοῦ οἰνοχειρ(ιςτοῦ) ῥύςε(ως) θ ἰνδ(ικτίωνος)
     οἴνου κνιδ(ίων) (μυριάδες) γ κ[αὶ] /γςπθ. +
     12 a\pi a_i \tau n^{\theta} \pi / : and so throughout, \gamma a_0 / :
                                                      13 avopac\theta; and so throughout, v/; and so throughout,
v^0: and so usually, oi/: usually oivou, with ov in monogram above nu, \overline{i\eta}, \gamma i/: and so throughout
                            16 αντεδοαυ; 1. ὄξει; λοι/
15 νομζ (usually ν)
                                                             17 δ(μοῦ) is a large omicron cut by two parallel
obliques rising from bottom left to top right, υποδοχ, οινογειρ/ρυς θινδ//
                                                                                     18 \bigcirc = (\mu\nu\rho\iota\acute{a}\delta\epsilon c)
```

 $+ \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \dot{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \lambda \dot{\omega} \theta(\eta) \dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\iota} \tau \dot{\eta}(\epsilon) \alpha \dot{\upsilon} \tau (\dot{\eta} \epsilon) \theta \dot{\iota} \nu \delta(\iota \kappa \tau \dot{\iota} \omega \nu o \epsilon)$ εἰς τὰς ἀγί(ας) ἐκκληςί(ας) καὶ ξενοδοχ(εῖα) καὶ μαρτύρ(ια) τῆς πόλεως καὶ έν ἄγροις λόγω προςφορά(ς) ἀκολούθ(ως) βρεβίω ὅντι ἐν τ(ῷ) χαρτουλα(ρίω), έπὶ τῆς θ ἰνδ(ικτίωνος) ςὺν ὄξω οΐνου κνίδ(ια) μυνη εἰς τὰ εὐαγῆ μοναςτήρ(ια) (καὶ) ταῖς χήρ(αις) (καὶ) ἀδυναμ(οῦςι) λόγω εὐςεβεί(ας) οίνου κνίδ(ια) φος τοῖς ταξεώτ (αις)  $\dot{v}(πὲρ)$  ςυνηθ(ειῶν) ἐξ ἔθ(ους) καὶ ἐπὶ τῆ(ς) θ ἰ(νδικτίωνος) οἴνου κνίδ(ια) τξς τῶ ἐμβολάτορ(ι) `κνίδ(ια) ρ΄ (καὶ) τῶ μειζοτέρω `κνίδ(ια) ρν΄ cὑν ναύλ(οις) πακτωναρ(ίων) `κνίδ(ια) μβ' (καὶ) ἄλλ(οις) `κνίδ(ια) ςξ' οἴνου κνίδ(ια) τκβ τὰ ῥογευθ(έντα) τοῖς Πέρςαις ἀκολούθ(ως) καταλόγω ὅντι ἐν τῷ χαρτουλ(αρίω) οἴνου κνίδ(ια) ζεπα τοῖς παραμοναρ(ίοις) ἐλευθέρ(οις) ὑ(πὲρ) ὀψωνί(ων) θ ἰνδ(ικτίωνος) οἴνου κνίδ(ια) /η ϡς τοῖς  $\pi \alpha [\iota \delta \alpha \rho(i ο \iota c) \ Aiγ] v \pi \tau i(ο \iota c) \dot{v}(\pi \epsilon \rho) \dot{o} \psi \omega v i(\omega v) \theta \dot{i} [v \delta(\iota \kappa \tau i \omega v o c)]$  $oi[v]ov \kappa[vi\delta(\iota a)] / \tau \mu \eta$ 

21 προςφορά/(?) ακολού, ενχαρτουλά/(?) 20 αγι/εκκληςι/, ξενοδοΧ, μαρτυρρ/ 23 μοναςτηρρ/, χηρρ/, αδυναμμζ, ευςεβει/ 24 ταξεωτίτι, ουνηεξε, τθι/ 26 ρογευ, ακολού, χαρτουλ δ (possibly κν?) ter, μειζοτερω/, ναυλλξπακτωναρρ/, αλλξ27 παραμοναρρ/ελευθερρ/, οψωνι/ 28 αιν |υπτι/, οψωνι/

```
3960. WINE ACCOUNT
```

123

```
(\kappa \alpha i) \ddot{\epsilon}\mu\epsilon\iota\nu(\epsilon\nu) \dot{\epsilon}\nu \dot{\epsilon}\chi\theta(\dot{\epsilon}c\epsilon\iota) \pi(\alpha\rho\dot{\alpha}?) \tau oic \dot{\epsilon}\xi\hat{\eta}c \tau \acute{o}\pi oic \dot{\epsilon}\pi i \tau\hat{\eta}(c) \alpha \dot{v}\tau(\hat{\eta}c) \theta \dot{v}\delta(\iota\kappa\tau\dot{\iota}\omega\nu oc)
```

οἴνου κνίδ(ια) μαρξς π(αρά) τοῖς ἀπὸ Λεωνίδου (καὶ) Λιμενιάδος οἴνου κνίδ(ια) π(αρά) τοῖς ἀπὸ Νοτίνου Χωρίου οἴνου κνίδ(ια) π(αρὰ) τοῖς ἀπὸ Ἀδαίου οἴνου κνίδ(ια) π(αρά) τοῖς ἀπὸ Παρθενιάδος οΐνου κνίδ(ια) φιδ π(αρά) τοῖς ἀπὸ Ὀρθωνίου

π(αρὰ) τοῖς ἀπὸ Κοτυλεείου ἀπὸ νο(μιςμάτων) ιβ ἰδ(ιωτικῷ ζυγῷ)

είς οἴνου κνίδ(ια) χ ἀφ' (ὧν) ἐδόθ(η) τῷ τριβούνω εἰς τὴν ρόγαν τῶν Περςῶν ἰδ(ιωτικῷ ζυγῷ) νο(μίςματα?) ζ (τρίτον) ] [ c. 15 letters ] [

29  $\epsilon \mu \epsilon i \nu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \chi /; 1. \ \ddot{\epsilon} \kappa \theta \dot{\epsilon} c \epsilon i; \pi /(?), \tau a \nu$ 38 18/22

35

'To the glorious household lately belonging to Apion in well famed memory, situated also at this city of the Oxyrhynchites. Account of receipts and expenses made through me, John, wine steward, for the produce of the 9th indiction, year 297 and 266. Receipts as follows:

From(?) the persons from Leonidu and Limeniados (2nd hand) from cnidia 7,822, (1st hand)

wine, cnidia 6,200

From the persons from ...

wine, cnidia 542

From the persons from ...

wine, cnidia 350

From the persons from ...

wine, cnidia 400

From the persons from Adaeu(?)

wine, cnidia 2,280

From the persons from Notinu Choriu

wine, cnidia 1,236

From the persons from Orthoniu(?)

wine, cnidia 2,000

From the persons from Cotyleeiu(?)

wine, cnidia 1,000

col. ii

'Also those which were exacted from the persons from Episemu on account of the Persians wine, cnidia 408

Those which were bought from the persons from Peleas, in respect of sol. 25, at wine enid. 18 per sol. 1, total

Those which were bought from the persons from Apollonos, in respect of another sol. 36, at wine cnid. 24 per sol. 1, total

wine, cnidía 864

Those which were bought from the persons from Pousempoys, in respect of sol. ... (vac.), at \( \sqrt{wine} \) cnidia ... (vac.) per sol. I, total wine cnidia 800, from which were returned to them in new wine cnidia 117, remainder

'Sum total of the receivership of the wine steward for the produce of the 9th indiction

wine, cnidia 33,298.

col. iii

'From which were expended in the same 9th indiction:

To the holy churches and hostels and martyrs' shrines of the city and in the country on account of oblation according to a schedule which is in the secretarial office, for the 9th indiction, including new wine

wine, cnidia 8,458

To the well sanctified monasteries and to the widows and invalids on account of picty

To the staff officers in respect of customary payments, by custom also for the 9th indiction

wine, cnidia 366

To the supervisor of the grain tax (cnidia 100) and the meizoteros (cnidia 150) with freight charges for tenders (cnidia 42) and to others (cnidia 260!?)

wine, cnidia 322(!)

Those which were issued to the Persians according to a list which is in the secretarial office

wine, cnidia 7,281

To the free assistants for salaries of the 9th indiction

wine, cnidia 8,906

To the Egyptian 'boys' for salaries of the 9th indiction

wine, cnidia n,348 ...

col. iv

'And there remained in arrears at the following places for the same 9th indiction, as follows:

In the hands of the persons from Leonidu and Limeniados

wine, cnidia 1,166

In the hands of the persons from Notinu Choriu

wine, cnidia

In the hands of the persons from Adaeu

wine, cnidia 225

In the hands of the persons from Partheniados

wine, cnidia 153

In the hands of the persons from Orthoniu

wine, cnidia 514

In the hands of the persons from Cotyleeiu, from sol. 12 by the private standard converted to wine, cnidia 600, from which there were given to the tribune towards the distribution to the Persians, sol.  $7\frac{1}{5}$  ...'

1 τοῦ (τῆς) ἐν εὐκλεεῖ τῇ μνήμη. Cf. I 135 4, 136 4, XVI 1989 5; the intrusive τῆς, which disconcertingly appears also in 135, 136, and 1989 (in the form τοῖς), seems to be a recollection of the alternative formula τῆς εὐκλεοῦς μνήμης, cf. Cp. Haun. III 64, τι, 1τ, 2ς (all restored), I 137 5 (εὐκλείας ed. pr., but a photograph confirms the standard wording), XVI 1898 7, 1976 5, 1987 6, SB XVI 12484. 3. All these refer to Apion II, except P. Haun. III 64, where all the cases are restored improbably, cf. XVI 1887 2, XXXVI 2780 10, L 3583 3, SB XII 11079. 9–10, all οἴκου τοῦ τῆς περιβλέπτου μνήμης Τιμαγένους, P. Warr. 3. 2–3 τοῦ τ[ῆ]ς [λ]αμπρᾶς μνήμης Τιμαγένους.

3 Indiction 9, year 297 (and) 266 = AD 620/1, see R. S. Bagnall, K. A. Worp, Chronological Systems 93, cf. above introd. para 2.

The symbol before the era numbers, which seemingly represents (ĕrouc), resembles a psi in this case, see Plate VIII. Contrast 3958 41 n. and Plate VI. In 3952 59 another zeta- or si-shaped symbol occurs before the era numbers, but separated from them by an isolated sloping puright, see Plate VI.

4 At the beginning  $\pi(a\rho\dot{a})$  seems likely, since these are receipts, see 3. This will have been repeated in the same postion in lines 5–11. It might have been preceded by some such descriptive phrases as  $\tau\dot{a}$  deposed  $(\dot{\theta}\tau\dot{a})$  or  $\tau\dot{a}$  damatry  $(\dot{\theta}(\dot{\tau}\tau\dot{a}))$ , cf. col. ii 12–15. If 80, 6–11 would have been set out to the left about 3 cm, more than line 1, which is possible. However, I think it more likely that there was nothing before  $\pi(a\rho\dot{a})$ , because these entries record deliveries due from the holdings in the steward's area, and that the  $\kappa al$  at the top of col. ii (line 12) introduces a series of extraordinary receipts of wine exacted for a special reason or bought in from holdings outside the steward's area, see introd, para. 4.

Λεωνίδου. See P. Pruneti, I centri abitati 95-6.

Λιμενιάδος. See Pruneti, op. cit. 97.

8 This entry may relate to Adaeu, cf. 33.

9 Restore probably Noτίνο] v Χωρίου, cf. 32. See Pruneti, op. cit. 124, cf. 3953 8 n.

10 This entry may relate to Orthoniu, cf. 35.

11 This entry may relate to Cotyleeiu, cf. 36.

12 Ἐπιτήμου. Cf. Pruneti, op. cit. 47-8; add LV 3805 18, 85.

Περτών, cf. 26, 38. There is no doubt that the reference is to the Persian invaders, see 3959 introd., para. 2. These 498 cnidia exacted from the village of Episemu were probably among the 7,281 expended to Persians and noted in 26.

- 13 Πηλέας. Cf. Pruneti, op. cit. 150 s.v. ΠΗΛΕΩC. A check of the original shows that Πηλέας should also be read in XVIII **2197** 191, 192, and the same reading is clear on a photograph of XVI **2055** 36, now in Cairo.
  - 14 Απόλλωνος. Cf. Pruneti, op. cit. 33.
- 15 Πουτεμπόϋς. Cf. Pruneti, op. cit. 153-4; add LV 3787 47. The spelling Που- occurs otherwise only in XVI 2034 4, 13.
- 20-21 On donations to religious establishments cf. 23 and n., LV 3804 145-8 n. On ξενοδοχεῖα see especially G. Husson, Akten des XIII Internationalen Papyrologenkongresses 174-7. They do not appear in the papyri before the sixth century; add new references P. Haun. III 64. 1, 6, 10, 16, 26; L 3600 13 (1 December AD 502). The hamlet of Leonidu (4, 31) had both a ξενοδοχείον and a μαρτύριον, see XVI 1910 4.
  - 21 On βρέβιον (βρέουιον) meaning 'schedule' see LI 3628 1-2 n.
- έν τ( $\hat{\omega}$ ) χαρτουλα(ρίω), cf. 26. This wording seems to imply a neuter noun χαρτουλάριον, meaning 'secretariat'. I can find no definite evidence of this elsewhere, though cf. Du Cange col. 1736, but it might be very suitable in LV 3804 293, recording a purchase of papyrus rolls εία χρείαν. ... τον λόγων καὶ ἄλλίων) τοῦ γεουχικίοῦ) χαρτουλαρίου. This was translated 'for use on ... the accounts and other (papers) of the landlord's secretary', because χαρτουλάριου is well attested in the meaning 'secretary'. The difficulty pointed out in the note there (pp. 184–9) is that many chartularii are known in connection with the Apion estates; E. R. Hardy, The Large Estates of Byzantine Egypt 94–5, was able to distinguish three types. 'The landlord's secretary' in the singular is therefore surprising in the context. 'The landlord's secretariat' makes much better sense.

23 'Monasteries, widows, invalids'. On the Apion donations to churches, monasteries and deserving individuals see E. R. Hardy, *Large Estates* 139 145, cf. 20–21 n. They are paralleled by the church's own charitable activities, see E. Wipszycka, *Les ressources . . . des églises* 109 120, esp. 114 on widows, citing XVI 1854\_6

24 ταξεώτ(αω). These are most likely to have been the officiales of the provincial governor of Arcadia, who had his headquarters at Oxyrhynchus, cf. Li 3636 2 n. See XVI 1919 3 and SB VI 9106. 7, where in each case they appear not far away from an ἀρχων, i.e. praese;

25 ἐμβολάτορ(ι). This official was evidently mainly connected with the ἐμβολή, the chief tax in grain, but his functions are not at all clear, see E. R. Hardy. The Large Estates 57, LVI 3873 8 n. (p. 171).

μειζοτέρω. Cf. LVI **3871** 3 n.; add J. Gascou, *BIFAO* 76 (1976) 147 n. 2, 152 n. 5, equating it with the Latin term *maior domus*, which is usually applied to officers of barbarian royal households in the West, see A. H. M. Jones, *Later Roman Empire* i 254, 260–1. This one is obviously not a mere village headman, cf. **3954** 15 and n., and may be an official of the Apion household.

πακτωναρ(ίων). Cf. SB I 4323. 14 (πακτονάριν) and XLVIII **3406** 3 (πακτωνάριν), where it seems to be mentioned again in the commoner form πάκτωνος (gen.), though just possibly a distinction was intended.

The figures added subsequently above the line are very clear; they give a total of 552, rather than the 322 which has been allowed to stand.

26  $\hat{\rho}o\gamma\epsilon v\theta(\hat{\epsilon}v\tau a)$ . Cf. L. R. Palmer, A Grammar of the Post-Ptolemaic Papyri 134, pointing out that the endings  $-\epsilon \delta \omega$  and  $-i \delta \omega$  have a special usage in naturalizing Latin loan-words, and that in this case erogare is the Latin original. See also 37–8 n. para. 2.

27 παραμούαρ(ίοις) ἐλευθέρ(οις). The only παραμούριος elsewhere in the Greek papyri is party to a contract, SB I 4490, in which he binds himself to serve a defensor of Arsinoe for a year in return for an allowance of 9 artabas of wheat and one solidus less a deduction of ι carat. He is a free man, Theodorus son of Symeonius. The date is thought to be 19.1641 or 20.1656, see R. S. Bagnall, K. A. Worp, CE 56 (1981) 127 n. 6. His position as a free but contracted assistant or agent seems likely to be somewhat similar to these agents of the Apion estate. On similar contracts of παραμούγ see A. H. M. Jones, Later Roman Empire ii 855, 1357 n. 74. He includes a reference to P. Strassb. I 40, an interesting four-year contract for a φαμαλιάριο εθέροιος κατάδουλος παῖα. The use of παραμένειν in connection with slaves and freedmen owing duties to their patrons is discussed by G. H. R. Horsley, New Documents Illustrating Early Christianity iv Nos. 23–4, esp. pp. 98, 102–9. K. A. Worp, ZPE 50 (1983) 132, 16 n., has suggested παραμούρων as an appropriate restoration in a fragment of a contract of Δα 634. J. Banaji has kindly drawn my attention to CPR IV 160, 161 and 168(a), two virtually complete Coptic work contracts of παραμονάρωι and a fragment of a third, all using the Greek word. It is interesting that 161 specifies the length of service, one year if correctly restored, while 161 gives only a starting date.

LSJ gives the implausible translation 'watchman' for its sole reference, C. Just. I 3. 45. 3, where the word figures in a list of lay employees of the church: ξενοδόχους ἢ ππωχοτρόφους ἢ νοκοκόμους ἢ βρεφοτρόφους ἢ δρφανοτρόφους ἢ γεροντοκόμους ἢ παραμοναρίους ἢ οἰκονόμους ἢ ἀπλῶς τῶν εὐκεβῶν πράξεων διοικητάς. G. W. H. Lampe, Patristic Greek Lexicon gives the translation 'administrator, guardian' with references showing them in ecclesiastical contexts, mostly as guardians of particular churches.

 $28 \pi \rho [\imath \delta a \rho (\imath o \kappa) Aiy]_{\nu \pi \gamma i (o \kappa)}$ . Only the tops of the dotted letters survive, but the remains of  $\pi \rho [$  and  $\pi \gamma i$  are so characteristic that there is hardly a doubt that the reading is correct. The restoration is based on P. Princ, II q6. 1–9:

- βρέ(ουϊον) δψωνίων παιδαρ(ίων) Αλγυπτ(ίων) καὶ γυναικ(ῶν) καὶ ἄλλ(ων) ἐπὶ τῆς ιε ἰνδ(ικτίονος), οὕτως: βρέ(ουϊον) δψωνίων παιδαρ(ίων) Αλγυπτ(ίων):

This is followed by allowances in wheat first to Philoxenus, a  $\mu\epsilon\iota\zeta\delta\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$ , to Phoebammon his father, to Jacob 'son of the same Phoebammon', and so on up to line 53, with grain allowances to various principals and to their fathers, brothers, sons, one mother (49, cancelled, with a note of her death), sisters, wives, and daughters. On the back is another version of the first section of the same list without a note of the amounts of the allowances.

126

631/2

# 3961. Fragment of Contract

The vexed question of the place of παιδάριον in the terminology of slavery arises again. P. Princ. II 96 looks at first sight as if it refers to free persons, because in the traditional Greek and Roman view male slaves might have a mother whose status they inherited, but in legal terms could have no father or wife or children or other kin. Clearly παιδάριον there does not indicate youth: besides μειζότεροι and ex-μειζότεροι the list mentions tradesmen, one who made cakes (πλακουντά 38), a player of the pandura (39), and a cook  $(\mu\alpha\gamma\langle\epsilon\rangle i\rho(\omega), 50)$ . In our context the qualification of the assistants in 27 as free implies that they had counterparts who were not free, and it is most natural to find these in line 28. We are forced to agree with I. A. Straus that such words as παῖς, παιδίον, παιδίςκη, and παιδάριον can only be interpreted if the individual context gives a clue, see Scritti in onore di O. Montevecchi 389-390=ANRW II. 10. 1 pp. 849-50. I. F. Fikhman, 'Slaves in Byzantine Oxyrhynchus', Akten des XIII Internationalen Papyrologenkongresses 117-124, took such references into account with appropriate reserve, see ib. p. 119, cf. id., Jahrb. für Wirtschaftsgeschichte 1973, 2 pp. 149-206 for more detailed lists. Unlike Fikhman, I.c. 203, I am inclined to take the evidence to suggest that the παιδάρια are slaves. This has the implication that the παιδάρια Αλγύπτια of P. Princ. 96 were not free either. The status of the παιδάρια Γοθθ(ικά) of PSI VIII 953. 17, 32, 47, 84; 956. 26 will probably have been the same, cf. Amm. Marc. 22. 7. 8 for Galatian traders in Gothic slaves, although this refers to AD 362, with J. F. Matthews, The Roman Empire of Ammianus 326-7. Likewise see Amm. Marc. 31. 4. 9-11, about a comes and a dux on the lower Danube buying up Gothic slaves cheaply in time of famine in 378, with A. H. M. Jones, Later Roman Empire ii 853, 1356 n. 69, and J. Gascou, BIFAO 76 (1976) 155-6 on Gothic bucellarii, which is more relevant to the date of these documents. [See now also

31 Cf. 4 n.

The figure of 1166 is surprising, because according to line 4 this holding had delivered 6200 cnidia from a total due of 7822, and so at that stage still owed 1622. There may have been one or more entries recording the delivery of the missing 456 cnidia, probably at the foot of col. i, cf. introd.

22 Cf. on.

33 Abalov. Cf. P. Pruncti, I centri abitati 23-4; add LV 3805 118.

J. Gascou, K. A. Worp, in R. Pintaudi, Papyrologica Florentina XIX i 223.]

34 Παρθενιάδος. Cf. Pruneti, op. cit. 136; add LV 3805 102. 35 'Oρθωνίου. Cf. Pruneti, op. cit. 126; add LVI 3865 51.

36-8 It is not quite clear to me what the money calculations mean. It could be that the villagers were paid 12 sol. in advance for 600 cnidia or perhaps more probably that 600 cnidia due as rent were valued at 12 sol. The rate of 50 cnidia per sol. is clearly very cheap, see 13 and 14, where the wine steward bought in wine at 18 and 24 cnidia per sol. The contribution of the cultivators to the roga of the Persians was presumably in wine rather than in gold coin, i.e. the text would have continued εἰς οἴνου κνίδ(ια) and a figure. At the rate specified 71 solidi would represent 3663 enidia, leaving 2331 still owing to the household. cf. introd.

36 Κοτυλέείου. Cf. Pruneti, op. cit. 90; add LV 3804 introd. p. 96, with the references in the index to that volume, p. 240.

37-8 τριβούνω είς την ρόγαν των Περεών (cf. 12 n.). The tribune could have been a civil servant, that is a titular tribune of the militia officialis, cf. e.g. XVI 1876 introd. p. 71 (palatinus, magistrianus, tribunus), P. Mil. II 70. 8 (among ταξεώται), but the function of distributing wine to the Persian invaders is probably comparable with the annona militaris and therefore that of a soldier. Tribunus was the commonest title of a regimental commander and so by itself gives very little clue to the status of the holder, see A. H. M. Jones, The Later Roman Empire i 640. At this juncture the Byzantine army in Egypt was presumably carrying out its routine duties under Persian orders. One might say that there is a parallel between the Persians' exploitation of the standing army and their exploitation of the existing Apion household.

ρόγαν. For references see F. T. Gignac, Grammar ii 7; add CPR VIII 74. 3, 7, P. Ness. III 92. 36, 38, 42 (bis), 43. For the postulated transmission of roga from vulgar Latin into various Romance languages see J. Kramer, ζPE 51 (1983) 121-2. It appears to be the equivalent of erogatio as ρογεύω is the equivalent of erogare, see above 26 n. Gignac and Kramer make it paroxytone in opposition to early publications, such as F. Preisigke, WB, which give it as oxytone. Kramer loc, cit, p. 121 p. 27 says the reason for the earlier accentuation is not obvious, but one may guess that the editors thought that the word was actually a vulgar abbreviation of erogatio and so retained the accent on that syllable.

17 × 10 cm 97/31(c) This scrap is only the second dated Oxyrhynchite document of the period between the Persian and Arabic occupations to be published, cf. R. S. Bagnall, K. A. Worp,

Regnal Formulas 68-9, who were writing before the first, and the best parallel to this, was edited by P. J. Sijpesteijn, ZPE 65 (1986) 163-7. Here only the top and beginnings of six lines survive, the first five occupied by the standard invocation of Christ and the date formulas, and the last with an address to a church, 'to the holy catholic church of god', which was the cathedral church of Oxyrhynchus, see 6 n.

There is no endorsement to give a clue to the nature of the contract, but the back is occupied by four lines of writing practice published below as 3962.

There is no sheet join to prove which side is the recto. The writing of the contract, which no doubt stood here before the other side was used for the writing practice, runs across the fibres. The practice runs along the fibres of the back.

+ ἐν ὀνόματι τοῦ κυρίου καὶ δεςπότου Ἰη[coû] χ[ριςτοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ cωτήρος ήμων. βαςιλείας τῶν θειστάτων καὶ εὐςεβεςτάτων ἡ [μῶν δεςποτῶν Φλαουΐου

Ήρακλείου τοῦ αλωνίου Αὐγούςτου καὶ Αὐτοκράτορος ἔτους κ[n] [ καὶ ὑπατείας τῆς αὐτοῦ εὐςεβείας (?) ἔτους nn καὶ

Φλαουΐου Ἡρακλείου νέου Κων<br/>σταντί[νου τοῦ θεοφυλάκτου αὐτοῦ υἰοῦ  $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau o v c n n^{-}$ , (month and day),

(vac.)  $i\nu\delta(i\kappa\tau i\omega\nu oc)$   $\pi\epsilon\mu\pi\tau\eta c$ ,  $(\epsilon\tau ovc)$   $\tau\eta[(\kappa\alpha i)$   $co\zeta$ . τη άγία του θεού καθολική ἐκκληςία [

5 ινδο/, L = (ξτους)

'In the name of the lord and master Jesus Christ our god and saviour. In the reign of our most godly and most pious masters, Flavius Heraclius, the eternal Augustus and Imperator, year ..., and in the consulship of his piety (?) year ..., and (in the reign) of Flavius Heraclius, new Constantine, his godprotected son year ..., (month and day), fifth indiction, year 308 (and 277).

'To the holy catholic church of god ...'

1 The restoration of formula 1 is virtually certain, see R. S. Bagnall, K. A. Worp, CE 56 (1981) 121. For very rare variations see 3954 2-3 n.

2-4 Cf. P. Princ. inv. AM 11244 of AD 634/5, edited by P. J. Sijpesteijn, ZPE 65 (1986) 163-7. That document too is damaged and has lost the ends of the lines. At the end of line 3 there restore Φλ(aoυΐου) before 'Ηρακλείου, which begins line 4, and in 4 add καί between Αὐ[γούςτου and Αὐτοκράτορος], as here.

I have not dared to restore the year numbers, since the best parallel is also damaged. The name of Heraclius II does not appear in dating clauses until after the expulsion of the Persians. The indiction

number 5 and the first surviving figure of the Oxyrhynchite era numbers, showing it to be above 300, date the document securely between 30 August 631 and 28 August 632, see R. S. Bagnall, K. A. Worp, Chronological Systems 93. Since the clerk of 3955 had advanced the regnal year number to 2 by 23 September 611, before the first anniversary of the dies imperii of Heraclius, see introd. there, my expectation would be that at any period of the year in Oxyrhynchus all reckonings of the date would be assimilated to this traditional Egyptian year; if so, the figures would be regnal year 22 of Heraclius I, consular year 22 (or 21?), regnal year 20 of Heraclius II, cf. K. A. Worp, BASP 22 (1985) 361–3. This remains to a great extent a speculation, especially in view of the inconsistencies already encountered in these late dating formulas.

3 εὐcεβείας (?) is a stopgap, cf. ZPE 65 (1986) 165.

4 θεοφυλάκτου. Cf. ZPE 65 (1986) 163, line 7.

6 τή ἀγία τοῦ θεοῦ καθολική ἐκκληςία. Cf. XVI 1967 3 (ΛΔ 427), 1900 3 (ΛΔ 528), PSI III 216. 3 (ΛΔ 534). The two sixth century items go on in the same terms: τῆ ὑπὸ τοῦ ἀγιώτατον καὶ θεοφιλέςτατον πατέρα ἡμῶν ἀββᾶ Πέτρον ἐπίκκοπον ταὑτης τῆς λαμπρᾶς 'Οξυρυγχιτῶν πόλεως; 1967, about a hundred years earlier, although damaged, clearly has something similar but shorter, also naming a bishop Peter. As already observed in 1900 3-7 n., the wording ὑπὸ ... Πέτρον ἐπίκκοπον, 'under the supremacy of ... Peter bishop of ...', can hardly refer to a former bishop, so that presumably the earlier Peter was bishop in 427, and a later one was bishop at least from 528 to 534. Here there would probably have been a reference to a bishop in office in 631/2. It seems correct to view this church as a cathedral, i.e. the principal seat of the local bishop, although the adjective καθολική does not apply only to a bishop's principal church, see E. Wipszycka, Les ressources δε l'église 25-6, P. Col. VIII 244. 17 n.

#### 3962. Writing Exercise

97/31(c) 17 × 10 cm c. 631/2

This exercise is written on the back of 3961, a fragment of a contract of AD 631/2 which was without a doubt the original use of the papyrus. There is no sheet join to prove which side is the recto. The contract crosses the fibres, the exercise is written the same way up along the fibres of the back, and so we have the top and ends of four lines preserved here, while the contract has beginnings.

Lines 1 and 3 were written well apart by the first writer, who left c. 2.5 cm between them so that the second writer, who used a slightly darker ink, could copy each line underneath. At first sight there seems little to choose between the hands, but on closer inspection it emerges, as we would expect, that the first hand wrote fluently while the second, though not unpractised, is stiff as a result of the effort to copy the style of the letters above. The pupil made one mistake, which was the fault of the model, though it is not quite clear what the master did write, see 1-2 n.

On writing exercises in general see H. Harrauer, P. J. Sijpesteijn, *Neue Texte aus dem antiken Unterricht* (MPER XV) 9-14, esp. 10-11 on exercises in style or formula by practised writers.

The most interesting thing is that the first line is an address to a consular who was a local landowner. The formula would suit Flavius Apion III at an early stage of his career before he acquired the patriciate, see 3939 4–5 n., cf. e.g. XVIII 2202 5, XXVII 2478 4–5. However, he became a patrician about AD 605, while the exercise dates from not before AD 631/2. There is a rather similar case in MPER XV 95, where two consular dates about thirty years apart occur on a sheet of exercises. The editors contemplated with due reserve the possibility that the same sheet was used again thirty

years later, but that is even more unlikely here, where the contract looks like a genuine document, even if it was a spare copy or a draft botched at some point which has not survived. For completeness it should be added that Flavius Apion III is not the only known candidate. The formula would also suit Strategius I, see XVI 1984 3, which is, however, even further in the past, dating from AD 523. Finally, a remote possibility is that the formula is contemporary with the exercise and therefore refers to a consular landowner in possession of his lands in the territory of Oxyrhynchus in the interval between the withdrawal of the Persians and the Arab invasion, perhaps another member of the Apion family. I hasten to say that I know of no other indication that this could be the case, and do not believe it to be so.

```
 \begin{array}{lll} (m.\ i) & & ]\ d \pi \delta\ \emph{v} \pi \emph{a} \tau \omega \nu\ \emph{y} \epsilon \emph{o} \emph{U} \emph{y} \emph{o} \emph{v} \nu \tau \emph{i}\ \emph{k} \emph{a} \ \emph{i}\ \emph{e} \nu \tau \emph{a} \emph{v} \theta \emph{a}\ \tau \ \emph{f}\ \emph{h}\ \emph{a}\ (\mu \pi \rho \ \emph{h}\ \emph{o}\ \emph{e}\ \emph{o}\ \emph{e}\ \emph{v} \tau \emph{a} \emph{v} \theta \emph{a}\ \tau \ \emph{f}\ \emph{h}\ \emph{f}\ \emph{o}\ \emph{e}\ \emph{o}\ \emph{e}\ \emph{v} \tau \emph{a} \emph{v} \theta \emph{a}\ \tau \ \emph{f}\ \emph{h}\ \emph{f}\ \emph{o}\ \emph{e}\ \emph{e}\ \emph{v}\ \emph{e}\ \emph{o}\ \emph{e}\ \emph{v}\ \emph{e}\ \emph{v}\ \emph{e}\ \emph{o}\ \emph{v}\ \emph{e}\ \emph{v}\ \emph{e}\ \emph{v}\ \emph{h}\ \emph{h}\ \emph{e}\ \emph{e}\ \emph{v}\ \emph{e}\ \emph{e}\ \emph{v}\ \emph{h}\ \emph{h}\ \emph{e}\ \emph{e}\ \emph{e}\ \emph{v}\ \emph{e}\ \emph{e}\ \emph{v}\ \emph{e}\ \emph{e}\
```

ι 'λ'ας/?

"To ... consular, landowner here also in the splendid ... of Serenus of discreet memory from this ..."

1-4. The two lines of the model could be restored as part of a single formula for a prescript, c.g.:

Φλαουΐφ Άπίωνι τῷ πανευφήμω καὶ ὑπερφυεςτάτῳ] ἀπὸ ὑπάτων γεουχοῦντι καὶ ἐνταῦθα τῆ λα(μπρᾶ) Ὁξυρυγχιτῶν πόλει παρὰ name υἰοῦ τοῦ τῆς εὐ]λαβοῦς μνήμης Cερήνου ἀπὸ ταύτης τῆς Ὁξυρυγχιτῶν πόλεως.

Instead of  $map\acute{a}$  + genitive a nominative would be possible. If, however, this were the copy of a genuine document addressed to a member of the Apion family we would expect to see the name of an intermediary, 8id  $Mm\acute{a}$  0.64700  $\kappa$ 7M1, in the case of Apion III, see 3935 7 n., cf. 3941 1.4—18.

1-2 The invariable formula is  $\gamma cov \chi c \partial v \tau t$  καὶ ἐνταῦθα τῆ λαμπρὰ 'Οξυρνχειτῶν πόλει, cf. e.g. 3936 8-9, 3944 5-6, 3945 10-11. The fact that the pupil did not recognize the wording is perhaps some sort of confirmation that the formula was already an antiquated one. He clearly wrote  $\tau \tilde{\rho}_t \mu \tilde{\rho}_t$ , with mu in the proper shape for the style with the first stroke close to the vertical and descending well below the line. This derives from the master's version above, which though undamaged is far from clear. A lambda is recognizable, but looks as if it has been added, since it cuts through the cta; alpha is sketch and perhaps short of a loop. It is easy to see why the pupil thought of mu, though it would be a mu foreign to this style with a sloping first stroke. In the third and fourth centuries  $\lambda a\mu f$  was quite usual for  $\lambda a\mu (\pi \rho -)$ , but in these late texts it is usually not abbreviated so drastically;  $\lambda a f$  is very unusual. The master seems to have bungled the end of the line, probably because he misjudged the space.

3-4 For τῆς εὐλαβοῦς μνήμης cf. 3958 12-13 n.

,		

# INDEXES

Square brackets indicate that a word is wholly or substantially restored by conjecture or from other sources, round brackets that it is expanded from an abbreviation or a symbol. An asterisk denotes a word not recorded in LSJ or Suppl. The article is not

# I. RULERS AND REGNAL YEARS

# Augustus

θεός ζεβαςτός (no year) 3915 2

TIBERIUS

Τιβέριος Καΐςαρ Cεβαςτός 3915 21, 29, 30 (year 17)

NERO

Νέρων Κλαύδιος Καΐςαρ ζεβαςτὸς Γερμανικὸς Αὐτοκράτωρ (year 6) **3916** 16-17

#### Commodus

Αὐρήλιος Κόμμοδος Άντωνῖνος Καΐςαρ ὁ κύριος (year ο κύριος ήμων Διοκλητιανὸς καὶ ὁ κύριος ήμων Μαξιμι-28) 3918 10-13, 20-22

#### SEVERUS AND CARACALLA

οί κύριοι Αὐτοκράτορες ζεουήρος καὶ Άντωνίνος Εὐςεβείς ζεβαςτοί (year 1 (5-10)?) 3920 7-9

#### Macrinus

No titulature (year 2) 3921 9 (see 8-9 n.)

#### ELAGABALUS

Αὐτοκράτωρ Καίςαρ Μάρκος Αὐρήλιος Άντωνίνος Εὐceβηc Εύτυχης Ceβαςτός (year 2) 3921 46-7

#### SEVERUS ALEXANDER

δ κύριος ήμων Αὐτοκράτωρ Καιζαρ Μάρκος Αὐρήλιος Ceουήρος Άλέξανδρος Καίςαρ ὁ κύριος 3924 10-13 Αὐτοκράτωρ Καίςαρ Μάρκος Αὐρήλιος ζεουήρος Άλέξανδρος Εὐςεβης Εὐτυχης Cεβαςτός (year 2) 3924

## PHILIPPI

Αὐτοκράτωρ Καίςαρ Μάρκος Ἰούλιος Φίλιππος Εὐςεβής Εὐτυχής καὶ Ἰούλιος Φίλιππος γενναιότατος και ἐπιφανέςτατος Καίςαρ Cεβαςτοί (year 3) 3926

# Decius

Αὐτοκράτωρ Καιζαρ Γάιος Μέςςιος Κυίντος Τραιανός Δέκιος Εὐτεβης Εὐτυχης ζεβαστός (year 1) 3929

## DIOCLETIAN AND MAXIMIAN

ανδο Ceβαστοί (year 6 and 5) 3930 15-18

#### MAURICE

ό θειότατος καὶ εὐςεβέςτατος ἡμῶν δεςπότης μέγιςτος εθεργέτης Φλάουϊος Τιβέριος Μαυρίκιος ὁ αἰώνιος Αύγουςτος καὶ Αὐτοκράτωρ (year 6) 3934 1-4

ό θειότατος καὶ εὐςεβέςτατος ἡμῶν δεςπότης μέγιςτος εὐεργέτης Φλάουϊος Μαυρίκιος Τιβέριος ὁ αἰώνιος Αύγουςτος καὶ Αὐτοκράτωρ (year 9) 3935 1-4

ό θειότατος καὶ εὐςεβέςτατος ήμῶν δεςπότης ζμέγιςτος > εὐεργέτης Φλάουϊος Μαυρίκιος Νέος Τιβέριος δ αίώνιος Αύγουςτος καὶ Αὐτοκράτωρ (year 19) 3938

#### **PHOCAS**

ό θειότατος καὶ εὐςεβέςτατος ήμῶν δεςπότης μέγιςτος εὐεργέτης Φλάουϊος Φωκᾶς ὁ αἰώνιος Αὔγουςτος καὶ Αὐτοκράτωρ (year 2) 3940 4-6 (year 3) 3941 6-8 (year 4) **3942** 3-5 (year 5) **3943** 3-6 **3944** 1-3 **3945** 4-7 **3946** 3-6 **3947** 3-6 (year 7) 3948 3-5

## IV. MONTHS

#### 133

### HERACLIUS

132

# HERACLIUS AND HERACLIUS JUNIOR

- ό θειότατος καὶ εὐςεβέςτατος ήμων δεςπότης Φλάουϊος Ήράκλειος ὁ αἰώνιος Αύγουςτος καὶ Αὐτοκράτωρ (year 1) 3954 4-6 3957 4-6
- ό θειότατος καὶ εὐςεβέςτατος ήμῶν δεςπότης μέγιςτος εὐεργέτης Φλάουϊος Ἡράκλειος ὁ αἰώνιος Αΰγουςτος καὶ Αὐτοκράτωρ (year 2) 3955 2-3 3956 2-5 (year 4) 3958 6-7
- οί θειότατοι καὶ εὐτεβέττατοι ήμῶν δετπόται Φλάουϊος Ήράκλειος δ αἰώνιος Αυγουςτος καὶ Αὐτοκράτωρ ... καὶ Φλάουϊος Ἡράκλειος νέος Κωνςταντίνος δ θεοφύλακτος αὐτοῦ υίός (years?) 3961 2-4

## II. CONSULS

- ΑD 587/8 ύπατείας τοῦ αὐτοῦ εὐςεβεςτάτου ἡμῶν δεςπότου έτους πέμπτου 3933 2-3 3934 4-5
- ΑD 597/8 ύπατέιας τοῦ αὐτοῦ εὐςεβεςτάτου ήμῶν δεςπότου έτους ιε 3936 3-4
- ΑD 598/9 ύπατείας του αὐτου εὐςεβεςτάτου ήμων δεςπότου έτους ις 3937 3-4
- ΑD 600/1 ύπατείας του αὐτοῦ εὐςεβεςτάτου ἡμῶν δεςπότου έτους ιη 3938 5-6 3939 2-3
- ΑD 608/9 ύπατείας τοῦ αὐτοῦ εὐςεβεςτάτου ἡμῶν δεςπότου έτους ς 3948 5-6

## III. INDICTIONS AND ERAS

#### (a) Indictions

6th (587/8) <b>3933</b> 3, 12 <b>3934</b> 6 gth (590/1) <b>3935</b> 5	13th (609/10) <b>3949</b> 4 <b>3950</b> 2 <b>3951</b> 2 [ <b>3952</b> 2,
1st (597/8) <b>3936</b> 5 2nd (598/9) <b>3936</b> 20–21 (ἐπινέμητικ) <b>3937</b> 4	14th (610/11) 3951 2 (ἀρχή) 3952 16 (ἐπινέμ- ητικ) 3953 2 3954 7, 32, 45
4th (600/1) <b>3938</b> 7 <b>3939</b> 3 7th (603/4) [ <b>3940</b> 6]	15th (611/12) <b>3955</b> 3, 8-9 <b>3956</b> 5 <b>3957</b> 5 1st (612/13) <b>3955</b> 9 (ἐπινέμησις)
8th (604/5) <b>3941</b> 8, 28 gth (605/6) <b>3942</b> 6, 28	2nd (613/14) <b>3958</b> [7], 17, 37, (41) 8th (619/20) <b>3959</b> 3
roth (606/7) <b>3942</b> 30 (ἐπινέμησις) <b>3943</b> 6 <b>3944</b> 3 <b>3945</b> 7 <b>3946</b> 6 <b>3947</b> 6	9th (620/21) <b>3960</b> 3, 17, 19, 22, 24, 27, 28, 29 5th (631/2) <b>3961</b> 5
3 3945 7 3946 6 3947 6 12th (608/9) 3948 6	5th (631/2) <b>3961</b> 5

#### (b) Eras

267/236 286/255 287/256 288/257	610/11	<b>3935</b> 5 <b>3949</b> 5 <b>3952</b> 2 <b>3954</b> 45 <b>3955</b> 8	<b>3953</b> 2?	290/259 297/266 308/277	620/21	<b>3958</b> 41 <b>3960</b> 3 <b>3961</b> 5
--	--------	---	----------------	-------------------------------	--------	--

# IV. MONTHS

Αθύρ <b>3921</b> 29 <b>3922</b>	11 <b>3923</b> 6 <b>3937</b> 4	3943		
6 <b>3944</b> <sub>3</sub> <b>3945</b> <sub>7</sub>	<b>3946</b> 6 <b>3947</b> 6		8, 14, 16, 18, [35?], 37, 51, 54 <b>3933</b> 12 <b>39</b> 5 2 <b>3955</b> 3	3

έπαγόμεναι 3924 23 Έπείφ 3929 18 3950 2 Μετορή 3924 23 3934 5 3939 3 3942 27 3951 Φαμενώθ [3920 7] 3921 11, 16, 27, 29, 40,  $M_{\epsilon\chi\epsilon}(\rho 3916 14 3920 6 3921 9, 12, 17, 21, 23, 28,$ 33, 37 3922 [2], [4], 7, 14, 17 3926 28, 41 **3942** 5 **3954** 7 **3957** 6

Παῦνι 3917 12 3948 6 3949 4 Παχών 3936 5 3958 7

Cεβαστός 3915 22, 29, 30

Τύβι 3921 31 3922 12 3941 8 3959 3

47 **3922** 10, 18 **3935** 5 **3936** 6  $\Phi_{a\rho\mu\alpha}\hat{\theta}\theta$  3930 10, 18, 21 3933 3 3940 6 Φαῶφι 3921 23 3922 4 (3927 1, 4, 5, 6, 8, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 24, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 32, 33, 36, 37, 39, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 50, 52, 53, 54) 3956 5

Χοίακ 3921 31 (χυακ) 3922 12 (χυακ) Χύακ see Χοίακ

### V. DATES

```
3915 21-2, 29
7 September 30
                                       3915 30
8 September 30
16 February 60
                                       3916 13-17
25 February-26 March 219
                                       3921 46-7
24 August 223
                                       3924 20-23
9 February 246
                                       3926 23-8, 41
                                       3929 14-18
25 June-24 July 250
                                       3930 15-18
1 April 290
. 12 April 290
                                       3930 10
13 April 588
                                       3933 2-3
14 August 588
                                       3934 I-5
6 March 591
                                       3935 I-5
                                       3936 I-5
5 May 598
13 November 598
                                       3937 I-5
7 March 601
                                       3938 2-7
25 July 601
                                       3939 2-3
                                       3940 3-6
6-14 April 604
                                       3941 4-8
27 December 604-25 January 605
22 February 606
                                       3942 3-6
15 November 606
                                       3943 3-6
                                       3944 1-3 3945 4-7
16 November 606
18 November 606
                                       3946 3-6
                                      3947 3-6
28 October-26 November 606
                                       3948 2-6
9 June 609
                                       3949 4-5
4 June 610
                                       3950 <sub>2</sub>
25 June 610
                                       3951<sub>2</sub>
21 August 610
                                       3953 <sub>2</sub>
23 September 610?
                                       3954 3-7
12 February 611
23 September 611
                                       3955 2-3
                                       3956 2-5
1 October 611
21 February 612 (or 20 February 611?)
                                       3957 4-6
                                       3958 6-7
26 April-25 May 614
                                       3959 3
12 January 620
```

Αὐρήλιος: Aur. Sarapion alias Agathus Daemon,

ex-gymnasiarch 3925 2-3

# VI. PERSONAL NAMES

Αβραάμ: Aur. Abraham, s. of Funsis, m. Erebecca Αντωνίνος see Index I s.vv. Commodus, Severus and **3954** 19, 46 Caracalla, Elagabalus Ayaθîνος, f. of Aphynchis 3927 33 Aντώνιος: C. Julius Antonius 3924 18-19, 27 Άγαθὸς Δαίμων: Aur. Berenicianus alias Agathus Άντώνιος, f. of Amois 3927 32 Daemon, s. of Isidorus, grandson of Achillas m. Απα[ 3947 11 Nicarus, b. of Aur. Sarapiades alias Poseidonius ἄπα Cίων: Aur. Apa Sion, stableman, s. of Petronius, m. Helen, h. of Aurelia Thecla, d. of Constantine, [3921 3-4] m. Eustathia 3938 12-15, 24 Άγαθὸς Δαίμων: Aur. Sarapion alias Agathus Daemon, ex-gymnasiarch 3925 2-3 Άπίων: Flavius Apion ὁ πανεύφημος καὶ ὑπερφυέςτα-Αίλοῦρις, alias Demetris 3927 35 τος ἀπὸ ὑπάτων 3939 4-5: + καὶ πατρίκιος 3941 9-11 [3943 7-8] 3944 4-5 3945 8 3946 7-9 3947 Άκύλας: Subatianus Aquila, prefect of Egypt 3920 4 Άλεξάνδρα see Index VIII(a) 7-8 **3950** 3 [**3951** 3] [**3952** 3] **3953** Άλέξανδρος see Index I s.v. Severus Alexander **3954** 11 (δ πανεύφημος πατρίκιος A.) **3956** Άμμωνιανός: Aur. Ammonianus, s. of Apollonius 6-7 **3957** 7 (A. δ πανεύφημος πατρίκιος) **3958** 8-9 3959 4-5 (Α, δ μακαρίτης γενόμενος πατρίκ-Άμμωνίλλα: Pulfernia Berenice alias Ammonilla, m ιος) 3960 Ι (Α. δ ἐν εὐκλεεῖ τῆ μνήμη) of C. Pulfernius Tiberinus, assistant to the council  $A\pi i\omega(\nu)$ : M. Ulpius Apion 3927 o Απολλοδίδυμος, f. of Amois 3927 38 Άμμώνιος: Aur. Ammonius, gymnasiarch, prytanis Άπολλω (unfinished?) **3927** 34 in office 3924 2 Απολλώνιος: Aur. Apollonius, former hypomnema-Άμμώνιος, f. of Diogenes 3927 50 tographus, strategus 3930 I Άμμώ(νιος), f. of Heracleides 3927 26 Απολλώνιος, f. of Aur. Ammonianus 3921 50 Απολλώ(νιος), f. of Besarion 3927 39 Άμμω (νιος), f. of Sarapiades 3927 29 Άμμώνιος, f. of Serenus 3927 20 Απολλώνιος, guardian of Heracleidiaena, a minor Άμμώνιος: Julius Ammonius alias Evangelus, strategus (Thinite, Heracleopolite) 3926 1 3928 2 Άπολλώνιος: M. Aurelius Apollonius, hierophani Aμόϊς, alias Chresimus 3927 11 Άμδια: Aur. Amois, m. Taamois, b. of Taharpaesis Απολλώνιος, strategus (Heracleopolite) 3917 1, 11 3929 3, 19, 22 (Άμοϊτά gen.) Απολλώς, deceased, f. of Aur. Serenus, ξονάτης πών χρυτοχόων 3933 7, [35], 42 Aμόϊς, f. of Hieracapollon 3927 3 Auóic, s. of Antonius 3927 22 Άπολλῶς: Flavius Apollos, ὁ μεγαλοπρεπέςτατος Αμόϊς, s. of Apollodidymus 3927 38 κόμες, administrator of Flavius Strategius 3936 Άμοϊτας see Αυρήλιος: Aur. Amois, m. Taamois Αμύντας, s. of Serenus 3927 22 Απολλώς, f. of Aur. Pamuthius, κουφοκεραμεύς, h. of Αμυντιανός, f. of Paapis 3927 17 Anna 3942 13, 32, 37 Άναςτάςιος, contract writer 3933 40 (Anast(asiu)) Άπολλῶc, secretary of the household of Justus s. of Άναςτάςιος, s. of the late George 3934 7 Eudaemon, grandfather of Theodorus 3938 o Aνήλιος, singularis 3932 3, 14 Αρητίων, f. of Besarion 3927 40 Άνθέςτιος, alias Valerius(?) 3927 36 Άρθώθης, Hermias alias 3927 30 Άνθέςτιος, f. of Dius 3927 46 Άρποκρᾶc **3936** 25 Άνίκητος, f. of Eudaemon 3927 53 Αρποκρατίων, s. of Serenus? 3927 37 n. Acap 3937 6?, see n. Άννα, m. of Aur. Pamuthius, κουφοκεραμεύς, w. of Apollos 3942 13 Άcκληπιάδης: Aur. Asclepiades, former hypomne-Άνοῦπ: Aur. Anup, s. of Menas (alias? s. of?) Camul matographus, prytanis in office 3930 5-6 **3959** 9-10, 22 Άςκληπιάδης see Κλαύδιος Aνοῦπ: Aur. Anup. s. of Pamuthius, m. Herais 3949 Αταρία, m. of Aur. Sephthomois, w. of Damas 3928 Άνοῦπ, f. of Aur. Menas, h. of Martyria 3954 18 Αύγουςτος 3934 3 3935 3 3938 4 3940 5 3941 Aνούπ, f. of Aur. Pamuthius(?) or Papnuthius(?) 6 3942 5 3943 5 3944 2 3945 6 3946 5 (Αγούστου) 3947 5 3948 4 3954 5 [3955] Άντει̂ς, s. of Titan 3915 [1], 23 3] 3956 4 3957 5 3958 7 3961 3

Aὐοηλία: Aurelia Nicarus, m. of Aur. Berenicianus alias Agathus Daemon and Aur. Sarapiades alias Poseidonius, w. of Aur. Hierax 3921 6, 49 Aὐρηλία: Aurelia Senpatus, d. of Panuris, granddaughter of Titoes, w. of Titoes s. of Cortas, m. of Pseces 3926 1-2, 29-30, 35 Aθοηλία: Aurelia Thecla, w. of Aur. Apa Sion, d. of Constantine, m. Eustathia, m. of Justina by Damianus 3938 12-15 Αὐρήλιος [3955 6?] Αθρήλιος: Aur. Abraham, s. of Funsis, m. Erebecca **3954** 19, 46 Αὐρήλιος: Aur. Ammonianus, s. of Apollonius 3921 Αὐρήλιος: Aur. Ammonius, gymnasiarch, prytanis in office 3924 2 Αὐρήλιος: Aur. Amois, m. Taamois, b. of Taharpaesis 3929 3, 19, 22 (Aµoïrâ gen.) Aὐρήλιος: Aur. Anup, s. of Menas (alias? s. of?) Camul 3959 9-10, 22 Αὐρήλιος: Aur. Anup, s. of Pamuthius, m. Herais 3949 11-13, 17 Αὐρήλιος: Aur. Apa Sion, stableman, s. of Petronius, m. Helen, h. of Aurelia Thecla, d. of Constantine, m. Eustathia 3938 12-15, 24 Αὐρήλιος: Aur. Apollonius, former hypomnematographus, strategus 3930 I Αὐρήλιος: Aur. Asclepiades, former hypomnematographus, prytanis in office 3930 5-6 Aθρήλιος: Aur. Berenicianus alias Agathus Daemon, s. of Isidorus, grandson of Achillas, m. Nicarus, b. of Aur. Sarapiades alias Poseidonius [3921 3-4] Αὐρήλιος: Aur. Dius alias Pertinax, strategus 3925 1 Αὐρήλιος: Aur. Hierax, s. of Sarapion, m. Taysoreus **3921** 1-2, 45, 48 Aὐρήλιος: Aur. Horigenes, s. of Stephanus, m. Erebecca 3954 21, 47 Αὐρήλιος: Aur. John, s. of Horigenes, m. Maria 3954 16, 46 Αὐρήλιος: Aur. Macaris, μείζων, s. of Jeremiah, m. Maria 3954 14-16, 45 Αθρήλιος: Aur. Menas, s. of Anup, m. Martyria 3954 Αὐρήλιος: Aur. Pamuthius, κουφοκεραμεύς, s. of Apollos, m. Anna 3942 11-13, 32, 37 Aυρήλιος: Aur. Pamuthius(?), s. of Anup 3953 7-8 Αὐρήλιος: Aur. Pamuthius, s. of Menas 3959 15 Aθρήλιος: Aur. Papnuthius(?), s. of Anup 3953 7-8 Aυρήλιος: Aur. Peter, s. of Joseph, m. Irene 3950 7 Αὐρήλιος: Aur. Sarapiades alias Poseidonius, s. of Isidorus, grandson of Achillas, m. Nicarus, b. of Aur. Berenicianus alias Agathus Daemon 3921 5

Αυγουστος see also Index I s.vv. Maurice, Phocas,

Heraclius

Aὐρήλιος: Aur. Sephthomois s. of Damas, m. Ataria Αὐρ(ήλιος): Aur. Serenus, ἐργάτης τῶν χρυςοχόων, s. of the late Apollos 3933 6, 33-4, 41-2 Aὐρήλ(ιος): Aur. Soter s, of Soter 3926 31-2 Αὐρήλιος: Aurelius Verianus, ex-prefect of Egypt Αὐρήλιος: Aur. Victor, προαστίτης 3941 19, 21 Αὐρήλιος: M. Aurelius Apollonius, hierophant 3920 Αὐρήλιος ... ίων 3929 20-21 Αὐρήλιος see also Index I s.vv. Commodus, Elagabalus, Severus Alexander Άχιλλῶς, f. of Isidorus, grandfather of Aur. Berenicianus alias Agathus Daemon and Aur. Sarapiades alias Poseidonius 3921 Άγιλλεύς, f. of Copres 3927 27 Άφῦγχις, s. of Agathinus 3927 22 Βερενίκη: Pulfernia Berenice alias Ammonilla, m. of C. Pulfernius Tiberinus, assistant to the council Βερενικιανός: Aur. Berenicianus alias Agathus Daemon, s. of Isidorus, grandson of Achillas, m. Nicarus, b. of Aur. Sarapiades alias Poseidonius [**3921** 3-4] Βη cαρίω(ν), f. of Demeas 3927 44 Bηcaρίων, s. of Apollo(nius) 3927 39 Βηςαρίων, s. of Arction 3927 40 Βίκτωρ [3946 13?] Βίκτωρ: Aur. Victor, προαστίτης 3941 19, 21 Βίκτωρ, deceased, deacon and gospeller, f. of Joseph, psalmist, rent-collector 3958 13, 35, 43 Βίκτωρ, deceased nomicarius, f. of Sergius 3942 9 Βίκτωρ: Flavius Victor ὁ ἐνδοξότατος ἰλλούςτριος καὶ άντιγεούχος [3957 8] Βώλας, (Julius) Bola libertus diui Augusti, patron of Iulius Florus 3915 2 Γάιος: C. Julius Antonius 3924 18-19, 27 Táioc: C. Pulfernius Tiberinus, m. Pulfernia Berenice alias Ammonilla, assistant to the council 3924 4-6, 24 Táioc, s. of Euporianus 3927 24 Táïoc see also Index I s.v. Decius Γελάσιος, alias Serenus 3927 41 Γερμανικός see Index I s.vv. Nero Γεώργιος, deceased, f. of Anastasius 3934 8 Γεώργιος, deceased, f. of Enoch 3949 10 Γεώργιος: Flavius George, διοικητής 3954 8 Γεώργιος, f. of Aur. Menas, h. of Sophia 3954 20

Εὐδαίμω(ν), s. of Ophelius 3927 52

Εὐποριανός, f. of Gaius 3927 24

Γεώργιος, priest, οἰκονόμος of the church of St. Εὐcεβής: Sarapion alias Eusebes, of the Matidian Alexandra 3936 11, 31, 36 tribe and Thesmophorian deme, s. of Sarapion Εὐτταθία, m. of Aurelia Thecla, w. of Constantine Δαμᾶc, f. of Aur. Sephthomois, h. of Ataria 3928 4 Δαμιανός, f. of Justina by Aurelia Thecla 3938 18 [3938 15] Δέκιος see Index I s.v. Decius Δημέας, s. of Besarion 3927 44 Zωΐλος, f. of Sarapion banker 3915 14, 31 Δημέας, s. of Dioscuria ...? 3927 44 Δημήτριος: Tineius Demetrius, prefect of Egypt 3918 'Ηλίας, contract writer 3936 35 (Helia gen.) 'Ηλίας, deceased, f. of Theodorus cursor of the praeses Δημήτρις, Aeluris alias 3927 35 Arcadiae 3934 12 Δημήτρις, Epimachus alias 3927 2 'Hραΐc, m. of Aur. Anup, w. of Pamuthius 3949 13 Δίδυμος, s. of Sempronius(?) 3927 49 Ήρακλείδης, s. of Ammonius 3927 26 Δίδυμος, s. of Theon(?) 3927 47 Ήρακλείδης, s. of Sarapion, exegetes 3930 3 Διογένης, f. of Plutarchus 3927 16 Ήρακλείδης, s. of Theon 3927 54 Διογένης, s. of Ammonius 3927 50 Ήρακλειδίαινα, a minor 3923 1-2 Ἡράκλειος see Index I s.vv. Heraclius, Heraclius Διογένης, s. of Posarapis 3927 25 Διοκλητιανός see Index I s.v. Diocletian and Maxiand Heraclius Junior mian "Hρων, s. of Serenus 3927 12 Διονυcάμ(μων), f. of Dionysius 3927 1 Διονύσιος, f. of Hierax 3927 15 Θέκλα: Aurelia Thecla, w. of Aur. Apa Sion, d. of Διονύσιος, f. of Theon 3916 a Constantine, m. Eustathia, m. of Justina by Dam-Διονύτιος, s. of Dionysammon 3927 I ianus 3938 12-15, 18 Διονύσιος, s. of Dioscorus 3927 51 Θεόδωρος, cursor of the praeses Arcadiae, s. of the late Διονύcιος, s. of Theon(?) 3927 48 Elias 3934 9, 15 Δίος: Aur. Dius alias Pertinax, strategus 3925 1 Θεόδωρος, goldsmith, s. of the late Serenus 3833 4-5 △îoc, s. of Anthestius 3927 46 Θεόδωρος, grandson (ἐγγόνω: l. ἐκγ-) of Apollos Διοcκοράμμων, s. of Valerius? 3927 45 secretary of the household of Justus s. of Eudae-Διόςκορος, f. of Dionysius 3927 51 mon 3938 8-11 Διοςκουρια[, ], f. or m. of Demeas 3927 43 Θεόδωρος see Index VIII(a) s.v. αγιος Θ Διοςκουρίδης, alias Sap ... 3927 42 Θέων 3932 13  $\Theta \in \omega(\nu)$ ?, f. of Didymus 3927 47 Elρήνη, m. of Aur. Peter, w. of Joseph 3950 7  $\Theta \dot{\epsilon} \omega(\nu)$ ?, f. of Dionysius **3927** 48 Εἰρήνη see Index VII(b) s.v. ἐποίκιον Εἰρήνης Θέω(ν), f. of Heracleides 3927 54 Έλένη, m. of Aur. Apa Sion, w. of Petronius 3938 12 Θέων, f. of Philonides 3915 28 Ένώχ, riparius, curator, and assistant of the bath, s. of  $\Theta \dot{\epsilon} \omega(\nu)$ , f. of Sarapammon 3927 19 the late George 3949 6-8 Θέων, f. of Sarapion 3927 18 Έπίμαχος, alias Demetrius 3927 2 Θέων, s. of Dionysius 3916 3 Έπίχαριο (gen. -ρίου), slavewoman 3916 6-7, 11, Θώνις, f. of Nemesion 3927 4 23-4, 27 Έρεβέκκα, m. of Aur. Abraham, w. of Funsis 3954 Τερακαπόλλω(ν), s. of Amois 3927 2 Έρεβέκκα, m. of Aur. Horigenes, w. of Stephanus Tέραξ: Aur. Hierax, s. of Sarapion, m. Taysorëus 3954 22 3921 1-2, 45, 48 Έρμίας, alias Harthothes 3927 30 Tépak, s. of Dionysius 3927 15 Έρμίας, alias Philodioscorus 3927 12 Τέραξ, s. of Melas 3927 14 "Ερως see Τούλιος Τερεμίας, f. of Aur. Macaris, μείζων, h. of Mária Εὐάγγελος: Julius Ammonius alias Evangelus, stra-3954 15 tegus (Thinite, Heracleopolite) 3926 1 3928 2 Ίερημίας [3944 q] Ευδαίμων: ... Eudaemon, prytanis in office 3925 4-5 Incoûc see Index VIII(a), (b) Εὐδαίμων, f. of Justus deceased 3938 11 Τούλιος: C. Julius Antonius 3924 18-19, 27 Eὐδαίμω(ν), s. of Anicetus 3927 53 Ἰούλιος: Julius Ammonius alias Evangelus, strategus

(Thinite, Heracleopolite) 3926 1 3928 2

Τούλιος: Julius Eros 3917 q

Τούλιος: Iulius Florus freedman of (Iulius) Bola, libertus diui Augusti 3915 2, 19, 26 Τούλιος: Julius Marcus, praefectus classis Augustae Alexandrinae 3920 5, 10-11 Τούλιος see also Index I s.v. Philippi Toυcτίνα, d. of Aurelia Thecla by Damianus 3938 17 Τοῦςτος, banker of the household of Strategius, s. of the late Cyricus 3935 6 Τοῦςτος, deceased, f. of ... teacher 3954 [12], 54 Υοῦςτος, deceased, s. of Eudaemon 3938 10-11 Ίcίδωρος, s. of Achillas, f. of Aur. Berenicianus alias Agathus Daemon and Aur. Sarapiades alias Poseidonius, h. of Nicarus 3921 3 Ίωάννης: Aur. John, s. of Horigenes, m. Maria 3954 16, 46 Ίωάννης, contract writer 3958 40 Ίωάννης, wine steward 3960 3 Ίωςήφ, f. of Aur. Peter, h. of Irene 3950 7 Ἰωτήφ, psalmist, rent-collector, s. of the late Victor, deacon and gospeller 3958 11, 35, 42 Kaîcap see Index I s.vv. Tiberius, Nero, Commodus, Elagabalus, Severus Alexander, Philippi Καλήμερος 3932 11 Καμοῦλ: Menas (alias? s. of?) Camul, f. of Aur. Anup 3959 q-10 Κλαύδιος: Ti. Claudius Asclepiades, strategus (Oxyrhynchite) 3916 I see also Index I s.v. Nero Κόμμοδος see Index I s.v. Commodus Κοπρης, s. of Achilles 3927 27 Κορτάς, f. of Titoes shoemaker 3926 8 Κυΐντος see Index I s.v. Decius Κύρικος, deceased, f. of Justus banker 3935 8 Κωνςταντίνος, f. of Aurelia Thecla, h. of Eustathia 3938 14, 25 Κωνεταντίνος see also Index I s.v. Heraclius and Heraclius Junior Μακάρις: Aur. Macaris, μείζων, s. of Jeremiah, m. Maria **3954** 14-16, 45 Μαξιμιανός see Index I s.v. Diocletian and Maxi-Maρίa, m. of Aur. John, w. of Horigenes 3954 17 Maρίa, m. of Aur. Macaris, μείζων, h. of Maria 3954 Mapía, m.(?) of Paul exceptor 3932 15 Mâpkoc: Iulius Marcus, praefectus classis Augustae Alexandrinae 3920 5, 10-11 Mâρκοc: M. Aurelius Apollonius, hierophant 3920 16 Mâρκος: M. Ulpius Apion 3927 9 Mâpkoc see Index I s.vv. Elagabalus, Severus

Μαυρίκιος see Index I s.v. Maurice Mέλας, f. of Hierax 3927 14 Méccioc see Index I s.v. Decius Mηνας, (alias? s. of?) Camul, f. of Aur. Anup 3959 Mnvac: Aur. Menas, s. of Anup, m. Martyria 3954 18, 46 Mnvac: Aur. Menas, s. of George, m. Sophia 3954 Myvac, f. of Aur. Pamuthius 3959 16 Μηνᾶς, οἰκέτης [3939 6] 3941 14 3944 6 3945 11 3946 10 3950 5 3951 5 [3952 5] 3953 5 **3956** 8 [**3958** 9] **3959** 6 Νεικαρούς: Aurelia Nicarus, m. of Aur. Berenicianus alias Agathus Daemon and Aur. Sarapiades alias Poseidonius, w. of Aur. Hierax 3921 6, 49 Neμεcίω(ν), s. of Thonis 3927 4 Négov see Index I s.v. Nero Νικάνωο 3916 8 Νικαρούς see Νεικαρούς Οὐα[λ(έριος)?, Anthestius alias 3927 36 n. Οὐαλ(έριος)?, f. of Dioscorammon 3927 45 n. "Ολυμπος, alias Horion 3927 5 Orngiavóc: Aurelius Verianus, ex-prefect of Egypt Οὔλπιος: M. Ulpius Apion 3927 9 'Οφέλιος, f. of Eudaemon 3927 52 Παᾶπις, s. of Amyntianus 3927 17 Παμούθιος 3936 24 Παμούθιος [3945 13?] Παμούθιος: Aur. Pamuthius, κουφοκεραμέυς, s. of Apollos, m. Anna 3942 11-13, 32, 37 Παμούθιος?: Aur. Pamuthius? or Papnuthius?, s. of Anup 3953 7-8 Παμούθιος: Aur. Pamuthius, s. of Menas 3959 15 Παμούθιος, f. of Aur. Anup, h. of Herais 3949 12, Πανοῦρις, f. of Aurelia Senpatus, s. of Titoes 3926 3-4, 29 (πανουρ) Παπνούθιος 3942 34. Παπνούθιος?: Aur. Papnuthius? or Pamuthius?, s. of Anup 3953 7-8 Παῦλος, exceptor, s.(?) of Mary 3932 16 Παῦλος, f. of ... 3955 [6], 24 Περτίναξ: Aur. Dius alias Pertinax, strategus 3925 1 Πέτρος: Aur. Peter, s. of Joseph, m. Irene 3950 7 Πετρώνιος, f. of Aur. Apa Sion, h. of Helen 3938 12, [24] Πλούταρχος, s. of Diogenes 3927 16 Alexander, Philippi Ποcάραπις, f. of Diogenes 3927 25 Maotvoía, m. of Aur. Menas, w. of Anup 3954 18

the late Apollos 3933 6, 33-4, 41-2

Cepηνος, deceased, f. of Theodorus goldsmith 3933 5

VI. PERSONAL NAMES

Ποςειδώνιος: Aur. Sarapiades alias Poseidonius, s. of Cερηνος, deceased, of discreet memory 3962 3, 4 Isidorus, grandson of Achillas, m. Nicarus, b. of Cερήνος, f. of Amyntas 3927 22 Aur. Berenicianus alias Agathus Daemon 3921 5  $C\epsilon\rho\hat{\eta}(\nu\rho\epsilon)$ , f. of Harpocration? 3927 37 n. ζερήνος, f. of Heron 3927 13 Πουλφερνία: Pulfernia Berenice alias Ammonilla, m. of C. Pulfernius Tiberinus, assistant to the council Ceρήνος, Gelasius alias 3927 41 Cepηνος, s. of Ammonius 3927 20 Πουλφέρνιος: C. Pulfernius Tiberinus, m. Pulfernia Cερήνος see also Index VII(c) s.v. Cερήνου Berenice alias Ammonilla, assistant to the council Ceφθομόϊc: Aur. Sephthomois s. of Damas, m. Ataria 3924 4-6, 24 Πρειμιανός see Πριμιανός Cουβατιανός: Subatianus Aquila, prefect of Egypt Πριμιανός, stator 3917 I Πτολέμα see Index VII(c) Cobia, m. of Aur. Menas, w. of George 3954 21 Cτέφανος, f. of Aur. Horigenes, h. of Erebecca 3954 Caπ [, Dioscurides alias 3927 42 Capa 3937 6 Cτρατήγιος: Flavius Strategius δ πανεύφημος καὶ Cαραπάμ(μων) alias ... 3927 28 ύπερφυέςτατος ύπατος 3936 6-7 Cαραπάμ(μων?), f. of ... 3927 8 **C**τρατήγιος, δ ύπερφυέςτατος 3935 7 Capaπάμμων, fruitgrower 3923 2-3 Cύρος, alias Tryphon 3927 6 Cαραπάμμων, s. of Theon 3927 19 Cωτήρ: Aur. Soter s. of Soter 3926 31-2 Cαραπιάδης: Aur. Sarapiades alias Poseidonius, s. of Cωτήρ, f. of Aur. Soter 3926 32 Isidorus, grandson of Achillas, m. Nicarus, b. of Aur. Berenicianus alias Agathus Daemon 3921 5 Τααμόϊς, m. of Aur. Amois and Taharpaesis 3929 4, Capaπιάδης, s. of Ammonius 3927 29 Caρaπίων alias Eusebes, of the Matidian tribe and Τααρπαήςις, sister of Aur. Amois, d. of Taamois 3929 Thesmophorian deme, s. of Sarapion 3918 1-4 Capaπίων, assistant to the strategus 3926 34 Ταυcορηοῦς, m. of Aur. Hierax, w. of Sarapion 3921 Caραπίων: Aur. Sarapion alias Agathus Daemon, exgymnasiarch 3925 2-3 Τειτάν see Τιτάι Cαραπίων, ἔκδικος 3917 5 Τιβερείνος: C. Pulfernius Tiberinus, m. Pulfernia Caρaπίων, f. of Aur. Hierax, h. of Taysorëus 3921 1, Berenice alias Ammonilla, assistant to the council 3924 4-6, 24 Caρaπίων, f. of Heracleides exegetes 3930 3-4 Τιβέριος see Κλαύδιος, Index I s.vv. Tiberius, May-Capaπίων, f. of Sarapion 3919 I Caραπίων, f. of Sarapion alias Eusebes of the Mati-Tινήϊος: Tineius Demetrius, prefect of Egypt 3918 dian tribe and Thesmophorian deme 3918 2 Capaπίων, s. of Sarapion 3919 1-2 Τιτάν, f. of Anteis 3915 1, 23 (τειτ-) Cαραπίων, s. of Theon 3927 18 Τιτοής, grandfather of Aurelia Senpatus, f. of Panu-Capaπίων, s. of Zoilus, banker 3915 14, 21 ris 3925 4, 30 Ceβacτόc see Index I s.vv. Augustus, Tiberius, Nero, Τιτοῆς, s. of Cortas, shoemaker, h. of Aurelia Senpa-Severus and Caracalla, Elagabalus, Severus tus, d. of Panuris, granddaughter of Titoes 3926 8 Alexander, Philippi, Decius, Diocletian and Max-Tpaïavóc see Index I s.v. Decius imian, IV, IX s.v. cτόλος Ceβαςτὸς Άλεξανδρινός Τρύφων, Syrus alias 3927 6 ΧΙ(b) s.v. ἀργύριον Cεμπρώ(νιος)?, f. of Didymus 3927 49 n. Φίβ, deceased, f. of Phoebammon priest, steward Cενπατοῦc: Aurelia Senpatus, d. of Panuris, grand-3952 7, [51] daughter of Titoes, w. of Titoes s. of Cortas, m. of Φίλιππος see Index I s.v. Philippi Pseces 3926 1-2, 29-30, 35 Φιλοδιζό κορος, Hermias alias 3927 12 Cεουήρος see Index I s.vv. Severus and Caracalla, Φιλωνίδης, s. of Theon 3915 27 Severus Alexander Φλάουϊος [3955 4?] Cépyioc, secretary and riparius, s. of the late Victor Φλάουϊος: Flavius Apion ὁ πανεύφημος καὶ ὑπερφυέςτατος ἀπὸ ὑπάτων 3939 4-5: +καὶ πατρίκnomicarius 3942 7 Cepήνος: Aur. Serenus έργάτης των χρυςοχόων, s. of uoc 3941 9-19 [3943 7-8] 3944 4-5 3945

8 **3946** 7-9 **3947** 7-8 **3950** 3 [**3951** 

3] [3952 3] 3953 3 3954 ΙΙ (δ πανεύφημος

πατρίκιος Α.) 3956 6-7 3957 7 (Α. δ πανεύφημος πατρίκιος) 3958 8-9 3959 4-5 (A. δ μακαρίτης γενόμενος πατρίκιος) 3960 Ι (Ά. δ έν εὐκλεεῖ τῆ μνήμη) Φλάουϊος: Flavius Apollos, δ μεγαλοπρεπέςτατος κόμες, administrator of Flavius Strategius 3936 Φλάουϊος: Flavius George, διοικητής 3954 8 Φλάουϊος: Flavius Strategius ὁ πανεύφημος καὶ ὑπερφυέςτατος υπατος 3936 6-7; cf. 3935 7 Φλάουϊος: Flavius Victor δ ἐνδοξότατος ἰλλούςτριος καὶ ἀντιγεοῦχος [3957 8] Φλάουϊος see also Index I s.vv. Maurice, Phocas, Heraclius, Heraclius and Heraclius Junior Φλώρος see Τούλιος Φοιβάμμων, priest, steward, son of the late Phib **3952** 6-7, [42], 50-51, 55-6, 60

Φοῦνειε, f. of Aur. Abraham, h. of Erebecca 3954 19 Φωκάς see Index I s.v. Phocas Χρήτιμος, Amois alias 3927 11 Χριστός see Index VIII(a), (b) Ψέειος 3933 36 Ψεκῆς, s. of Aurelia Senpatus d. of Panuris, granddaughter of Titoes 3926 11 Υρινένης: Aur. Horigenes, s. of Stephanus, m. Erebecca 3954 21, 47 Ωριγένης, f. of Aur. John, h. of Maria 3954 17 Ωρίων, Olympus alias 3927 5

### VII. GEOGRAPHICAL

#### (a) Countries, Nomes, Toparchies, Cities, Etc.

Αἰγύπτιος [3960 28?] Κυνοπολ(ιτών πόλις)? Αίγυπτος 3920 3-4, 5 Όξυρυγχίτης (νομός) 3920 12-13 (3925 1), (3942 Άλεξάνδρεια see Index XI(a) 14) (3949 15) (3950 8) 3953 9 (3959 11) Άλεξανδρινός see Index IX s.v. cτόλος Ceβαςτὸς Άλε-Όξυρυγχιτών (πόλις) 3924 3-4 3932 5, 9 (3933 Άνω Κυνοπολίτης (νομός) 3954 24, 51 6, 42) (3934 8) 3936 9, 36 3938 II 3941 13 (3942 11) (3944 6) (3945 11) (3946 Άνω Κυνοπολ(ιτών πόλις)? 3954 [ ] 10) (3949 11) (3950 4) (3951 4) 3952 4, Άρκαδία 3955 Α 13 (3953 5) 3954 13 3955 5 [3956 Άρκάδων ἐπαρχία 3934 ΙΙ 8] **3958** [9], 19, (43) **3960** 2 Γερμανικός see Index I s.v. Nero Όξυρύγχων πόλις 3915 1, 13-14 Έρμοπολίτης (νομός) [3955 7] Πέρςης 3960 12, 26, 38 Περιικόν see Index XIII Ήρακλεοπολίτης (νομός) 3917 2 (πολειτ-) 3928 3 Ψωμαΐος 3920 2 (3927 7, 21) Θινίτης (νομός) 3926 2 Cáusoc see Index XI(a) s.v. Cáusov Κυνοπολίτης see "Ανω Κυνοπολίτης (νομός), "Ανω

# (b) VILLAGES, ETC.

έποίκιον Παλιτίου 3942 14, 37 Αδαίου 3960 33 έποίκιον Φατεμήντ 3949 14 Απόλλωνος 3960 14 Αψέμψις (Upper Cynopolite) 3954 23, 51 Θίc 3926 4. 7 Είρηνη see ἐποίκιον Είρηνης Θώςβις 3929 2, 5 Έπιςήμου 3960 12 ἐποίκιον Εἰρήνης (Hermopolite) 3955 6 Κοτυλεείου 3960 36 έποίκιον Νεκόνθεως 3950 7-8 έποίκιον Νοτίνου Χωρίου 3953 8 3960 32 Λεωνίδου 3960 4, 21

VIII. RELIGION AND MAGIC

Λιμενιάδος 3960 4, 31

Νεκόνθεως see ἐποίκιον Ν.

Όρθωνίου 3960 35

Πακουληείου 3923 α Παλιτίου see ἐποίκιον Π. Παρθενιάδος 3960 34 Πηλέας 3960 13

Ποιμένων (Heracleopolite) 3928 5-6 Πουςεμπόϋς 3960 15

**C**ερῦφις **3959** 10 Cέφθα 3957 6

Taváic 3918 16

Φατεμήντ see ἐποίκιον Φ

#### (c) Miscellaneous

Δαφνών 3917 10 Δρόμου Θοήριδος (ἄμφοδον) 3927 31

Ερμαίου (ἄμφοδον) 3927 10

Θετμοφόρειος (Antinoite deme) 3918 3-4

Ματίδειος (Antinoite tribe) 3918 3

Νίκης 3952 61? Νότινον Κχολεΐον 3952 ΙΙ Νότου Δρόμου (ἄμφοδον) 3927 23

εὐλαβέςτατος (3958 42)

ευςέβεια 3960 23

εὐλαβής 3958 [12], (35), (43) 3962 3, 4

προαστίτης see Index X Πτολέμας **3952** 18

*Cαραπείον* **3915** 14 Cερήνου 3952 62 Cχολείον see Νότινον C.

Τανταπή 3952 18

Χηνοβοςκών (ἄμφοδον) 3916 9

## VIII. RELIGION AND MAGIC

#### (a) GENERAL

3 3942 I, 2 3943 2 3945 3 3946 2 3947 2 3948 I, 2 3950 2 3951 I 3952 [IP], I, [7<sup>2</sup>] **3953** 2 **3957** 2, [3], 4 (**3958** 12, 42) (3960 20) 3961 6 άγιος Θεόδωρος 3958 12, 42 Άλεξάνδρα (saint) **3936** 15, 32 ахрантос 3940 г 3941 г 3942 г 3943 г [3945 [1] 3946 1 3947 1 3948 1 3950 1 3951 I [3952 1] 3953 1; see also Index VIII(b) δέςποινα 3957 3 διάκονος 3958 13, [(35)], (43) έκκλητία 3936 13, 14 [3952 7] 3960 20 3961 6 ευάγγελος 3958 [13], (36), [42] εθαγής 3960 23

άγιος 3936 12, 14, 19, 32 [3940 2] 3941 1, θεός 3929 6 3932 3, 4, 8 3936 2, 31 3937 2 3938 2 3939 2 (3942 29) 3949 3 3952 16 **3954** 3 **3955** 1, (9) [**3956** 2] **3958** 5, (35) 3959 2 3961 [1], 6; see also Index I s.v. Augustus, VIII(b), (c) θεοτόκος 3957 3 θεοφύλακτος see Index I s.v. Heraclius and Heraclius Junior Θοήρις see Index VII(ε) s.v. Δρόμου Θοήριδος θύειν 3929 5, 9 θυςία see Index IX s.v. ἀναδοθέντες ἐπὶ τῶν θυςιῶν

> ίερεῖον 3929 9-10 ίεροφάντης 3920 17

Ίηςοῦς 3936 2 3937 2 3938 1 3939 1 3949 2 3954 2 [3955 1] 3956 1 3958 5 3959

I 3961 I; see also Index VIII(b)

καθολικός 3961 6

τριάς 3940 2 3941 2 3942 2 3943 2 3945 κρείττων 3932 13 (κριτ-) 2 3946 2 3947 1 [3948 2] 3950 1 3951 I [3952 I] 3953 I 3957 2; see also Index μαρτύριον 3960 20 μοναςτήριον 3960 23 VIII(b)τύχη (genius) 3924 13 ξενοδοχείον 3960 20 vióc 3940 2 3941 3 3942 2 3943 2 3945 3 3946 2 3947 2 3948 2 3950 2 3951 παντοκράτωρ 3932 9 πατήρ 3940 2 3941 2 3942 2 3943 2 3945 1 3952 1, 54 3953 2 3957 2; see also Index 2 3946 2 3947 2 [3948 2] 3950 I 3951 VIII(b) I [3952 I] 3953 I 3957 2; see also Index VIII(b) φιλοπον(ία?) 3958 [11], 42 πνεθμα [3940 2] (3941 3) (3942 2) 3943 2 (3945 3) 3946 2 (3947 3) 3948 2 (3950 Χριστός 3936 2 3937 2 3938 1 3939 1 3949 2) 3951 2 [3952 2] 3953 2 [3957 3]; see also 2 3954 3 3955 1 3956 1 3958 5 3959 Index VIII(b) πρεεβύτερος 3936 12, (31), (36) 3952 [7], (50), 2 [3961 1]; see also Index VIII(b) (56) προεφορά (3936 19) 3960 21 ψάλτης 3958 11, 35, 42 Caραπείον 3915 14 cπένδειν 3929 6, 9 cωτήρ 3936 3 3937 2 3938 2 3939 2 3949 3 3954 3 3955 I [3956 2] 3958 5 3959 2 [3961 1]; see also Index VIII(b)

## (b) Invocations

έν ονόματι της άγίας άχράντου καὶ όμοουςίου τριάδος πατρός καὶ υίοῦ καὶ άγίου πνεύματος 3941 I-3 3942 I-2 3948 I-2 [3952 I-2?]

έν δνόματι της άγίας και δμοουςίου τριάδος και της δεςποίνης ήμων της θεοτόκου καὶ πάντων των άνίων

έν δνόματι της άχράντου και δμοουςίου τριάδος πατρός καὶ υίοῦ καὶ ἀγίου πνεύματος [3940 1-2] 3943 1-2 [3945 1-3] 3946 1-2 3947 1-3 3950 1-2 3951 1-2 [3952 1-2?] 3953 1-2

έν ονόματι τοῦ δεςπότου ήμων Ίηςοῦ Χριςτοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ *ςωτήρο*ς **3954** 2-3

έν δυόματι τοῦ κυρίου καὶ δεςπότου Ἰηςοῦ Χριςτοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ cωτήρος ήμῶν 3936 1-3 3937 1-3 3938 1-2 3939 1-2 3949 1-4 3955 1 3956 1-2 3958 5 3959 1-2 3961 I

## (c) Magic

κόςμος (universe) **3931** 12 αερ 3931 22 κου **3931** 16 αλκμε 3931 12 ατερβιακα [ 3931 23 αςςεςουω 3931 6 παντοκράτωρ 3931 5-6 πνεύμα 3931 3, 5 aur 3931 25 1 ρ **3931** 16 7€ 3931 15 ceceνcη 3931 24 €C 3931 14 χωρειθ? 3931 11-13 n. θαλαςςοκράτωρ 3931 12-13 θεός 3931 4, 5 ωρ 3931 17

# IX. OFFICIAL AND MILITARY TERMS AND TITLES

άμφοδογραμματεύς <b>3924</b> 8-9	κατ' οἰκίαν ἀπογραφή 3918 13-14
άναδοθέντες ἐπὶ θυςιῶν 3929 Ι	κόμες (3936 10) 3948 7
ἀπελεύθερος, θεοῦ Cεβαςτοῦ ἀ. <b>3915</b> 3	κούρτωρ <b>3934</b> 9, 15
ἄρχειν <b>3925</b> 9 <b>3930</b> 8	κράτιετοε <b>3925</b> 4 <b>3930</b> 5
åρχή 3930 11 3951 2 (cf. Index III(a) s.v. 14th)	
άρχων (praeses) 3932 6	λογιστής 3949 7
7 A Q	
βαειλεία 3934 1 3935 1 3938 2 3940 3 3941	μειζότερος 3960 25
	μείζων <b>3954</b> 15, (46)
4 <b>3942</b> 3 <b>3943</b> 3 <b>3944</b> 1 ( <b>3945</b> 3) <b>3946</b>	mergan 333 15, (40)
3 3947 3 3948 2 (3954 3) [3955 2] 3956	
2 <b>3957</b> 4 <b>3958</b> 6 <b>3961</b> 2	νεαρός see διάταξις
βιβλίδιον 3926 17, 35	νομικάριος <b>3942</b> 10
βοηθός τοῦ λουτροῦ 3949 8	
βουλευτής 3925 9	οὖετρανός [3920 2]
βουλή <b>3924</b> τ(?), 9 <b>3925</b> 4 <b>3930</b> 5	πατρίκιος 3941 11 3944 5 3945 9 3946
· ·	
γυμναςιαρχείν 3925 3	
γυμναςίαρχος 3924 2	4 3954 to [3956 7] 3957 7 3958 9 3959 5
/ olas accounts	περίβλεπτος 3948 7
\$/ 2015 -0	περίοδος <b>3924</b> 8 (3rd cycle)
δημόσιον 3915 18	πραιτώριον 3917 3
δημότιος Ιατρός 3926 37	πρύτανις 3924 3 3925 5, 7 3930 6-7
διάταξις, ή νεαρά δ. <b>3952</b> 44	"porasic 3542 3 5525 3, 7 5556 6 7
	ριπάριος 3942 8 3949 7
έγγυητής <b>3924</b> 18 (ενγ-) <b>3952</b> 7, 40	
έγγυήτρια 3938 15, [25]	ρόγα <b>3960</b> 38
ἔκδικος <b>3917</b> 5	ρογεύειν <b>3960</b> 26
έμβολάτωρ <b>3960</b> 25	cιγγουλάριος 3932 3, 14
<i>ἐμβολή</i> <b>3936</b> 20	cιγγουλάριος 3932 3, 14 cτάτωρ 3917 1
έμβολή <b>3936</b> 20 έναρχος <b>3924</b> 3 <b>3925</b> 5, 7 <b>3930</b> 6	
<i>ἐμβολή</i> <b>3936</b> 20	cτάτωρ 3917 1 cτόλοc Cεβαcτὸc Άλεξανδρινόc 3920 5–6
έμβολή 3936 20 ἔναρχος 3924 3 3925 5, 7 3930 6 ἐξηγητεία 3930 9	τάτωρ 3917 1 ττόλος Cεβαςτὸς Άλεξανδρινός 3920 5-6 τρατηγός 3916 2 3917 1-2 (3925 1) 3926
έμβολή <b>3936</b> 20 έναρχος <b>3924</b> 3 <b>3925</b> 5, 7 <b>3930</b> 6 ξέηγητεία <b>3930</b> 9 ξέηγητεία <b>3930</b> 4	ετάτωρ 3917 1 ετόλου Cεβαετόε Άλεξανδρινός 3920 5-6 ετρατηγόε 3916 2 3917 1-2 (3925 1) 3926. 2 3928 3 (3930 2)
έμβολή 3936 20 έναρχος 3924 3 3925 5, 7 3930 6 έξηγητεία 3930 9 έξηγητεία 3930 4 έξεκέπτωρ (3932 16)	ςτάτωρ 3917 1 ςτόλος ζεβαςτός Άλεξανδρινός 3920 5-6 ςτρατηγός 3916 2 3917 1-2 (3925 1) 3926. 2 3928 3 (3930 2) ςύμμαχος 3932 11
ἐμβολή 3936 20 ἐναρχος 3924 3 3925 5, 7 3930 6 ἐξηγητεία 3930 9 ἐξηγητεία 3930 9 ἐξηκέπτωρ (3932 16) ἐπαρχία, ή Ἀρκάδων ἐ. 3934 11	ετάτωρ 3917 1 ετόλοε Cεβαετόε Άλεξανδρινόε 3920 5-6 ετρατηγόε 3916 2 3917 1-2 (3925 1) 3926. 2 3928 3 (3930 2) εύμμαχοε 3932 11 εύνδικοε 3925 8
έμβολή 3936 20 έναρχος 3924 3 3925 5, 7 3930 6 ξέγγητής 3930 9 ξέγγητής 3930 4 ξέκθττωρ (3932 16) έπαρχος 3920 4 (praef. Aeg.), 5 (praef. classis Augustae	ςτάτωρ 3917 1 ςτόλος ζεβαςτός Άλεξανδρινός 3920 5-6 ςτρατηγός 3916 2 3917 1-2 (3925 1) 3926. 2 3928 3 (3930 2) ςύμμαχος 3932 11
έμβολή 3936 20 έναρχος 3924 3 3925 5, 7 3930 6 έξηγητεία 3930 9 έξηγητεία 3930 4 έξκέπτωρ (3932 16) έπαρχος 3920 4 (praef. Aeg.), 5 (praef. classis Augustae Alexandrinae)	<ul> <li>cτάτωρ 3917 1</li> <li>cτόλος Cεβαςτὸς Ἀλεξανδρινός 3920 5−6</li> <li>cτρατηγός 3916 2 3917 1−2 (3925 1) 3926.</li> <li>2 3928 3 (3930 2)</li> <li>cύμμαχος 3932 11</li> <li>cŵδικος 3923 8</li> <li>cυνέδριον 3925 7</li> </ul>
έμβολή 3936 20 έναρχος 3924 3 3925 5, 7 3930 6 εξηγητεία 3930 9 εξηγητεία 3930 9 εξηγητεία 3930 4 εξεκέπτωρ (3932 16) επαρχία, ή Άρκάδων ε. 3934 11 επαρχία, ή Αρκάδων ε. 3934 11 επαρχία 3920 4 (praef. Aeg.), 5 (praef. classis Augustae Alexandrinae) επικρύνευ 3920 3	<ul> <li>ετάτωρ 3917 1</li> <li>ετόλος Εεβαετὸς Αλεξανδρινός 3920 5-6</li> <li>ετρατηγός 3916 2 3917 1-2 (3925 1) 3926.</li> <li>2 3928 3 (3930 2)</li> <li>εύμμαχος 3932 11</li> <li>εύνδικος 3925 8</li> <li>ευνέδριον 3925 7</li> <li>ταξεώτης 3960 24</li> </ul>
έμβολή 3936 20	<ul> <li>cτάτωρ 3917 1</li> <li>cτόλος Cεβαςτὸς Ἀλεξανδρινός 3920 5−6</li> <li>cτρατηγός 3916 2 3917 1−2 (3925 1) 3926.</li> <li>2 3928 3 (3930 2)</li> <li>cύμμαχος 3932 11</li> <li>cŵδικος 3923 8</li> <li>cυνέδριον 3925 7</li> </ul>
έμβολή 3936 20 έναρχος 3924 3 3925 5, 7 3930 6 εξηγητεία 3930 9 εξηγητεία 3930 9 εξηγητεία 3930 4 εξεκέπτωρ (3932 16) επαρχία, ή Άρκάδων ε. 3934 11 επαρχία, ή Αρκάδων ε. 3934 11 επαρχία 3920 4 (praef. Aeg.), 5 (praef. classis Augustae Alexandrinae) επικρύνευ 3920 3	<ul> <li>ετάτωρ 3917 1</li> <li>ετόλος Εεβαετὸς Αλεξανδρινός 3920 5-6</li> <li>ετρατηγός 3916 2 3917 1-2 (3925 1) 3926.</li> <li>2 3928 3 (3930 2)</li> <li>εύμμαχος 3932 11</li> <li>εύνδικος 3925 8</li> <li>ευνέδριον 3925 7</li> <li>ταξεώτης 3960 24</li> </ul>
έμβολή 3936 20	ετάτωρ 3917 1 ετάλου Γεβαετός Αλεξανδρινός 3920 5-6 ετρατηγός 3916 2 3917 1-2 (3925 1) 3926. 2 3928 3 (3930 2) εύμμαχος 3932 11 εύνδικος 3925 8 εύνεδριον 3925 7 ταξεώτης 3960 24 τάξις (efficium) 3934 10 3955 4
έμβολή 3936 20 έναρχος 3924 3 3925 5, 7 3930 6 έξηγητεία 3930 9 έξηγητεία 3930 9 έξηγητεία 3930 4 έξεκπτωρ (3932 16) έπαρχία, ή Άρκάδων έ. 3934 11 έπαρχος 3920 4 (praef. Aeg.), 5 (praef. classis Augustae Alexandrinae) έπικρύνευ 3920 3 έπίκριστε [3920 1] έπινέμηστε 3936 21	<ul> <li>ετάτωρ 3917 1</li> <li>ετόλος Εεβαετὸς Αλεξανδρινός 3920 5-6</li> <li>ετρατηγός 3916 2 3917 1-2 (3925 1) 3926.</li> <li>2 3928 3 (3930 2)</li> <li>εύμαχος 3932 11</li> <li>εύνδικος 3925 8</li> <li>ευνέδριον 3925 7</li> <li>ταξεώτης 3960 24</li> <li>τάξιε (officium) 3934 10 3955 4</li> <li>τριβούνος 3960 37</li> </ul>
έμβολή 3936 20 έναρχος 3924 3 3925 5, 7 3930 6 έξηγητής 3930 9 έξηγητής 3930 16 έξικθητώς (3932 16) έπαρχία, ή Μρκάδων έ. 3934 11 έπαρχος 3920 4 (praef. Aeg.), 5 (praef. classis Augustae Alexandrinae) έπικρίνει 3920 3 ἐπίκρικε (3920 1] ἐπικρίνει 3936 21 ήγεμονεύειν 3918 9	ετάπορ 3917 1 ετάπορ Ceβαετός Αλεξανδρινός 3920 5-6 ετρατηγός 3916 2 3917 1-2 (3925 1) 3926. 2 3928 3 (3930 2) εύμμαχος 3932 11 εύνδικος 3925 8 ευνέδριον 3925 7  ταξεώτης 3960 24 τάξιε (efficium) 3934 10 3955 4 τριβούνος 3960 37 ύπατεία 3933 2 3934 4 3935 4 3936 3 3937
έμβολή 3936 20 έναρχος 3924 3 3925 5, 7 3930 6 έξηγητής 3930 4 έξηγητής 3930 4 έξηκατωρ (3932 16) έπαρχος 3920 4 (praef. Aeg.), 5 (praef. classis Augustae Alexandrinae) έπικριών 3920 3 έπικριών 3920 1 έπικριώς 3936 21  ήγεμονεύεν 3918 9 ήγεμονικός (praesidial) 3934 10	τάτωρ 3917 1 τόλος Cεβαστός Άλεξανδρινός 3920 5-6 τρατηγός 3916 2 3917 1-2 (3925 1) 3926. 2 3928 3 (3930 2) τύμμαχος 3932 11 τύνδικος 3925 3 τωνέδριον 3925 7 ταξεώτης 3960 24 τάξιε (εββείμιπ) 3934 10 3955 4 τριβούνος 3960 37 υπατεία 3933 2 3934 4 3935 4 3936 3 3937 3 3938 5 3939 2 3948 5 [3961 3], see also
έμβολή 3936 20 έναρχος 3924 3 3925 5, 7 3930 6 έξηγητής 3930 9 έξηγητής 3930 16 έξικθητώς (3932 16) έπαρχία, ή Μρκάδων έ. 3934 11 έπαρχος 3920 4 (praef. Aeg.), 5 (praef. classis Augustae Alexandrinae) έπικρίνει 3920 3 ἐπίκρικε (3920 1] ἐπικρίνει 3936 21 ήγεμονεύειν 3918 9	τάτωρ 3917 1 ττόλος Cεβαστός Άλεξανδρινός 3920 5-6 τρατηγός 3916 2 3917 1-2 (3925 1) 3926. 2 3928 3 (3930 2) τόμμαχος 3932 11 τύδικος 3925 8 τυνέδριον 3925 7 ταξεώτης 3960 24 τάξις (officium) 3934 10 3955 4 τριβούνος 3960 37 υπατεία 3933 2 3934 4 3935 4 3936 3 3937 3 3938 5 3939 2 3948 5 [3961 3], see also Index II
έμβολή 3936 20 έναρχος 3924 3 3925 5, 7 3930 6 έξηγητός 3930 9 έξηγητός 3930 9 έξηγητός 3932 16) έμαρχία, ή Μρκάδων έ. 3934 11 έπαρχος 3920 4 (praef. Aeg.), 5 (praef. classis Augustae Alexandrinae) έπικρύευ 3920 3 έπίκριοι [3920 1] έπικρίνου 3936 21 ήγεμονεύειν 3918 9 ήγεμονικός (praesidial) 3934 10 ήγεμών 3918 7 (prefect of Egypt)	ετάτωρ 3917 1 ετόλου Γεβαετός Αλεξανδρινός 3920 5-6 ετρατηγός 3916 2 3917 1-2 (3925 1) 3926. 2 3928 3 (3930 2) εύμμαχος 3925 11 εύνδικος 3925 5 ευνέδριον 3925 7  ταξεώτης 3960 24 τάξιε (officium) 3934 10 3955 4 τριβούνος 3960 37  υπατεία 3933 2 3934 4 3935 4 3936 3 3937 3 3938 5 3939 2 3948 5 [3961 3], see also Index II υπατος 3936 7 ἀπὸ υπάτων 3939 5 3941
έμβολή 3936 20  έναρχος 3924 3 3925 5, 7 3930 6  έγηγητάς 3930 9  έξηγητής 3930 4  έξκέπτωρ (3932 16)  ἐπαρχία, ή Άρκάδων ἐ. 3934 11  ἔπαρχος 3920 4 (praef. Aeg.), 5 (praef. classis Augustae  Αlexandrinae)  ἐπικρίνειν 3920 3  ἐπίκριτις [3920 1]  ἐπικρίνειν 3936 21  ἡγεμονεύειν 3918 9  ἡγεμονεύειν 3918 7 (prefect of Egypt)  lατρός see δημόςιος l.	τάτωρ 3917 1 ττόλος Cεβαστός Μλεξανδρινός 3920 5-6 τρατηγός 3916 2 3917 1-2 (3925 1) 3926. 2 3928 3 (3930 2) τύμμαχος 3932 11 τύνδικος 3925 8 τυνέδριον 3925 7 ταξεώτης 3960 24 τάξις (σ[βείμιπ) 3934 10 3955 4 τριβούνος 3960 37 υπατεία 3933 2 3934 4 3935 4 3936 3 3937 3 3938 5 3939 2 3948 5 [3961 3], see also Index II υπατος 3936 7 ἀπὸ ὑπάτων 3939 5 3941 10-11 3944 4 3945 9 3946 8 3947 8 3950
έμβολή 3936 20 έναρχος 3924 3 3925 5, 7 3930 6 έξηγητέα 3930 9 έξηγητής 3930 4 έξηγητής 3930 4 έξηκατωρι (3932 16) έπαρχία, ή Άρκάδων ἐ. 3934 11 έπαρχος 3920 4 (praef. Aeg.), 5 (praef. classis Augustae Alexandrinae) έπικρίνει 3920 3 ἐπίκριειε [3920 1] ἐπινέμντειε 3936 21 ἡγεμονείνεν 3918 9 ἡγεμονείνεν 3918 9 ἡγεμονείνεν 3918 7 (prefect of Egypt) lατρός see δημόσιος ἰ. (ινδικτίκον) 3933 3, 12 3934 6 3935 5 3936	τάτωρ 3917 1 ττόλος Cεβαστός Άλεξανδρινός 3920 5-6 τρατηγός 3916 2 3917 1-2 (3925 1) 3926. 2 3928 3 (3930 2) τόμμαχος 3932 11 τόνδικος 3925 8 τυνέδριον 3925 7 ταξεώτης 3960 24 τάξις (officium) 3934 10 3955 4 τριβούνος 3960 37 υπατεία 3933 2 3934 4 3935 4 3936 3 3937 3 3938 5 3939 2 3948 5 [3961 3], see also Index II υπατος 3960 7 ἀπὸ ὑπάτων 3939 5 3941 10-11 3944 4 3945 9 3946 8 3947 8 3950 3 3951 3 3952 3 3953 4 3956 7 [3958]
έμβολή 3936 20  έναρχος 3924 3 3925 5, 7 3930 6  έγηγητάς 3930 9  έξηγητής 3930 4  έξκέπτωρ (3932 16)  ἐπαρχία, ή Άρκάδων ἐ. 3934 11  ἔπαρχος 3920 4 (praef. Aeg.), 5 (praef. classis Augustae  Αlexandrinae)  ἐπικρίνειν 3920 3  ἐπίκριτις [3920 1]  ἐπικρίνειν 3936 21  ἡγεμονεύειν 3918 9  ἡγεμονεύειν 3918 7 (prefect of Egypt)  lατρός see δημόςιος l.	τάτωρ 3917 1 ττόλος Cεβαστός Μλεξανδρινός 3920 5-6 τρατηγός 3916 2 3917 1-2 (3925 1) 3926. 2 3928 3 (3930 2) τύμμαχος 3932 11 τύνδικος 3925 8 τυνέδριον 3925 7 ταξεώτης 3960 24 τάξις (σ[βείμιπ) 3934 10 3955 4 τριβούνος 3960 37 υπατεία 3933 2 3934 4 3935 4 3936 3 3937 3 3938 5 3939 2 3948 5 [3961 3], see also Index II υπατος 3936 7 ἀπὸ ὑπάτων 3939 5 3941 10-11 3944 4 3945 9 3946 8 3947 8 3950
έμβολή 3936 20 έναρχος 3924 3 3925 5, 7 3930 6 έξηγητές 3930 9 έξηγητής 3930 4 έξκέπτωρ (3932 16) έπαρχία, ή Άρκάδων έ. 3934 11 έπαρχος 3920 4 (praef. Aeg.), 5 (praef. classis Augustae Alexandrinae) έπικρίνευ 3920 3 έπίκριεις [3920 1] έπινέμητις 3936 21 ήγεμονεύευ 3918 9 ήγεμονεύευ 3918 7 (prefect of Egypt) laπρός see δημόςιος l. (δυδικτίον) 3933 3, 12 3934 6 3935 5 3936	τάτωρ 3917 1 ττόλος Cεβαστός Άλεξανδρινός 3920 5-6 τρατηγός 3916 2 3917 1-2 (3925 1) 3926. 2 3928 3 (3930 2) τόμμαχος 3932 11 τόνδικος 3925 8 τυνέδριον 3925 7 ταξεώτης 3960 24 τάξις (officium) 3934 10 3955 4 τριβούνος 3960 37 υπατεία 3933 2 3934 4 3935 4 3936 3 3937 3 3938 5 3939 2 3948 5 [3961 3], see also Index II υπατος 3960 7 ἀπὸ ὑπάτων 3939 5 3941 10-11 3944 4 3945 9 3946 8 3947 8 3950 3 3951 3 3952 3 3953 4 3956 7 [3958]
λμβολή 3936 20  ξυαρκος 3924 3 3925 5, 7 3930 6  ξύηγητε 3930 9  ξύηγητε 3930 4  ξέκεπτωρ (3932 16)  ξπαρχία, ή Άρκαδων ε. 3934 11  ξπαρχος 3920 4 (praef. Aeg.), 5 (praef. classis Augustae  Αlexandrinae)  επικρίνευ 3920 3  επίκριει [3920 1]  επινέμητι 3936 21  ήγεμονεύευ 3918 9  ήγεμονεύευ 3918 7 (prefect of Egypt)  λατρός see δημόςιος 1.  (δύλιτίων) 3933 3, 12 3934 6 3935 5 3936  5 3937 4 3938 7 3939 3 [3940 6] 3941  8 3942 6, 28 3943 6 3944 3 3945 7 3946	τάτωρ 3917 1 ττόλος Cεβαστός Μλεξανδρινός 3920 5-6 τρατηγός 3916 2 3917 1-2 (3925 1) 3926. 2 3928 3 (3930 2) τύμμαχος 3932 11 τύδικος 3925 8 τυνέδριον 3925 7 ταξεώτης 3960 24 τάξις (σ[βείμιπ) 3934 10 3955 4 τριβούνος 3960 37 υπατεία 3933 2 3934 4 3935 4 3936 3 3937 3 3938 5 3939 2 3948 5 [3961 3], see also Index II υπατος 3936 7 ἀπό υπάτων 3939 5 3941 10-11 3944 4 3945 9 3946 8 3947 8 3950 3 3951 3 3952 3 3953 4 3956 7 [3958 8] 3962 1-2 υπηρεκία 3924 (1?), 9
έμβολή 3936 20 έναρχος 3924 3 3925 5, 7 3930 6 έξηγητέα 3930 9 έξηγητής 3930 4 έξηγητής 3930 4 έξηκατωρι (3932 16) έπαρχία, ή Άρκάδων ἐ. 3934 11 έπαρχος 3920 4 (praef. Aeg.), 5 (praef. classis Augustae Alexandrinae) ἐπικρίνει 3920 3 ἐπίκριεις [3920 1] ἐπικρίνει 3936 21  ήγεμονείνει 3936 21  ήγεμονείνει 3936 31 3934 10 ήγεμονικός (praesidial) 40	τάτωρ 3917 1 ττόλος Cεβαστός Άλεξανδρινός 3920 5-6 τρατηγός 3916 2 3917 1-2 (3925 1) 3926. 2 3928 3 (3930 2) τόμμαχος 3932 11 τόνδικος 3925 8 τονέδριον 3925 7 ταξεώτης 3960 24 τάξις (σ[βιείικη) 3934 10 3955 4 τριβούνος 3960 37 υπατεία 3933 2 3934 4 3935 4 3936 3 3937 3 3938 5 3939 2 3948 5 [3961 3], see also Index II υπατος 3936 7 ἀπό υπάτων 3939 5 3941 10-11 3944 4 3945 9 3946 8 3947 8 3950 3 3951 3 3952 3 3953 4 3956 7 [3958 8] 3962 1-2 υπηρεία 3924 (1?), 9 υπηρεία 3924 (1?), 9 υπηρείτης 3926 18, (34) 3930 19
έμβολή 3936 20 έναρχος 3924 3 3925 5, 7 3930 6 έξηγητής 3930 9 έξηγητής 3930 9 έξηγητής 3932 16 έξηκαρχία, ή Άρκαδων έ. 3934 11 έπαρχος 3920 4 (praef. Aeg.), 5 (praef. classis Augustae Alexandrinae) έπικρίνει 3920 3 ἐπίκρισις [3920 1] ἐπικρίνει 3936 21 ἡγεμονεύειν 3918 9 ἡγεμονικός (praesidial) 3934 10 ἡγεμόν 3918 7 (prefect of Egypt) lατρός see δημόςιος ι. (ιδυκτίων) 3933 3, 12 3934 6 3935 5 3936 5 3937 4 3938 7 3939 3 [3940 6] 3941 8 3942 6, 28 3943 6 3944 4 3950 2 3951 2 [3952 2, 16] 3953 2 3954 7, 32, 45 3955	τάτωρ 3917 1 ττόλος Cεβαστός Μλεξανδρινός 3920 5-6 τρατηγός 3916 2 3917 1-2 (3925 1) 3926. 2 3928 3 (3930 2) τύμμαχος 3932 11 τύδικος 3925 8 τυνέδριον 3925 7 ταξεώτης 3960 24 τάξις (σ[βείμιπ) 3934 10 3955 4 τριβούνος 3960 37 υπατεία 3933 2 3934 4 3935 4 3936 3 3937 3 3938 5 3939 2 3948 5 [3961 3], see also Index II υπατος 3936 7 ἀπό υπάτων 3939 5 3941 10-11 3944 4 3945 9 3946 8 3947 8 3950 3 3951 3 3952 3 3953 4 3956 7 [3958 8] 3962 1-2 υπηρεκία 3924 (1?), 9
έμβολή 3936 20 έναρχος 3924 3 3925 5, 7 3930 6 έξηγητεία 3930 9 έξηγητεία 3930 9 έξηγητεία 3930 4 έξκέπταρ (3932 16) ἐπαρχία, ή Άρκάδων ἐ. 3934 11 ἔπαρχος 3920 4 (braef. Aeg.), 5 (braef. classis Augustae Alexandrinae) ἐπικρίνειν 3920 3 ἐπίκριεις [3920 1] ἐπινέμητις 3936 21  ἡγεμονεύειν 3918 9 ἡγεμονεύειν 3918 7 (prefect of Egypt)  lατρός see δημόςιος l. (διδικτίων) 3933 3, 12 3934 6 3935 5 3936 5 3937 4 3938 7 3939 3 [3940 6] 3941 8 3942 6, 28 3943 6 3944 3 3945 7 3946 6 3947 6 3948 6 3949 4 3950 2 3951 2 [3952 2, 16] 3953 2 3954 7, 32, 45 3951 3, [9] 3956 5 3957 6 3958 [7], 17, 37,	τάτωρ 3917 1 ττόλος Cεβαστός Μλεξανδρινός 3920 5-6 τρατηγός 3916 2 3917 1-2 (3925 1) 3926. 2 3928 3 (3930 2) τύμαχος 3932 11 τύδικος 3925 8 τυνέδριον 3925 7 ταξεώτης 3960 24 τάξις (σ[βείμιπ) 3934 10 3955 4 τριβούνος 3960 37 υπατεία 3933 2 3934 4 3935 4 3936 3 3937 3 3938 5 3939 2 3948 5 [3961 3], see also Index II υπατος 3936 7 ἀπό υπάτων 3939 5 3941 10-11 3944 4 3945 9 3946 8 3947 8 3950 3 3951 3 3952 3 3953 4 3956 7 [3958 8] 3962 1-2 υπηρεκία 3924 (1?), 9 υπηρέτης 3926 18, (34) 3930 19 υπομνηματογράφος (3930 2, 6)
έμβολή 3936 20 έναρχος 3924 3 3925 5, 7 3930 6 έξηγητής 3930 9 έξηγητής 3930 9 έξηγητής 3932 16 έξηκαρχία, ή Άρκαδων έ. 3934 11 έπαρχος 3920 4 (praef. Aeg.), 5 (praef. classis Augustae Alexandrinae) έπικρίνει 3920 3 ἐπίκρισις [3920 1] ἐπικρίνει 3936 21 ἡγεμονεύειν 3918 9 ἡγεμονικός (praesidial) 3934 10 ἡγεμόν 3918 7 (prefect of Egypt) lατρός see δημόςιος ι. (ιδυκτίων) 3933 3, 12 3934 6 3935 5 3936 5 3937 4 3938 7 3939 3 [3940 6] 3941 8 3942 6, 28 3943 6 3944 4 3950 2 3951 2 [3952 2, 16] 3953 2 3954 7, 32, 45 3955	τάτωρ 3917 1 ττόλος Cεβαστός Άλεξανδρινός 3920 5-6 τρατηγός 3916 2 3917 1-2 (3925 1) 3926. 2 3928 3 (3930 2) τόμμαχος 3932 11 τόνδικος 3925 8 τονέδριον 3925 7 ταξεώτης 3960 24 τάξις (σ[βιείικη) 3934 10 3955 4 τριβούνος 3960 37 υπατεία 3933 2 3934 4 3935 4 3936 3 3937 3 3938 5 3939 2 3948 5 [3961 3], see also Index II υπατος 3936 7 ἀπό υπάτων 3939 5 3941 10-11 3944 4 3945 9 3946 8 3947 8 3950 3 3951 3 3952 3 3953 4 3956 7 [3958 8] 3962 1-2 υπηρεία 3924 (1?), 9 υπηρεία 3924 (1?), 9 υπηρείτης 3926 18, (34) 3930 19

# X. PROFESSIONS, TRADES, AND OCCUPATIONS

άγγαρευτής 3958 28 ἀντιγεοῦχος 3957 8 γερδίαινα 3921 20 [3922 1] γραμματοδιδάσκαλος 3952 [11], 40, [54] διάκονος see Index VIII(a) διοικητής 3936 11 3954 9	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
ένοικιολογία 3958 21 ένοικιολογία 3958 12, 18, 35, 42 έγοικιολόγιος 3958 12, 18, 35, 42 έγολτης τών χρινοςόων 3933 6-7, 13-14, 34, 41 ενάγγελος see Index VIII(a) laτρός 3926 37 κουφοκεραμεύς 3942 12, 37 οικέτης [3939 6] 3941 14 3944 6 3945 11 [3946 11] 3950 5 3951 5 [3952 5] 3953 5 3956 8 3958 10 3959 6 οικούφως 3936 13, (31) οινοχειρικτής 3960 3, 17 έγωνθειν 3918 20 3924 10, 25 όμοιως 3916 25 3921 (19) (3922 6, 12) όμολογείν 3915 3-4 3933 8, 33, 38 3936 30 [3938 19] 3942 15, 26, (31) 3952 13, 50 3954 25, 34, 44 [(3955 24)] 3958 14, [[(34)] 3959 11	παραμονάριος 3960 27 προαστίτης (3941 19, 21) προυονητής [3952, 17, 42, 56] πωμαρίτης 3923 3 εκυτεύς 3926 9 εταβλίτης 3938 12, 24 ευμβολαιογράφος [(3952 58)] 3958 40 πραπεζίτης 3935 6 ὑποδέκτης 3952 17 χαρτουλάριος 3938 9 3942 7 [3952 21] χρυιοχόος 3933 4, 7, 14, 34, 41 ψάλτης see Index VIII(a)

# XI. MEASURES

# (a) Weights and Measures

# (b) Money

Άλεξανδρείας (sc. ζυγόν) 3952 33	(δραχμ
άργύριον ζεβαςτοῦ καὶ Πτολεμαϊκοῦ νομίςματος 3915	30 (
10-11	5 (b)
Samuel 2015 to [r0] of 2021 to 16 42	(hic)

 $\begin{array}{l} (\delta\rho\alpha\chi\mu\dot{\eta})~{\bf 3919}~4,~9,~12~~{\bf 3921}~18,~19,~22,~24~(bis),~25,\\ 30~(bis),~32~(bis),~33,~34,~37,~38,~41,~43~~{\bf 3922}~[3],\\ 5~(bis),~7~(bis),~11~(bis),~13~(bis),~14-16,~17~(bis),~19~(bis)\\ \end{array}$ 

XIII. GENERAL INDEX OF WORDS

ίδιωτικόν ζυγόν 3933 18-19 (3942 17, 20, νόμιτμα (solidus) 3933 18, (24) 3938 17 (3942 16, 37) **3958** [23-4], 27, 38 (**3960** 36, 38) 20, 37) [3952 33] 3958 (23, [26], 30), 38 (**3960** 13 (bis), 14 (bis), 15 (bis), 36, 38?)

κέρμα 3958 24 δβολός **3954** 29, 33, 39

### XII. TAXES

έπαρούριον(?) 3921-2 introd. p.19 έπιςτατεία φυλ(ακιτών) ibid.

εφρ(αγιεμός) μόςχων ibid.

την ις 3919 6

ξυλικόν ibid.

## XIII. GENERAL INDEX OF WORDS

άβλαβής 3955 22 άλλος 3915 7, 17 3925 8 3932 11 3958 άβροχος [3955 15] 20 (3960 14, 25) άγγαρευτής see Index X άλλοτε **3916** 4 ἄμα 3925 7 3929 10 ayıoc see Index VIII(a) άννοεῖν 3926 15 άμαυροῦν 3931 7 \*άμαυρωτικός 3931 1 αγοράζειν 3960 13-15 άγράμματος 3933 37 (3942 34) (3955 27) αμέμπτως 3933 15 άμοιβαίος 3932 8 άγωγή 3941 18 [3944 8] 3950 6 3951 6 [3952] ἀμφοδογραμματεύς see Index IX 6] **3953** 7 **3958** 11 **3959** 8 αμφοδον 3916 9 cf. Index VII(c) s.v. Χηνοβοςκών άμφότεροι 3952 [12], 47 άδελφή **3929** 12 άδελφός 3919 4, 7 άναβαίνειν 3919 14 αναδέχετθαι (3938 15) 3952 [8], [41], 55 3959 14 άδιαλείπτως 3959 17 άδιάπτωτος 3942 25 αναδιδόναι see Index IX s.v. αναδοθέντες έπὶ θυςιών άδυναμείν **3960** 23 άναλίςκειν **3960** 10 åεί 3929 5 ἀνάλωμα 3921 10, 26, 39 (3922 8) 3952 38 3960 αίδέςιμος 3935 6 3938 9 3942 7 3949 6 αίδεςιμότης (3942 16, 27) ανευ 3933 25 αίθριον (3918 18) άνήκειν 3958 21 αίρειν 3925 6 ανήρ 3925 8 3926 8, 38 3941 17 [3944 8] 3950 alteîv 3919 14-15 3921 51 6 3951 6 [3952 6] 3953 7 3958 11 3959 8 alría 3933 25 ανθρωπος 3931 1-2, 8 alώνιος 3934 3 3935 3 3938 4 3940 5 3941 ἀντιγεοῦχος see Index X 5 3942 4 3943 5 3944 2 3945 6 3946 άντιδίδοναι 3960 16 5 3947 5 3948 4 3954 5 [3955 3] [3956 4] 3957 5 3958 7 3961 3; see also Index I s.v. άντίδικος 3917 6 ανυπερθέτως (3942 30) [3955 22] Maurice, Phocas, Heraclius άνώτερος 3955 26 άκαταγνώςτως **3933** 15-16 άξιοῦν 3926 17 3929 13 άόκνως 3933 15 ακίνδυνος [3955 21] ἀκολούθως 3921 7 [3952 23] 3958 25 3960 21, άπαιτείν 3960 12 απαιτήςιμον [3952 21] άκούειν 3917 4-5, 9 3931 11 απαρτίζειν 3917 10 3919 5 απας [3952 57] ἄλκιμος 3931 11-13 n. αλλήλων [3915 10] άπελεύθερος 3915 [3], 3 3920 2-3 see also Index IX άλλοδημία 3916 18 ἀπέγειν 3915 8-9, 24

ἀπηλιώτης (3918 17) άπλοῦς [3933 33] 3936 29 (3942 31) 3955 23 άπλῶς **3915** 10 από 3915 1 3918 16 3921 [11], 16, 20, 23, 27, 29, 36, 40 3922 [1], 4, 6, 10, 17, 18 3926 4 3928 5 **3929** 4 **3930** 9 **3931** 4 **3933** 5, 8, [11], 20, 21, 42 3934 8, 12 3935 8 3936 36 3938 11, 13, 19 3939 5 3941 10 3942 10, 13, 24 (bis), 37 3944 4 3945 9 3946 8 3949 10, 13 3950 3, 7 3951 3 3952 3, [13], [16], [39] 3953 4, 8 3954 13, 23, 51 3955 [5], 6, [8] [3956 7] 3958 [8], 14, 16, 20, 31, 37, 43 3959 10, 16 . 3960 4 (bis), 12-15, 31-35, 36 (bis), 37 3962 1-4 ἀπογράφεςθαι 3918 4 άπογραφή (3918 14) (3929 22) see also Index IX s.v. κατ' οἰκίαν ἀπογραφή  $\vec{a}\pi\acute{o}\delta\epsilon \iota \xi \iota c$  (3935 9) 3936 26, (28), (35), (36) (3941 21) 3954 40, (51) άποδιδόναι 3952 43, 52 3955 21, 25 3958 37 άπόδοειε 3938 16 3952 9 απολύειν 3952 53, 57 (both απελητα) 3958 39 άποπληροῦν 3933 14 3952 [18], 39, [44] 3958 18, άπόςταςις 3958 20 άποςυμβιβάζειν [3952 27] άποτάςς ειν 3926 18 3952 44 (άποταττ-) ἀποφέρεςθαι 3916 10, 22-3 ἀποχή 3954 43 άραιός 3916 25 άργύριον 3915 10, 24 3921 (14); see also Index XI(b) άρίθμιος [3958 30] άριστερός 3926 9, 10 άρμόζειν 3916 12-13 ἀρτάβη see Index XI(a) ἄρχειν see Index IX άρχή 3958 [16], 37; see also Index IX ἄρχων see Index IX άςινής 3942 25 άςφάλεια 3915 20, 27 ἄτοωτος **3955** 22 αὐθαίρετος 3933 9 [3952 14] 3958 15 3959 12 αὐλή (3918 19) αὐτόθι 3925 4 Αὐτοκράτωρ see Index I s.vv. Nero, Severus and Caracalla, Elagabalus, Severus Alexander, Philippi, Decius, Maurice, Phocas, Heraclius αὐτός (her, she, it) 3915 27, 28 (bis) [3916 30? (see 26-30 n.)] 3917 8 3919 II, 12 3921 36, 51 (bis), 52 3922 16 3924 28 3926 19, 20-21, (31), 38 3932 4 3933 14, 16, 24, 26, 31, 37 3936 11, 17 3938 14, 16 3942 34 3952 γραμματοδιδάςκαλος see Index X 10 (bis), [43], 46 3954 29, 37, 39, 43 3955 12, γράφειν 3915 28 3921 51 3926 31 3929 18, 21, 27 3958 16, 18, 22 3959 17,

[20] (3960 16) 3961 4, 5 αὐτός (same, self) 3916 19 (3918 18) 3921 7, (25), (38), (42) (3922 19) 3925 9 3929 13 3933 2, 8, 21 3934 4, 12 3935 4 3936 3 3937 3 3938 5, 19 3939 2 3941 16 [3944 8] 3948 5 3950 6 3951 6 3952 [6], [8], [9], [13], 17, 21, 29, [34], 36, [44] **3953** 6 3958 [10], 14, 23 3959 7, 16, [19] 3960 19,  $d\phi \hat{\eta} \lambda \iota \xi$  3921 (2), 7, 27 3922 9 3923 2, 4 άφηλιώτης see άπηλιώτης άφιστάναι 3933 20 ἄχραντος see Index VIII(a), (b) axpi 3931 q 3942 24 3954 29, 33, 39 βατιλεία see Index IX βαςτάζειν 3926 13-14 βεβαιοῦν 3915 [15], 25 βεβαίωτις 3915 15, 26 βιβλίδιον see Index IX βλάβος 3915 17 Bonboc see Index IX βούλεςθαι [3955 23] βουλευτής see Index IX βουλή see Index IX βρέβιον 3960 21 νάο 3932 8 γενικώς [3952 49] [3958 33] γενναίος see Index I s.v. Philippi γεουχείν 3936 8 [3939 5] 3941 12 3944 5 3945 10 3946 9 [3947 8] 3950 4 3951 4 [3952 4] 3953 4 3956 7 3958 9 3962 1, 2 γεουχικός 3942 18, 21, 37 3952 27, 30, 31 [3955] IOI γερδίαινα see Index X γεύεςθαι 3929 10 νεωρνός [3952 22]  $\gamma \hat{\eta} 3931 4$ γίνεςθαι 3915 31 (3921 25, 38, 43) (3922 7, 16, 17) 3930 1, 6 (3933 19) (3936 25) 3942 10, (20) 3952 25, 26 (3954 44) 3958 13, [35], 43 3959 5 (3960 3, 13, 14, 16, 17) γινώςκειν 3932 4, 10 γλυκύτατος **3932** 12 γνώμη 3933 9 [3952 14] [3958 14] 3959 12 γράμμα 3915 28-9 3921 52 3926 33 3932 2 [3952 13] γραμματείον (3937 6) (3938 24) (3942 31, 33, 37) (3943 9) (3944 9) (3945 13) (3946 13) (3947 11) (3949 17)

21 3932 8 3933 33, 37 3936 29 3942 (31),

34 [3952 50] 3955 [24], 27 3958 34 γυμναςιαρχείν see Index IX γυμναςίαρχος see Index IX γυνή 3931 8 Δαφνών see Index VII(c) δέ 3916 19, 26? (see 26-30 n.) 3917 8 3919 19 [3920 o] 3924 17 3929 7 3932 7, 10, 12 3933 22, 24, 27, 38 3952 26, 27, 29, [31], [32], 37, [40] [3955 9, 19, 22] 3958 24, 29 δείν 3926 21 3930 8 3955 21 δέκα 3925 8 δεκαπέντε [3955 18-10] δέκατος 3942 30 3944 3 δεξιός 3915 6, 7 δέςποινα see Index VIII(a), (b) δεςπότης 3933 3 (3934 2, 5) 3935 2, (5) 3936 2, (4) 3937 I, 4 (3938 I, 3, 6) 3939 I, 3 (3940 4) (3941 5, 16) (3942 4) (3947 4) 3948 (3) [6] **3949** 2 (**3950** 5) **3951** 6 **3952** 5 **3953** 6 **3954** 2, 4 **3955** [1], 2 **3956** 1, 3, [9] [**3957** 5] 3958 5, 6, 10 (3959 1, 7) 3961 1, [2]; see also Index I s.vv. Maurice, Phocas, Heraclius, Heraclius and Heraclius Junior, II, VIII(b) δεύτερος 3932 8 3936 20 3937 5 3955 3 [3956 5?7 **3958** 17, 37 δέχετθαι 3932 2 3933 10, 16, 23, 31 3954 26, 36 δηλοῦν 3916 21-2 3924 29 3925 6 3930 8 3938 16 [3952 q] δημότιος see Index IX s.vv. δημότιον, δημότιος ιατρός διά 3915 13, 28, 31 3919 3 [3920 5] [3925 4] 3930 5, 7 3932 2, 14 3933 40 (di') 3936 9, 17, 35 (di') [3939 6] [3941 14] 3942 36 (di') 3944 6 3945 II 3946 IO 3950 5 [3951 5] [3952 5, 15, 58, 59 (di')] 3953 5 3954 35 [3955 28 (di')] 3956 8 [3957 8] 3958 [9], 16, 22, 40 3959 6 3960 3 διάγειν 3959 18 διαγραφή (3915 31) διάθετις 3926 20, 39 διαθήκη 3921 8 διακεῖςθαι 3958 19 3960 1 διάκονος see Index VIII(a) διακότιοι 3954 30, 34 διαπέμπεςθαι 3919 3, 10 3920 15 διαπιπράςκειν 3954 28, 38 διαπράςς ειν 3916 28 (see 26-30 n.) διατελείν 3927 6-7 διαφέρειν 3925 10 3930 12 3950 8 [3952 15. 20] 3953 9 [3955 10] 3958 16, 25 διάφορον 3921 14 διδόναι 3919 12 3923 4 3932 7 3936 19, 23 3952 37, 46 3955 [19], 27 (bis), 29 3960 35 3954 30, 33 (both τουτέςτιν) 3955 [21],

διέρχεςθαι 3918 10 3921 8-9, 11, 17, 28 3926 5 διευτυνείν 3917 11 διήγητις 3955 26 διήκειν 3931 3 δικαιοδοτείν 3917 7-8 δίκαιον 3952 47, 49 [3955 14] 3958 32, 34 δικαίωμα 3920 9-10 (δικεωμ-) δίλαςτον see Index XI(a) διοικητής see Index X διεςός [3952 50] 3958 34 διεχίλιοι 3921 14, 42-3 δίχα 3952 39 [3959 21] διχόνιον see Index XI(a) δοκείν 3917 4 3933 27 δούλη 3916 6, 23 δοῦλος [3920 3] δραχμή see Index XI(b) δρόμος see Index VII(ε) s.vv. Δρόμου Θοήριδος, Νότου Δρόμου δύναςθαι 3926 20 3933 10 δύο 3915 6 3922 13 [3958 26] έάν 3917 4 3919 6, 11, 13 έαυτοῦ [3952 28] EVYOVOC SEE EKYOVOC έγγράφως 3926 20-40 έγγυαςθαι 3924 28 (ενγυ-), [41], [55] 3959 14 έγγύη 3959 22 έγγυητής see Index IX έγγυήτρια see Index IX έγώ 3915 4 3916 6, 18 3917 3 3918 15 3919 5, 14, 15 3921 44 3922 20 3924 10 3925 6 3926 6, 8, 11, 16 3929 11, 12, 14 3930 7, 15, 17 3931 11 3932 5, 6, 7, 8, 15 3933 2, 10, 13, 16, 17, 20, 23, 24, 25, 27, 28, 30, 40 (em(u)) 3934 2, 5 3935 1, 5 3936 3, 4, 32, 35 (emu) 3937 3, 4 3938 2, 3, 6, 17, 18 3939 2 (bis) 3940 4 3941 5 3942 3, 8, 17, 33, 36 (em(u)) 3943 4 3944 1 3945 4 3946 3 3947 4 3948 3, 6 3949 4 3952 6, [14], [17], 20, [24], 25, [27], [30], 34, [40], 43, [48], 52, [57], [58], [59 (emu)] 3954 2, 3, 29, 36, 39 3955 1, [2], [28 (emu)] [3956 2, 3] 3957 3, [5] 3958 5, 6, 11, 15, 17, 24, 26, 27, 29, 33, 40 3959 2 3960 3 3961 [1], [2] έθος 3936 18 3952 34 (3960 24) el 3917 9 3932 9 3933 22, 24 3952 [26], 42 είδέναι 3915 28 3921 52 3926 32 3930 11 3932 3, 9 3960 21 είκάς 3916 14 εἴκοιι 3921 16 3923 4, 5 3958 23, 30, 38 είναι 3915 8 3919 6, 11 3921 42 3922 19 3924 14, 16 3930 10 3933 37 3936 29 3942

27 3760 26 είc 3915 18 3924 9, 15 3931 4, 18 3933 39 **3938** 16 **3942** 17 **3952** [8], [30], 47 **3960** 20, 23, 37, 38 etc 3915 7 3916 14 3921 35 3922 16 3952 15 3954 29, 33, 39 3958 16, 23, [26] εἰςδιδόναι 3924 7 εἴςπραξις [3952 26] είςπράς τειν 3952 22, [30] εἰςφέρειν 3952 30 elra 3952 45 έκ 3915 7 (εν), [13], 25 3920 1 3921 26 3922 8 3932 4, 9 3933 24 3936 18 (bis) 3938 18 3952 43 3954 12 3955 17?, 18? 3958 27 3960 16, 19, 24 екастос [3920 II] 3932 7 [3955 I5] έκατόν 3921 43 3952 32 3958 23, 30, 38 έκβάλλειν 3933 25 έκγονος 3938 8 (εγγονω) ἔκδικος see Index IX ἐκεῖcε **3932** 6 ἔκθεςις see ἔχθεςις ἐκκληςία see Index VIII(a) έκούτιος 3933 9 [3952 14] [3958 14] 3959 11 έκουείωε 3934 13 3955 7 ёктакта [3955 19] έκτελεῖν 3924 13, 25, 28-9 έκτιθέναι 3954 42 έκτίνειν [3915 16] ектос 3916 15 3933 12 3955 17?, 18? έλαιον 3931 18 (ελεον)? 3958 27 έλαττοῦν 3915 10, 26 *έλεον see έλαιον* έλεος 3936 21 έλεύθερος 3960 27 έλπίζειν **3932** 6 έμαυτοῦ **3924** 17-18 ἐμβολάτωρ see Index IX ἐμβολή see Index IX έμός 3932 11 3933 39 3936 18 3952 [28], 35, 37, 53, 56, 57 **3958** 30, [30], 39 έμφανής 3924 14 (ενφ-) έν 3915 [20], 26 3916 18, 30? (see 26-30 n.) 3917 3, 10 3918 16 3920 3, 11 3921 36 3922 16 3924 15 3925 6 3926 7 3932 4 3936 I 3937 I 3938 I 3939 I 3940 I [3941 I] 3942 I, 27 3943 I [3945 I] 3946 I 3947 I [3948 I] 3949 I 3950 I [3951 1] **3952** [1], 26, [28], 28 (bis), [42], [43], [56] 3953, 1 3954 2 3955 [1, 12], 21 [3956 1] 3957 [1], 6 3958 5, 18 3959 1, 18 3960 1, 16, 21 (bis), 26, 20 3961 1 έναρχος see Index IX ένατος **3942** 6. 28

ένδεικνύναι [3952 26] ενδεκα 3936 23 ενδοξος 3935 6 3938 10 3942 8 3952 [15], (17), 21, [44] 3954 q [3957 7] 3958 (12), 21, 25 3959 4 (3960 I) ένδοξότατος 3957 8 ένέχειν **3952** 46 ένέχυρον 3952 49 [3958 33] ένθα 3959 21 ένιαυτός 3933 17, 22 3952 15 3955 15 3958 16. ένιςτάναι 3916 15 3921 12, (17, 20, 28, 34), 37, (41) [3922 1] [3955 8] ἐνοικιολογία see Index X ένοικιολόνος see Index X ένοίκιον 3921 19, 35 3922 7, 16 3958 17, 37 ένογή 3941 18 3950 6 3952 6 3953 7 3958 11 **3959** 8 ενοχος [3924 16] έντάγιον 3952 23, [43] ένταῦθα 3936 8 3939 5 3941 12 3944 5 3945 10 3946 9 [3947 9] 3950 4 3951 4 [3952 4] 3953 4 3956 7 3958 9 3962 1, 2 έντεῦθεν 3933 38 3942 16 έντυγχάνειν [3917 10-11] ενφανής see εμφανής ένώπιον 3929 7-8 έξ 3921 15 3942 19, 20, (22) (bis), (38) (bis) έξάγιον 3955 17, [18?] έξαρτεία 3955 ΙΙ (-ρτια) έξαρτίζειν 3955 11 έξέρχεςθαι 3932 9 έξηγητεία see Index IX έξηνητής see Index IX έξήκοντα 3921 43 έξης [3938 16] [3952 9] 3952 12 [3958 13 3960 29 ἐξκέπτωρ see Index IX έξωτικός 3952 19, 29 έορτικά 3955 19 ἐπαγόμεναι see Index IV ἐπάναγκες [3955 21] ἐπαρούριον see Index XII έπαργία see Index IX ἔπαργος see Index IX έπεί 3929 7 (επι) έπέρχεςθαι 3926 6 έπερωτάν 3933 33 3936 30 [3939 6] 3941 15 3942 31 3944 7 [3945 12] [(3946 11)] (3950 5) 3951 5 [3952 5, 50] 3953 5 (3954 44) [(3955 24)] [3956 9] 3958 10, [(34)] (3959 6) êmi 3915 6, 13 3916 6, 9, 21 3918 15 (3921 25, 38, 43) (3922 19) 3926 13 3929 1 3931 11,

13 3933 12, 23, 26, 30, 31 3952 15, [17], εὐλαβής see Index VIII(a) [23] 3954 31 [3955 15] 3958 16, 17, εύνοια **3932** 2 32 3959 17 3960 19, 22, 24, 29 εύοίς κειν 3926 12 εὐcέβεια see Index I s.v. Heraclius and Heraclius èπί see also èπεί ἐπιβάλλεςθαι 3916 4-5, 20 Junior, VIII(a) έπιγράφειν 3921 50 ευς εβές τατος 3933 2 (3934 1, 5) 3935 1, 4 (3936 4) 3937 3 (3938 3, 6) (3939 2) [3940 έπιδέχεςθαι 3934 13 [3955 8] 3] (3941 5) (3942 3) (3943 3) (3944 έπιδιδόναι 3926 16-17, 30 3929 20 (3932 15) 1) (3945 4) (3946 3) (3947 4) (3948 **ἐπιζητείν 3959** 19 ἐπικαλεῖν 3931 2−3 3) 3948 5 (3954 4) [3955 2] [3956 3] (3957 4) (3958 6) 3961 2; see also Index I έπικαταλαμβάνειν 3932 4 s.vv. Maurice, Phocas, Heraclius, Heraclius and έπικεῖςθαι [3955 12] ἐπικρίνειν see Index IX Heraclius Iunior, II ἐπίκριεις see Index IX Εὐςεβής see Index I s.vv. Severus and Caracalla, èπινέμητις 3936 20-21 (3942 20) (3952 16) Elagabalus, Severus Alexander, Philippi, Decius ευτυχ 3925 11 ἐπινέμητις see also Index IX, cf. Index III(a) έπιπορεύεςθαι 3931 ο Εὐτυγής see Index I s.vv. Elagabalus, Severus ἐπιστατεία see Index XII Alexander, Philippi, Decius επιττέλλειν 3925 5-6 3926 34, 35-6 3930 13 εύχεςθαι (3920 15) [3930 14] έφοραν 3926 18-19, 37 έπιςτολή 3932 10, 14 έπιτήδειος 3942 23 (επιδητιέχειν 3915 6, 16 3916 27-8? (see 26-30 n.) 3917 **ἐ**πίτιμον **3915** 17 6 3933 38 3936 15 3942 15 3954 35 έπίτροπος 3921 2 3923 έχθετις 3952 26 3960 20 ἐπιφανής see Index I s.v. Philippi έως 3921 [12], 17, 21, 23, 28, 29, 37 3922 [2], [4], έπιφέρειν 3930 20 6, 10, 17 3930 10 εποίκιον 3942 14, 37 3949 14 3950 7-8 3953 8 3955 6; see also Index VII(b) ζημιούν 3933 26 ζυγόν 3933 19 3942 17, (20), (37) 3958 24, 27, έπόμνυςθαι 3959 13 έργαςι-3933 20 38 (3960 36, 38); see also Index XI(b) s.vv. έργάτης see Index X Άλεξανδρείας ζ., ίδιωτικόν ζ. έςπέρα **3926** 4-5 έτερος 3916 26 3920 3, [12] 3955 13 " 3915 [16] [3924 16] 3931 8 ётос 3915 30 3916 15 3921 37 3924 20 3933 ήγεμονεύειν see Index IX 3 3934 4, 5 3935 4, 5 3936 4 3937 4 3938 ήγεμονικός see Index IX 5, 6 3939 3 3940 6 3941 8 3942 5 3943 ήγεμών see Index IX 6 3944 3 3945 7 3946 6 3947 6 3948 5, ηδη 3933 39 3942 16 6 [3952 2] 3953 2 3954 6 [3955 3] [3956 ήμέρα 3926 5 5] **3957** 6 **3958** 7 **3961** 3, [3], [4] ήμιολία 3915 [17] (eroc) 3915 21, 29 3918 10 3920 7 3921 9, 11, ทันเcบc 3933 21 12, 17 (bis), 21, 28 (bis), 34, 41, 46 3922 [1], 4, ήτοι 3952 [17], 35 6, 7, 10, 15, 18 **3923** 6 **3926** 23, [41] **3929** 14 3930 15, 20 3935 5 3954 45 3955 θαλαςςοκράτωρ see Index VIII(ε) 8 3958 41? 3960 3 3961 5 θαυμαςίστης (3933 10, 20) θαυμαςιώτατος 3932 2 3933 4 3934 7 3938 εὐάγγελος see Index VIII(a) εὐαγής see Index VIII(a) 8 3952 41 εθγενεςτάτη (3932 15) θείος 3959 13 εὐδοκεῖν 3924 19-20 θειότατος 3934 1 3935 1 3938 2 3940 3 3941 εὐδοκιμώτατος 3932 5 4 3942 3 3943 3 3944 1 3945 4 3946 εθεργέτης 3934 2 3935 2 3938 3 [3940 3947 3 3948 3 3954 4 [3955 2] 3956 4] [(3941 6)] (3942 4) 3943 4 (3944 2 3957 4 3958 6 3961 2; see also Index I s.vv. (3945 5) 3946 4 (3947 4) 3948 4 3955 Maurice, Phocas, Heraclius, Heraclius and Hera-2 3956 3 3958 6; see also Index I s.vv. Maurice, clius Junior Phocas, Heraclius θέλειν 3931 10 εὐκλεής 3960 Ι  $\theta \epsilon \delta c$  see Index VIII(a), (b), (c)

θεοτόκος see Index VIII(a), (b)16, 17, 19, 20, 21, 25, 26, 36, 37, 40, 44, 46 (ter), θεοφύλακτος see Index I s.v. Heraclius and Heraclius 47 (bis) 3955 [1], 1, [2], [3], [9], [12 (bis)], 12, Junior [13 (bis)], 13 (bis), 14, [15], [19 (bis)], 19, [23], θεραπεία 3926 21 23, [24], 25 **3956** 1, [2], 2, 4, 6, [7], 7, [9] **3957** θυγάτηρ 3938 14, 18 2 (ter), 3, [4], 4, 5, 8 3958 5 (bis), 6, 7, 8, [8], θύειν see Index VIII 9-13, 15, 20 (bis), 21 (bis), 31 (bis), 33, [33], 34, θύρα 3926 15 35, (36), 37, 39, 42, (43) **3959** 1, 2, 6, 8, 12, 13, θυςία see Index IX s.v. ἀναδοθέντες ἐπὶ τῶν θυςιῶν 14, 18, 19, 20 3960 2, (2), (3), (4), 12, [18], 20 (ter), (23 bis), 24, (25 bis), (29), (31) 3961 1, [1], ιατρός, see Index IX s.v. δημόςιος ιατρός, X 2, 3, [3 bis], [5] 3962 1, 2 ίδικῶc **3952** 48 **3958** 33 καινόκουφον 3942 18, 21, 22, 37 ίδιος 3941 16 3944 7 3950 5 [3951 6] 3952 5, καιρός 3952 28 3955 21 12, 43 3953 6 [3956 9] 3958 10, 14 3959 7 κακούργος 3926 6, 16 ίδιωτικός 3937 18 (3942 17, 20, 37) 3958 [23], κακουργότερον 3916 5-6, 20-21 27, 38 (3960 36, 38); see also Index XI(b) καλείν **3955** 10 ιερείον see Index VIII κάλπαςος 3931 27 (καλπαςς-) ιεροφάντης see Index VIII καμάρα 3918 19 ίλλούς τριος 3957 8 (ιλλος τριου) κάμηλος 3915 5, 23, [32] ίματισμός 3921 34 3922 15 κάρπαςος see κάλπαςος ίνα 3917 4 3930 II картос [3952 16] 3954 31 [3955 9] ινδικτίων see Index III. IX κατά 3915 [19], 20, 27 3916 19 3918 4, 13 3926 ικον 3926 35 9, 12 3929 8 3952 20, [22], 45 3955 4, [15], icoc 3915 18 3919 9 [26] **3958** 19, 26, [27], 38 **3960** 2 καταβάλλειν 3952 22 κάγκελλος see Index XI(a) καταβολή 3954 41 καταγγιεμός 3942 29 (καταγιεμ-) καθιστάναι 3916 18-19 καθολικός see Index VIII(a) καταγίνεςθαι 3916 7 καθότι 3915 27 καταλαμβάνειν 3932 6 καθώς 3952 36 καταλογίζεςθαι [3952 28] καί 3915 7, 8, [11], [15], 17 (bis), 18, 23, 24, κατάλογος 3960 26 καταπιςτεύειν 3952 8 25 3916 4, 10, 13, 14, 22 3917 7, 11 3918 1, 3, 8, 17, 18 (bis), 19 (bis) 3919 7, 10 3920 2 κατακευάζειν 3933 30-31 (bis), 3, [3], 7, 8 3921 4, [5], 5, 6 (bis), 8, 10, 23, καταςπορά [3955 q] 31, 33, 44, 51 3922 4, 6, 12 (ter), 14, 20 3924 6, καταςχίζειν **3926** 14 15, 19, 25 3925 1, 2, 7, 8 3926 1, 7, 10, 11 (bis), καταχωρίζειν 3916 12 3921 48 12, 25, 27, 37, 39 (3927 2, 5, 6, 11, 12, 28, 30, κατέχειν **3932** ΙΙ 35, 36, 41, 42) 3929 6, 7, 9 (bis), 11 3930 11, 16, κατορύςς ειν 3931 28 20 3931 10, 20 3932 3, 4, 5, 6, 8 (ter), 9, 11 κελεύειν 3918 5 3929 8 (bis), 12, 13 (bis), 15 3933 9, 15 (bis), 16, 19, 21, κέρμα see Index XI(b) 24, 26, 27, 31, 33 3934 1, 4 3935 1, 3 3936 1, κεφάλαιον 3915 12 3921 40, 42 3922 18, 19 2, 7, 8, 10, 13, 23, 26, 30, 31 3937 1, 2 3938 2, κεφαλή 3926 12 3, 5, 13, 15 3939 1, 2, 4, 5, 6 3940 [1], 2, [2], κίνδυνος 3952 10, 56 [3955 21] 3958 31 [3], [5] **3941** [2], [3], 3, 4, 7, [10], 11, 12, 15, κληρονόμος 3930 3 18 **3942** 1, 2 (bis), 3, 5, 7, 19, 20, 21, 22, 25, κνίδιον see Index XI(a) 31 3943 1, 2 (bis), 3, 5, 7 3944 1, 3, 4, 5 (bis), κοινός 3932 12 7 **3945** 2, 3 (bis), 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12 **3946** 1, 2 κολλήνας 3917 4? (cf. cυγκολλήνας) (bis), 3, 5, 8 (bis), 9, 11 3947 1, 2 (bis), 3, 5, 7, κόλλημα [3920 12] [8], 9 **3948** 1, 2 (bis), 3, 4, [8] **3949** 2, 3, 7 **3950** 1, 2 (bis), 3 (bis), 4-6 **3951** 1 (ter), 3, κόμες see Index IX κόπρος 3931 19? see 17-22 n. κόςμος see Index VIII(ε) [4], 4, 5 **3952** [1], [1], 1, [3], [4 (bis)], [5], [6], [8], 9, 10, [14], 18, 20, 22 (bis), 24, [25], 25, [30], κούρςωρ see Index IX κουφοκεραμεύς see Index X 30, 33, 38, [39], [40], [41], 42, 43, [44], 45, 48 (bis), [49], [50], 52 (bis), 55, [56], 56, [57], κρατείν **3958** 28 57 3953 1 (bis), 2, 3, 4 (bis), 6, 7 3954 3, 4, 6, κράτιςτος see Index IX

κρείττων see Index VIII(a) 4 3947 4 3948 3 3955 2 3956 3 3958 6; see κροκόδειλος [3931 19-20? see 17-22 n.] also Index I s.vv. Maurice, Phocas, Heraclius μεθοδία 3952 21, [26] κτήμα 3952 9, [27] [3955 7] κτηματικός **3952** 24 μειζότερος see Index IX κυκλευτήριον [3955 13] μείζων see Index IX κύριος (guardian) 3921 51 μέλλειν 3952 28 κύριος (lord, lady) 3917 11 3918 13, 22 3920 μέμφεςθαι 3924 16 3932 7 7 3924 10, 13 3930 [15], 17 3932 5, 15 μέν 3915 6 3916 4 3921 13, 22, 29 3922 (fem.) 3936 I 3937 I 3938 I 3939 I 3949 3 3929 5 I [3955 I] [3956 I] 3958 5 (3959 I) 3961 μένειν 3960 29 1: see also Index VIII(b) μέρος 3918 17 3933 32 κύριος (normative) 3915 20 3933 32 3936 μετά [3920 12] 3931 10 3932 5 3938 15. 29 (3942 31) 3952 49 (3955 23) 3958 34 25 3952 7, [25] [3955 12] κώμη (3918 15, 18) 3926 7 3928 5 3929 2, μέτρον see Index XI(a) s.v. παραλημπτικόν μ. 4 3954 23 3957 6 3959 10, 16, 19  $\mu\eta$  3915 26, 28 3917 9 3919 13 3921 52 3926 32 3932 9 3933 19, 33 λάκκος 3955 12 μηδείς [3915 19] 3924 15 3933 23 λαμβάνειν 3919 8, 11 3931 27 3954 25, 36 3958  $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$  (month) **3915** (21), 29 (30) **3920** (7), [(7)] **3921** 15, (18, 19, 21, 24 (bis)), 30, (30, 31, λαμπρός 3936 8 3939 5 (3941 13?) 3944 32, 37) (3922 [2], [4], 5, 7, 11 (bis), 13 (bis), 6 3945 10 3946 10 [3948 9] 3950 4 3951 16) **3930** 10 **3933** 11 (**3942** 27) [3952 4] 3953 5 3954 13 3955 5 [3956 μηρός 3915 7 8] 3958 9 (3962 I)  $\mu\eta\tau\eta\rho$  3919 8-9 3921 (2), (6) 3924 5 3928 λαμπρότατος 3918 6-7 (3941 13?) 3954 8 4-5 3929 3-4, 10-11, (22) 3932 16 3938 λαμπρότης 3954 27 (3955 7, [10], 14, 16, [23]) [13], 14 3942 13 3949 13 3950 7 3953 λένειν 3931 10 3933 22 [3952 31] 3954 41 8 3954 15, 17, 18, 19, 21, 22 [3955 6] λεπτός [3931 17? see 17-22 n.] μητρικός **3932** 2 λημμα 3921 10, [13], (25), (39) (3922 18) 3952 μηχανή 3955 10, 22 38 (λημα) 3960 2, 3 μηχανικός [3955 13] μιεθόε 3921 20 [3922 1] 3933 17, 24, 27, 32, λημματίζειν 3952 [30], [31], 34 (ληματ-) 3958 [27] λινούς 3916 24 39 3952 35 λονίζεςθαι (3952 15) 3958 16 μιεθούν [3934 13] [3955 8] μίσθωσιο (3934 15) 3955 (23), 25 λογιστής see Index IX λογοθεεία [**3952** 39] **3958** 31 μνήμη 3935 8 3938 10 3942 9 [3948 9] [3955 λόγος 3921 10 3933 17 3952 27, 28, 30, 31, 37, 5] **3958** 13, 35, [43] **3960** 1 **3962** 3, 4 [49], 52 3954 35 3958 22, 29, 34 3959 μοναςτήριον see Index VIII(a) 21 3960 2, 21, 23 μόνος 3932 10 (3933 19) 3952 26 μόςχος see Index XII s.v. εφραγιεμός λοιπαδάριον 3952 42 (λοιπαδαριν) (μυριάς) 3960 18 λοιπογραφείν 3921 44 (3922 20) λοιπός (3921 39) (3922 18) 3954 34 (3960 16) λουτρόν 3949 8 ναῦλον **3960** 25 λύειν 3821 8 νεαρός see Index IX s.v. διάταξις νειλόβροχος 3955 15 μακάριος 3933 5, 7 3934 8, (1.1) 3935 8 3942 νεομηνία 3933 ΙΙ 9 3949 9 3952 [7], [12], [51], 54 3954 véoc see Index I s.vv. Maurice, Heraclius and Hera-12 [3955 5?] clius Iunior μακαρίτης **3959** 5 νομή [3955 22] μαλάχη [3931 20? see 17-22 n.] νομικάριος see Index IX μαρτύριον see Index VIII(a) νόμιςμα see Index XI(b) s.vv. ἀργύριον, νόμιςμα μεγαλοπρέπεια (3954 35) (solidus) μεγαλοπρεπέςτατος 3932 5 (3936 10) νομός 3942 14 3949 15 3950 8 3953 9 3954 μέγας 3942 19, (21) [3955 7] 24, 51 [3955 7] 3959 11; see also Index VII(a) μέγιττος 3934 2 3935 2 (3938 3) 3940 4 3941 s.vv. Άνω Κυνοπολίτης, Έρμοπολίτης, Ήρακλεο-

πολίτης, Θινίτης, 'Οξυουννίτης

5 3942 4 3943 4 3944 2 3945 5 3946

νότινος see Index VII(b) s.v. ἐποίκιον Νοτίνου Χωρίου, VII(ε) s.v. Νότινον Cχολείον νότος (3918 16); see also Index VII(c) νῦν 3929 7 νύξ 3931 13 ξενοδοχείον see Index VIII Eldoc 3926 10 Ευλικόν see Index XII Ευλικός 3955 11 δβολός see Index XI(b) őδε 3926 17 3954 42 οἰκέτης see Index X ολκία 3916 8 3918 13, 18 3921 19, 36 3926 6, 13 3958 20; see also Index IX s.v. κατ' οἰκίαν ἀπογραφή οικόθεν 3952 43 οἰκονόμος see Index X οίκος 3916 7, 22 3935 7 3938 10 3942 8 3952 [15], 17, 21, [44] 3954 9 3957 7 3958 12, 21, 25 3959 4 3960 I otivoc 3942 29 3954 29, 30, 33, (39), 41 3960 4-10, [11], 12, (13), 13, 14 (bis), 16 (bis), 18, 22, 22-28, 31-35, 37 οίνοχειριστής see Index X oloc 3915 8 3919 6 οίοςδήποτε 3933 29 3952 24 ολίγος 3931 21 δλόκληρος 3955 10 όμοούςιος see Index VIII(a), (b) δμοῦ (3960 17) őξος 3960 16, 22 δπόταν [3955 23] όπως 3919 4-5, 14 3926 36 οργανον [3955 13] оркос 3924 17, 25 3959 14 δρμάςθαι [3952 13] 3955 6 őc 3915 16, 20, 26 3916 6 3917 7, 10 3920 1. [9] 3921 26, 44 3922 8, 20 3930 7 3931 9  $(\tilde{a}_{X}\rho_{i} \ o\delta)$  3933 30  $(\hat{\epsilon}\phi' \ \hat{\phi})$  3952 [8], 25, 28 3960 19, (37) őcoc 3926 13 3931 10 οςπερ 3942 22 3955 20 όςτε 3933 12 3959 17 (all έφ' ώτε) οςτις 3932 9 (ἐξ ὅτου) 3936 29 3954 43 οτιπερ 3932 6, 7, 10 ότου see όςτις ov 3932 7, 8, 10, 11 ουδείς 3954 34 οὖετρανός see Index IX ούν 3926 I5 3930 II ουρανός 3931 4 ούτος 3915 8, 10 3916 28 [3924 14] 3929

13 **3932** 3, 4, 9, 13 **3933** 5, 22, [35] **3934** 10 3935 8 3936 27 3942 10, 33 3952 [27], [28], [42], 47, [51], [56] 3954 11, 30 (τουτεςτιν), 33 (τουτέςτιν) [3955 15, 25] 3958 19, 24, 32, 36 3960 2 3962 3, 4 ούτως 3952 45 (3960 3, 30) δφείλειν 3915 20 (οφιλ-), 27 3932 7 [3938 20] δάθαλμός 3931 7 őluc 3931 22 οθώνιον 3936 18 [3952 36] 3960 27, 28 παιδάριον [3960 28?] παίς (ή) 3921 20 [3922 1] πάκτον 3958 [22], 30 πακτωνάριον 3960 25 πανεύφημος 3936 6 3939 4 3941 9, 17 3943 7 3944 4, [8] 3945 8 3946 7 3947 7 3950 3, (6) **3951** 3, 6 [**3952** 3, 6] **3953** 3, (6) 3954 10 3956 6 3957 7 3958 8. TT 3959 7 πανοικί 3917 12 παντελώς 3933 23 παντοίος 3955 13 παντοκράτωρ see Index VIII(a), (b), (c) πάνυ 3932 2 παρά 3915 g, 16 3916 g 3918 1 3919 8 3920 16 3921 1, 6, 44 3922 20 (3923 1) 3926 3 3928 4 3929 3 3931 28 (οτ παρα-?) 3932 11 (bis), (16) 3933 14, 16, 38 3936 16 3942 15 3952 17, [21], [24], 25 3954 27, 29, 37, 39 3958 18, 24 3959 15 (3960 12-15, 29?, 31-36 παραδιδόναι [3955 23] [3959 20-21] παρακαλείν 3917 [3], 8, 9 παρακεῖςθαι 3920 ΙΙ \*παρακολουθήτρια 3921 6, 49 παραλαμβάνειν 3955 23 παραλημπτικός see Index XI(a) παραμένειν 3959 18 παραμονάριος see Index X παραμυθία [3952 32, 34] παρακκευάζειν 3932 13 παρατίθεςθαι 3920 ο παραφέρειν 3959 20 παρείναι 3924 19 3933 12 3942 28 3954 31 (3955 8) 3958 17 παρέρχεςθαι 3933 ΙΙ παρέχειν 3924 17 3942 26 3952 20, 23, [34] παριστάναι 3925 10 παc 3915 15, 26 3926 14 3931 7 3933 13, 17, 24, 26 · 3952 22, 37, [48] 3954 23 3955 11, 13, [19], 19, [21] [3957 4] 3958 33 πατήρ [3938 18] 3954 12; see also Index VIII(a), (b)

πρόνοια 3930 12 πατρίκιος see Index IX πέμπειν 3919 13 3932 14 πρός 3915 9, 13 3917 5 3918 10 3926 20 3933 10 3936 26 3942 28 3952 14, 34 3954 35, πέμπτος 3933 3 3961 5 πέντε 3958 22, 30, 38 40 3958 15, 18?, 26, 28, 20 πεντεκαιδέκατος (3955 8) προςαγορεύειν 3932 12 (bis) πεντήκοντα 3921 15 προςήκειν 3936 17 3958 20, 22 περί 3917 10 3926 4, 19, 38 3932 7 [3952 26] προσομολογείν [3952 31, 40] περίβλεπτος see Index IX προςπορίζειν (3941 15) 3944 7 [3946 11] 3950 περίοδος see Index IX 5 3951 5 [3952 5] 3953 6 [3956 o] 3958 περεικόν 3923 5 10 **3959** 6 πιπράςκειν 3915 [4], 23 προςτιθέναι 3921 39-40 3922 18 πιςςοκοπείν 3942 22 προςφορά see Index VIII(a) πιστώς 3924 IS προεφωνείν 3926 40 πιττάκιον 3958 26 πρόχρεια (3943 g) (3944 g) (3945 13) (3946 13) πλάεις 3942 25 πρύτανις see Index IX  $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta oc$  3926 5 πρωτοβόλος 3915 5 πλήρης 3915 [13], 25 3933 39 3942 18 3952 πρώτος 3932 3, 7 3952 45 3954 6 3955 9 3957 [30] 6 (προτου) Πτολεμαϊκός see Index XI(b) s.v. ἀργύριον πληρούν 3954 26, 37 πληρωτικός 3954 42 πυθμήν 3942 24 πλήςς ειν 3926 7, 12 πυρρός 3915 5 πνεθμα see Index VIII(a), (b), (c) πωμαρίτης see Index X ποιείν 3930 12-13 3931 2, 9-10 3933 22, 35 3936 28 3952 [8], [51] [3955 25] 3958 ριπάριος see Index IX ρόα 3923 4 (ροες acc. pl.) πόλις 3915 I, [14] 3924 4 3925 9, 10 3933 6, 8, δόνα see Index IX (42) **3934** 9, 12 **3936** 9, 36 **3938** 11, ρογεύειν see Index IX 19 3939 6 3941 13 (3942 11) (3944 ούσιο 3942 29 3960 3, 17 6) (3945 II) 3946 IO (3949 II) (3950 ρωννύναι 3917 11 (bis) 3919 15 [3920 15? see 4) [3951 5] 3952 4, 13 [3953 5] (3954 15 n.] 3930 13 11?) 3954 14 3955 5 [3956 8] 3958 [9], 14, [10], 24, (43) 3960 (2), 20; see also Index VII(a) caγή 3915 8, 24 s.vv. Άνω Κυνοπολ(ιτών πόλις)?, 'Όξυρυγχιτών, ceβάςμιος **3959** 12 'Οξυρύγχων Ceβαςτός see Index I s.vv. Augustus, Tiberius, Nero, πολύς 3932 11, 12 Severus and Caracalla, Elagabalus, Severus ποτέ 3915 8 3959 4 3960 ι Alexander, Philippi, Decius, Diocletian and Maxπράγμα **3958** 19 imian, IV, IX s.v. cτόλος πραιτώριον see Index IX *c*εμνοπρεπεστάτη (3932 15) πράξις [3915 20] cιγγουλάριος see Index IX πράσιο 3915 15, 32 cιδήρωμα [3955 12] πρεςβύτερος see Index VIII(a) cîroc 3936 21, (25), 34 3955 15 πρό 3933 21 **C**ΚΕῦος **3942** 19, 20, 38 προαίρετις 3933 9 [3952 14] 3958 15 3959 12 cκυτεύς see Index X προαστίτης see Index X cóc 3932 2 3933 10, 20 προγράφειν 3920 10 3952 41, [55] (3954 cπένδειν see Index VIII 47) **3955** (24), [(26)] **3958** 36 cπουδή 3952 25 προγραφή 3920 Ι cταβλίτης see Index X προέργεςθαι 3916 11-12 cτέφανος 3930 Q προκεῖεθαι 3915 27 (3921 39) (3922 18) 3924 **ετιππύϊνος** [3916 26] 26, 30 3933 35, 36 (3936 34) (3942 cτάτωο see Index IX 33) (**3952** 53) **3958** [38], (39) cτοιχείν 3936 32 (cτιχι) 3942 33 προλέγειν [3955 22] cτόλος see Index IX προνοηςία 3952 [9], 29, 34, 36, 51 στρατηγός see Index IX προνοητής see Index X cú 3915 4, 9, 15, 16, 19, 20 3917 3, 4, 9 3919 3, 4,

7, 9 [3920 15? see 15 n.] 3925 6 3926 18, τράχηλος 3915 7 36 3929 8 3930 8, 13, [14] 3932 4, 10, 12, τρεῖc 3933 18 3938 17 3942 17, (20), (37) 13 3933 38 3936 9, 16 [3952 15 (bis), 20, τρέπειν [3952 22] 23] 3953 9 3954 27, 35 3958 12, 21, τριάκοντα 3958 26 25 3959 15 τριάς see Index VIII(a), (b) \*ευγκαταγίνεςθαι **3916** 29-30? (ευνκ-; see 26-30 n.) τριβοῦνος see Index IX *cυγκαταχωρίζειν* 3921 49 τριςκαιδέκατος [3952 16] *ευγκολλήγα*ς **3917** 4? τρίτος 3924 8 3932 8 (3960 38) *ευγχωρείν* 3915 9 τρόπος 3916 19 [3958 38] cυλλογή [3955 9] τροφεία 3921 27 3922 ο cυμβαίνειν **3952** 26 τυγχάνειν 3926 22 3932 3, 8 ςύμβιος 3938 14 (fem.) τύλη 3916 24 ευμβολαιογράφος see Index X τύπος 3932 7 εύμμαγος see Index IX τύχη see Index VIII cυμπαραλαμβάνειν 3926 36 (cυνπ-) cύν 3915 [8], [17] 3932 4 3942 29 3952 ύγιῶς 3924 14 16 3955 9 3958 35 3960 22, 25 ύδρευμα 3955 12 cυνάλλαγμα 3933 32, 36, 41 3952 42, [48], 49, 51, vióc 3919 2 3926 11, 39 3927 40 3932 13 3933 5, 7, 34, 41 3934 7, 11 3935 7 3938 12, 56, [(60)] **3958** 32, 34, 36, (42) **CUV**αρέςκειν **3942** 17 24 3942 9, 13, 32, 37 3947 11 3948 8 3949 ςύνδικος see Index IX 9, 12, 17 3950 7 3952 [7], [12], 50 3953 συνέδοιον see Index IX 8 3954 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21 3955 4, [6], cυνήθεια 3958 28 (3960 24) 24 3958 12, 35, [43] 3959 9, 16, 22 [3961 4]; cυντίθεςθαι 3933 10 3952 14 3958 15 see also Index VIII(a), (b) cφραγιζμός see Index XII ύμέτερος 3942 15, 26 3950 8 3955 7, [10], 14, 17, cyoλείον see Index VII(c) [23] 3958 15, 22, 29 cωτήρ see Index VIII(a), (b) υπάρχειν 3915 4 3918 14-15 3952 48 (bis) 3958 33 (bis) ταξεώτης see Index IX ύπατεία see Index II, IX τάξις 3952 45; see also Index IX υπατος see Index IX ταχέως 3917 10 ύπέρ 3915 10, 28 3917 6 3921 33, 35, 51 3922 ταχύτερον 3917 8 14 (3926 31) 3929 21 3933 37 3936 19. τε 3942 25 (ται) 3952 24, [38] 3955 15 3958 20 20 (3942 20?, 34) 3952 [32], [34], 46 3955 τειμή see τιμή [15], (27) **3958** 37? (**3960** 13-15, 24, 27, 28) τέκνον [3921 3] ύπέρθεςις 3952 39 τελείν 3955 14 ύπερφύεια 3936 16 3952 20, [23] (3953 τέλειος [3931 20-21? see 17-22 n.] 9) (3958 15, 22, 29) τελειοῦν 3933 40 (eteliothh) 3936 35 (etelύπερφυέςτατος 3935 7 3936 7 3939 4 3941 eiothh) 3952 58, 59 (ete | lioth?) 3955 28 (etelто [3943 8] (3944 4) (3945 9) 3946 i othh) 3958 40 8 [(**3947** 7)] (**3950** 3) **3951** 3 [**3952** τέλος 3933 21 3] 3953 3 3956 6 3958 8 τεςςαράκοντα **3915** 12, 25 ύπεύθυνος [3952 22] τεςςαρεςκαιδέκατος **3952** 16 **3954** 32 ύπηρεςία 3955 9 see also Index IX τέταρτος 3938 7 3939 3 ύπηρέτης see Index IX ύπό 3916 29 3918 5 3920 4 3921 51 3924 7 τετρακόςιοι 3915 [12], 25 τέννη 3933 30 ύπογράφειν 3920 1-2 3952 12, (53), 57 3958 τιμή 3915 10, 16 (both τει-), 24 3942 18 3954 28. 13, 39 ύποδέκτης see Index X TIC 3916 5, 8, 10, 20 3933 25 3952 39 ύποδοχή 3936 27 3952 8, [38] 3960 17 บัทอθήκη 3917 6-7 [3952 49] 3958 34 τόμος 3920 I, II τόπος 3921 35 3922 16 3952 19 3960 29 υπόμνημα 3916 13 τουτέςτιν 3954 30, 33 ύπομνηματογράφος see Index IX τράπεζα 3915 14, cf. 31 ύπόνοια 3916 27? (see 26-30 n.) τραπεζίτης see Index X ύποςημειούν 3929 13-14

```
ύπόςταςις [3952 11, 57] 3958 32
                                                  χειμερινός 3942 24
ύποτιθέναι 3917 7 3952 47 3958 32
                                                  χείρ [3915 21] 3926 10 3952 53, 57 3958 14, 39
                                                  χειρογραφία 3950 10 3951 7 3953 11
                                                  χήρα 3960 23
φαίνες θαι [3952 43]
                                                  χίλιοι 3942 19, (21), (38) 3958 26
φαύλως 3917 7
φιλοπον(ία?) see Index VIII(a)
                                                  χιτών 3916 25
φίλος see φίλτατος
                                                  χορηγείν 3958 24
φίλτατος [3925 3] 3930 (4), 14
                                                  χορτός περμον 3952 33
φόρος 3955 [15], 20, [26]
                                                  χρεία 3924 26, 30 (χρι-) 3933 21
φυλακίτης see Index XII s.v. επιστατεία
                                                  χρεωςτείν 3938 20
                                                  χρίειν [3931 21? see 17-22 n.]
φυλάςς ειν 3955 22
φυλή see Index IX
                                                  χρηματίζειν (3929 3)
φύλλον 3931 27
                                                  χρυςός 3933 18, (19) 3942 16, (20), (37) 3958 23,
φυτόν 3955 13
                                                  χρυcοχόος see Index X
χαίρειν 3915 3 3917 2 3919 2 3925 3 3930
                                                 χώρα 3933 13 [3952 17] [3958 17]
 4 3932 3 3933 8 3934 12 3952 13 (3954
                                                 χωρίον see Index VII(b) s.v. ἐποίκιον Νοτίνου Χωρίου
  24) 3955 7 3958 14
χαλάν [3931 18? see 17-22 n.]
                                                  ψάλτης see Index VIII(a)
χαρακτήρ 3915 5-6
χάρ(ιν) 3960 12
                                                  ώμος 3926 α
χάρις 3932 13
                                                  ώc 3921 15, 24, 30, 32, 42 3922 5, 11, 13, 19 3924
χαρτουλάριου? (3960 21, 26)
                                                    [26], 30 3932 6, 7, 10 3933 36 3936
χαρτουλάριος see Index X
                                                    34 3942 33 3952 53 3955 23 3958 39
χείλος 3942 24 (χιλ-)
```

# XIV. CORRECTIONS TO PUBLISHED TEXTS

P. Ant. II 103. 1-3	<b>3933</b> 2-3 n., item 1
P. Coll. Youtie I 65	See below XLVII 3365
P. Genova I 32	3933 2-3 n., item 2
P. Harr. I 157 verso	<b>3932</b> 5 n.
P. Laur. IV 170. 4-6	3933 2-3 n., item 4
P. Leit. 16 (= SB VIII 10208). 30	<b>3925</b> 8-9 n.
I <b>136</b> 46	<b>3952</b> 55 n.
137 2	<b>3933-62</b> introd. p.
5	3960 i n.
IV 658 6-8, 16	<b>3929</b> 6-7 n.
VI 972	3924 introd.
XIV 1637 4	3923 1-2 n.
XVI 1892 42	3955 26 n.
<b>1917</b> 59	3958 26 n.
<b>1968</b> 5-6	3955 14-15 n.
1979 5	3939 4-5 n.
1991 4	3933 2-3 n., item 9
<b>2055</b> 36	3960 13 n.
XVIII 2197 191, 192	3960 гз п.
XXIV 2420 3	3954 3-7 n.
21	3955 26 n.
XXVII 2480 293	3960 28 n.
XXXVI 2782	3920 introd., 16-17 n.
XXXVIII 2853 1	3926 t n.
XLVI <b>3297</b> 6	3930 introd.
XLVII 3365 (= P. Coll. Youtie I 65). 56, 60-61	3924 introd.
112 ( 11 5555 ( 1. com 10 ddc 105). 50, 00 01	

L 3555 25-8 LVI 3852 24 P. Princ. inv. AM 11244: 3-4 P. Rainer Cent. 125. 2 PSI 1I 179-5 SB VI 9049-9 SB VII 10208 SB XII 10208 SB XII 10978: 3-5 3926 10 n.
3918 1-2 n.
3961 2 4 n.
3963 2 3 n., ad fin.
3933 2-3 n., item 11a
3933 2-3 n., item 10
3921 14-15 n.
See above P. Leit. 16
3933 2-3 n., item 16

which Emphotol Cognition ०४००४०५११५४५ विकास्तर्भा 10xx follow forthouse 12 N-7 TO OBOXON XXXIV ato fostillation MACKED IN CHATTON HANDS KONHGISTON STEWN SHOWER TAILLY TO CONTRACT OF THE PARTY MOTHING MOUNTY WASHING NATHO GITTON **3915** (top) WAS COMMON MEDICAL TO STANK CALLINGER THE KING HART DAYS TO STORY ころエダス MODERNO IN MODERNA MONTH m 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Kyeatto yetto xxxileth 3917

3917 Sm 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10



propring both my thous xemports Money ountry freshly popolary ismy morned in a busy resolve to sewn know in wife Kun my ada To Tu & not To in into & regulate performances horsen han A view spon and employed of his rebreaking through In some grand was of fur in solidays grap in apola spanies is silver of a felegant sale upon on of brest and patarmen of the lag haxher wherein wind water to mangan on whop we winder choks liveren in jugar printing and intelline miseu ing prof themps survey 100 or Car as in remain was about the to meters in Cogsida un cua riententan prominates ortales rien L'a mon count sounded of non a look - were ha its mund & of en word day, commenten wing on rom fully 458 pago no 1 6 To land y poly viaco, Com Sobra non balle de dan con in moramum ique in entran Kamo of prominent habit it on bruth i they deser whimp or who dented having property to 10 minter duy prituagen us muto interpolaris

and the second of the second o

**3933** (foot)

Tellhunes was sortin

**3936** (foot)

ongo

**3942** (foot)

W.